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Foreword

The first internationally organized Workshop on *Azolla* Use was held 31 March to 5 April 1985 at the Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences (FAAS). The workshop was held at the invitation of FAAS to coincide with the inauguration of the FAAS National Azolla Research Center.

Thirty-two participants from 10 countries joined with 44 eminent Chinese scientists to discuss uses of *Azolla* as a biofertilizer and as a feed for animals and fish, methods of determining its nitrogen-fixing ability, and *Azolla* taxonomy.

Although farmers in Fujian Province, China, have for hundreds of years routinely grown *Azolla* as a green manure for their crops, it is only recently that countries other than China and Vietnam have begun to take advantage of *Azolla* as a biofertilizer. Liu Chung-chu, vice president of FAAS, underscored this fact when, during his opening day address, he called for a new recognition and evaluation of the role of *Azolla*. It is hoped that the proceedings of the workshop will provide a focus for further research on *Azolla*, and contribute to its wider use in China and in many developing countries.

I am grateful to the FAAS for cosponsoring the workshop with the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), and to Liu Chung-chu of FAAS and Iwao Watanabe of IRRI, cochairmen of the organizing committee. This volume was edited by W. H. Smith with the assistance of Emerita P. Cervantes.

M. S. SWAMINATHAN
Director General
Opening remarks

Mr. Chairman, honored delegates, ladies and gentlemen. I would like to thank the Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences for inviting FAO’s participation at this international Workshop on *Azolla* Use, which also marks the establishment of China’s National *Azolla* Research Center.

The founding of the center is timely, not only for China’s continued development in agricultural sciences, but also for prospective technical cooperation in the field of *Azolla* between developing countries within the Asia and Pacific Region and beyond. In this respect it may be noted that one of the recommendations of the 17th FAO Regional Conference for Asia and the Pacific, held in Islamabad, Pakistan, last year was a call for increased development and application of biofertilizers in general and *Azolla* in particular as an alternative to, or in combination with, chemical fertilizers.

Also last year, an activity of our Regional Organic Recycling Network was to undertake a status report on *Azolla*. Problems encountered were summarized under five headings: organization, cultural management, utilization, promotion, and research and development of *Azolla* in the region. It is beyond the scope of this brief speech to cover all these headings, but I would like to quote the summary of organizational problems.

Difficulties mentioned included the indifferent attitude toward organic fertilization, the lack of policy and financial support for organic fertilization programs, poor coordination among relevant institutions, the lack of recognition of lead agencies promoting organic fertilization in general and *Azolla* in particular, and a lack of organized and trained personnel at the extension level.

The very fact that we are here today to witness the establishment of China’s National Azolla Research Center for research and development indicates that at least one country has recognized these difficulties and has taken firm steps to advance Azolla utilization.

On behalf of FAO, I wish the National Azolla Research Center at the Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences continued success in its activities and look forward to fruitful collaboration in the future.

F. J. Dent
Regional Soil Management and Fertilizer Use Officer FAO Regional Officer for Asia and the Pacific
Recommendations

Group I
Applied use of *Azolla* as a green manure

The following were identified as approaches to overcoming constraints to the use of *Azolla* as a green manure.

**Basic**
1. Develop artificial methods to induce sporocarp formation and production of a high megasporocarp-to-microsporocarp ratio. The use of sporocarps as seeding material can reduce labor and input requirements by avoiding the need for maintenance nurseries and a certain portion of multiplication nurseries.
2. Conduct a comparative analysis of decomposition and N release by different strains of *Azolla* at different growth stages to improve N availability to rice plants.
3. Select strains with a low P requirement, a high N-P ratio, and high growth rate to reduce the need for P fertilization.
4. Screen strains for tolerance for salinity and acid sulfate soils.
5. Test the use of the combination of insecticide and fungicide to determine the interaction of fungi damage with insect attack and continue screening for resistance to pests.

**Applied**
1. Develop methods for improved P uptake efficiency and use, such as P loading nurseries or foliar application.
2. Investigate integrated management systems, including alternate uses of *Azolla* and the selection of rice varieties that complement *Azolla* use in the cropping system.
3. Develop recommendations for the complementary use of *Azolla* and chemical sources of nitrogen and elucidate their interactions, including long-term trials.
4. Continue research on *Azolla's* contribution to weed control and herbicide interactions with *Azolla*.
5. Determine if *Azolla* can be a useful source of K in K-deficient soils.
6. Develop moist soil culture of *Azolla* under hot conditions.
7. Modify existing implements and develop new implements to incorporate *Azolla* and reduce labor requirements.
**Group II**

**Use of Azolla for animal and fish feed and other purposes**

Detailed discussions on the chemical composition of *Azolla* and its nutritive value for pigs, chickens, ducks, fish, and ruminants (cattle, goat, and sheep) were held. In most areas it was agreed that at best, only empirical information existed and further research to define the potential of *Azolla* as a source of feed for animals was urgently needed.

**Major research priorities**

1. Definition of the chemical composition of *Azolla* species and strains at different stages of growth and under different environmental conditions. This information is needed to predict the potential value of *Azolla* as a source of nutrients for animals.

2. Determination of the nutritive value of *Azolla* for pigs, poultry, fish, and ruminants, with particular emphasis on the following areas:
   a. the relative merits of different species and strains,
   b. the optimum proportion of *Azolla* for use in rations, and
   c. the comparative value of fresh, dried, and ensiled *Azolla* as sources of feed.

3. Determination of the management practices required to maximize productivity of *Azolla* as a source of feed.

4. The use of *Azolla* in the control of water pollution.

5. The establishment of a communication network between scientists working on the nutritive value of *Azolla* to facilitate the free exchange of publications and information.

**Discussion points**

1. **Chemical composition**
   
   Information here will provide predictive value of *Azolla* as animal feed. There is a need to measure dry matter content, nitrogen (protein and nonprotein and amino acids), lipids (amount and composition), cell wall content (neutral detergent fiber, acid detergent fiber, and lignin), and macro- and microelement content. In all cases, a clear definition must be given of the species, strain, stage of growth, and environmental conditions under which this material has been grown.

2. **Nutritional value**
   
   For both pigs and poultry, there is lack of specific information on the levels to which *Azolla* can be incorporated in rations. This information is needed before any practical recommendations on nutritive value can be made. Because *Azolla* is a heavy metal accumulator, toxicity to animals may be a
problem. Alternatively *Azolla* may be an important source of essential trace minerals for pig and poultry rations, when incorporated at appropriate levels.

3. **Storage techniques**

*Azolla* is most commonly fed in the fresh form harvested daily. It was proposed that if suitable storage techniques such as sun-drying or ensilaging were available, the problems of maintaining supply when growth rates are low could be overcome. Dried *Azolla* has been found less palatable than fresh *Azolla*, and different strains of fresh *Azolla* vary in palatability. *Azolla* has been successfully ensiled with salt in China. The group decided there was a need to investigate further various techniques of storing *Azolla* as feed for animals and fish.

4. **Fish nutrition**

Some specific problems mentioned for further study were the grazing habits and *Azolla* intakes of the different fish species, the variability of the protein content of *Azolla* and the need to increase this nutrient for fish growth, the nutrition of fingerlings in *Azolla* systems, and the low productivity of the present *Azolla* strains in the summer months. There is a need to identify strains and conditions for optimum growth of *Azolla* to meet the nutritional requirements of fish. The value of dried and pelleted *Azolla* as a fish food needs to be defined.

A major problem with fish in *Azolla*-rice systems is the sensitivity of fish to some insecticides used, and the toxicity of these insecticides in *Azolla* when harvested and fed to animals. Alternative programs for insect control need to be studied to allow the safe use of *Azolla* as a feed.

5. **Ruminants**

The only studies available indicate that *A. filiculoides* grown in over-crowded conditions has a low digestibility in sheep. More research is needed on the effects of stage of growth (and chemical composition) on the nutritive value of *Azolla* for ruminants. It was proposed that the most valuable use of *Azolla* may be as a supplement to rations of high fiber content and low digestibility (rice straw, wheat straw). The digestibility and degradability of *Azolla* proteins in the ruminant digestive tract must also be determined.

6. **Water pollution**

*Azolla* may also be used to accumulate macro-and microelements from sewage effluent and polluted water. For both health and nutritional reasons, this material cannot be fed to animals but may be an additional source of digesta in methane production units.

7. **Economics of *Azolla* use**

Until a precise description on the nutritive value of the various species and strains of *Azolla* is obtained, it is not possible to evaluate the economic benefits of *Azolla* as a source of feed for livestock.
Group III
Taxonomy and sporulation of *Azolla*
and germplasm collections

Recommendations
1. A sound taxonomic framework for *Azolla* should be established, using morphological, cytological, ultrastructural, and chemotaxonomic methods;
2. Essential basic and applied research on factors inducing sporulation must be continued, and extended to include development and germination;
3. Methods for the long-term maintenance of germplasm collections must be actively sought;
4. A central, coded register of all *Azolla* culture collections should be compiled and maintained at IRRI or some other appropriate location;
5. A short information booklet on the taxonomy, morphology, and life cycle of *Azolla* should be published by IRRI for training purposes;
6. An *Azolla* newsletter should be published quarterly and widely circulated; and
7. An international workshop on *Azolla* should be held in 1988.

Basic aims
1. To provide vegetative material and sporocarps of accurately named species and strains of *Azolla* for work in the field and in the laboratory on an international basis, and
2. to work toward the development of new and improved strains.

Furtherance of these aims
A. Taxonomy

Work on basic taxonomy must be maintained and strengthened to determine which species and strains (ecotype) are being used in research work, and to ensure the material remains unchanged. Taxonomic work should be continued and extended using scanning electron microscopy, cytological methods including chromosome analysis, and chemotaxonomic methods (involving, for example, analysis of phenolics, isoenzyme patterns, use of DNA probes, etc.). Work should be done to characterize various strains (ecotypes), as well as species.
TAXONOMY, MORPHOLOGY, AND PHYSIOLOGY OF *AZOLLA-ANABAENA* SYMBIOSIS
Azolla taxonomy is confused by inadequate recognition and description of species, which results in difficulties in identification. A critical reevaluation of vegetative and reproductive features of section Azolla is being undertaken, using light microscopy, thin-sectioning, scanning electron microscopy, and transmission electron microscopy. The work involves examining extensive collections from the world’s major herbaria, including type specimens and living material. Preliminary results indicated that, apart from leaf trichomes and possibly root anatomy, vegetative characters are not useful. Reproductive characters, particularly glochidial septation, sporoderm structure, and other characters associated with the megaspore apparatus, provide the most useful tools for taxonomic separation. Taxonomic changes foreseen include establishing at least two new species, rejecting A. caroliniana, and separating A. filiculoides into two subspecies.

The experimental use of Azolla has promoted the maintenance of cultures of the different species. Difficulties in attributing specimens to certain species in section Azolla, with subsequent incorrect determination, limit the usefulness of results obtained. When source material for culturing is not fertile, identification may rely solely on vegetative features if the culture material never produces sporocarps. Even with fertile source material, infertility could result from culture conditions, again providing limited characters for accurate species determination. Although several identification keys are published, which include vegetative and reproductive features (5, 11, 12, 13), too much reliance is placed on characters whose nature and variability have never been critically evaluated. Type material, on which the identity of all specimens is based, has been consistently neglected in many morphoanatomical studies, as has examination of large worldwide collections of specimens from differing environmental situations (5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13). This has led to some taxonomic confusion, inadequate recognition and description of species and, as a result, difficulties in species identification.

The nature of the taxonomic confusion in section Azolla is summarized in Figure 1. Early rationalization of described species by Mettenius (6) recognized only A. microphylla, A. caroliniana, A. cristata, A. magellanica,
and *A. rubra*. He later combined *A. microphylla*, *A. caroliniana*, and *A. cristata* into *A. caroliniana*, at the same time placing *A. magellanica* and *A. rubra* into *A. filiculoides* (7). Svenson (11), apparently disregarding Mettenius (7), reestablished *A. microphylla*, *A. mexicana*, *A. caroliniana*, and *A. filiculoides* as distinct species. Pre-1944 publication and identification of herbarium specimens are based on Mettenius’ work whereas, with a few exceptions, later work follows Svenson (11). As a result, *A. caroliniana* sensu Mettenius (7) is considered equivalent to *A. mexicana*, *A. microphylla*, and *A. caroliniana* sensu Svenson (11), the latter being redefined from vegetative features and glochidial septation, the megaspore apparatus not ever having been found.

Because knowledge concerning the taxonomy of *Azolla* is inadequate, the Portsmouth Polytechnic research program aims to critically assess vegetative and reproductive characters, using light microscopy, thin sectioning, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and some transmission electron microscopy (TEM), to clarify species recognition and establish a stable taxonomic framework. Type specimens form an essential part of the study, which includes extensive examination of herbarium material from the world’s major herbaria, and of living material from the International Rice Research Institute and other sources. We discuss preliminary results concerning section *Azolla*.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Vegetative characters**

It has long been accepted that relatively few vegetative characters are useful in separating *Azolla* species. The characters commonly used have never been critically evaluated, particularly those associated with branching pattern and leaf size and shape. A survey of some 120 herbarium specimens incorporating...
all known species revealed considerable overlapping of character states and indicated that certain species of *Azolla* are vegetatively polymorphic. As a result, species in section *Azolla* can possess very similar features and are difficult to separate using those characters. Cultured material of *A. filiculoides* and *A. microphylla* grown at Portsmouth are separable only when certain growth stages prevail, these possibly being environmentally controlled. Previously inferred by Lumpkin and Plucknett (5) with their use of *immature* and *mature* growth morphologies, our studies suggest no unequivocal evidence that changes in frond and leaf shape and frond orientation are associated with sporulation. The continuous nature of these changes further decreases the usefulness of these characters in taxonomic separation. The subjective nature of leaf color and observed variability render color a most unreliable character.

Root morphology again offers few useful characters. Distribution and number of root hairs and coiling of the root tip were previously considered useful. However, observations from the present study suggest that these characters are environmentally controlled and therefore unreliable. Recent work by Tan (unpubl.) and this study suggest that root anatomy, particularly the ratio of epidermal cells to cortical cells, may be the only useful means of identifying *Azolla* species by root characters. Promising results have been obtained from a small sample, but variation may limit the significance of the character with more extensive examination.

The most useful vegetative character in section *Azolla* is associated with the trichomes on the adaxial surface of the dorsal leaf lobe. In section *Rhizosperma* (Meyen) Mettenius, which includes *A. pinnata* R.Br. and *A. nilotica* Decaisne ex Mett., these trichomes also extend onto the stem surface. First noted by Mettenius (7), trichomes were not used in species recognition until the work of van Ooststroom (13). Of particular importance is the number of cells comprising the trichome. In *A. filiculoides* it is one-celled, with two or more cells in other species (Fig. 2). Fortunately, trichomes can be examined in dried herbarium specimens so providing information on Type specimens. The present work shows that the Type specimens of *A. caroliniana* and *A. microphylla*, although differing in size from the Type of *A. filiculoides*, possess similar one-celled trichomes. This, coupled with the considerable vegetative variation observed in *A. filiculoides*, indicates that the names *A. caroliniana* and *A. microphylla* may be considered synonymous with *A. filiculoides*. Furthermore, our studies of herbarium material reveal that only plants attributable to *A. filiculoides* appear to be native to the Type localities. It is also of interest to note that the fertile Type specimen of *A. microphylla* shows a megaspore and glochidial characters of the *A. filiculoides* type.

**REPRODUCTIVE CHARACTERS**

Reproductive structures occur in pairs (fours in *A. nilotica*), a pair of sporocarps consisting of two megasporocarps, two microsporocarps, or one of each. There appears to be no taxonomic significance in the ratio of megasporocarps to microsporocarps. On examination, the sporocarp wall
2. Scanning electron micrograph of abaxial surface of dorsal leaf lobe showing appearance of trichomes in fresh material of section *Azolla*. A) *A. filiculoides*—entire leaf lobe showing flattened hyaline margin and trichomes over rest of surface (scale: 1000 µm). B) *A. filiculoides*, showing one-celled trichomes and adjacent stomates (scale: 10 µm). C) *A. mexicana*, showing two-celled trichomes (scale: 10 µm). Legend: m = hyaline margin, st = stomate, t = one-celled trichome, tt = two-celled trichome.
appears similar in all species. The larger microsporocarps accommodate a few to many microsporangia each containing massulae with embedded microspores. Quantitative analysis of number of massulae per microsporangium indicates that this character is of no taxonomic value. Surface ornamentation of the massula, although variable, can be used to distinguish *A. filiculoides*, *A. mexicana*, and *A. microphylla* under SEM. The nature of massula processes has long been used to separate section *Rhizosperma*, where they are trichomelike, and section *Azolla*, with glochidiate processes. The present study considered shape of glochidial shaft and apex, length of glochidium, and number of septa. Of these, only apex shape seems not useful. Glochidial length and number of septa were scored quantitatively and, contrary to reports (2 and others), the latter character is of some significance (see Fig. 3B, D, F, H). Useful features of the megaspore apparatus include perforation of float surface, collar morphology (sectional view), sporoderm sculpturing, and sporoderm structure, the latter being of greatest taxonomic value. It has been possible to evaluate the significance of other reproductive and vegetative characters by correlation using features of sporoderm structure. In addition, the critical nature of the present investigation has enabled the recognition of four main types of megaspore apparatus in section *Azolla* (see Fig. 3A, C, E, G), together with two other megaspore types in section *Rhizosperma*. These megaspore types should not be confused with nomenclature types. The main types of megaspore apparatus and sporoderm structure are illustrated in Figures 4 and 5.

**TENTATIVE TAXONOMIC CONCLUSIONS AND PROPOSALS**

Apart from leaf trichomes and possibly root anatomy, vegetative features provide little assistance in taxonomic separation. Like previous authors (1, 3, 4), we confirm that, despite variations observed, features of the megaspore apparatus are the most reliable means of separating taxa within *Azolla*. Undoubtedly, for accurate species determination of culture collections, source material should be fertile and herbarium sheets prepared for further reference. The six main megaspore types are recognized as belonging to *A. filiculoides*, *A. mexicana*, *A. microphylla*, and *Azolla* sp. within section *Azolla*, and *A. pinnata* and *A. nilotica* in section *Rhizosperma*. Within the *A. filiculoides* megaspore type at least two subtypes can be distinguished: *A. filiculoides* subtype *rubra* confined to western and south western Australia and New Zealand, and *A. filiculoides* subtype *filiculoides* exhibits a cline of variation, possibly because of its wide geographical distribution. It is proposed to divide *A. filiculoides* into the two subspecies *A. filiculoides* subspecies *rubra* and *A. filiculoides* subspecies *filiculoides*, providing new descriptions in a future publication. Evidence from the present investigation indicates that *A. caroliniana* can no longer be justifiably regarded as a distinct species but should be considered synonymous with *A. filiculoides*. *A. mexicana* occurs in central and eastern North America extending north to British Columbia and

south to Mexico, Central, and northern South America. Examination of Type specimen should be placed in synonymy with *A. filiculoides*, thus rendering the name *A. microphylla* invalid. This paper draws attention to the necessity
for a nomenclatural change; it is intended to designate a new Type specimen and publish a new name with description for the species. Specimens with the *A. microphylla* megaspore type are found in Central and northeastern South America. Specimens with megaspore types attributed to *Azolla* sp. are located
5. Scanning electron micrograph of main types of sporoderm structure in section Azolla (scale: 10 µm). A) *A. filiculoides* (subtype *filiculoides*). B) *A. filiculoides* (subtype *rubra*). C) and D) *A. mexicana* (showing structural variation). E) *A. microphylla*. F) *Azolla* sp. Legend: ex = exine, enp = endoperine, exp = exoperine.

In regions where the distribution of *A. filiculoides* and *A. mexicana* in North America, and of *A. filiculoides* and *A. microphylla* in South America, overlap, *Azolla* sp. exhibits more variation in sporoderm structure compared with other taxa, appears to have a disjunct distribution, and has characters intermediate between other species. This disjunct distribution may imply that there are two distinct species which could have been derived as a result of hybridity. No nomenclatural Types exist with features of *Azolla* sp.; when more information is available, one or more new species will be described. Our preliminary proposals regarding the taxonomy of section *Azolla* may be seen
in Figure 1. The present program does not include detailed investigation of *A. pinnata* and *A. nilotica*. However, a preliminary study is being made of the nomenclatural Types together with a small number of other populations. Early indications suggest that vegetative variation may be as great as in section *Azolla*, supporting the observations in sporoderm structure described in *A. pinnata* by Zhou Zhiyan (14).

This paper outlines the preliminary results of this investigation. A stable taxonomic framework for *Azolla* with more precise species recognition will emerge with the publication of descriptions of all known, and any new, species in section *Azolla*; this is already in preparation. The current program relies on herbarium material to furnish specimens collected worldwide and from differing environmental conditions. We intend to extend this program to include a detailed study of *A. pinnata* and *A. nilotica* in section *Rhizosperma*, using both living and herbarium material. Further extension will adopt a more applied taxonomic approach and will concentrate on cultured material, the stability of characters being tested by environmental manipulation. In conjunction with this, chromosome numbers and possibly a phylogenetic approach using a breeding program can be employed. Such close examination of living material may eventually provide a suitable key for identification based on vegetative and reproductive features. Whatever experimental program, the taxonomist can advise on the correct identity of *Azolla* species used, and monitor any morphological or anatomical changes induced by the experimental method or environment.
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DISCUSSION

KULASOORIYA: Were the megasporocarps whose wall structure was compared, of comparable development stage or maturity?

DUNHAM: Yes, to ensure examination of mature megasporocarps only, the largest ones were scheduled for examination. Immature megasporocarps are normally easily recognized by the megaspore apparatus not filling the sporocarp, collapsed floats, and small basal region.
Comparative study of the morphology, anatomy, and phylogenesis of megasporocarps in sections Euazolla and Rhizosperma

HE GUO-FAN AND LIN YUE-CHAN
Department of Biology
Zhongshan University
Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

The morphology, anatomy, and phylogenesis of megasporocarps in *Azolla imbricata* Nakai (section Rhizosperma) and *A. filiculoides* Lam. (section Euazolla) were compared. Megasporocarps of *A. imbricata* are larger than those of *A. filiculoides*, measuring about 650 µm diam longitudinally and 400 µm equatorially. On the basis of morphology and function, the megasporocarp of *A. imbricata* can be divided into four parts: 1) funnel, 2) floating group, 3) spore sac, and 4) megaspore. The megasporocarp of *A. filiculoides* can be divided into five parts: 1) funnel (top), 2) floating ring (consisting of three massulae surrounding the neck), 3) annulus (middle), 4) spore sac (bottom), and 5) megaspore (inside spore sac). The phylogenetic significance of section Rhizosperma is also discussed. Our views are contrary to those of Hills, assuming that section Rhizosperma might give rise to section Euazolla.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plants of *Azolla imbricata* and *A. filiculoides* were cultured in ponds of Zhongshan University. Megaspores were collected in August 1981. The samples were fixed with 3% glutaraldehyde and 2% formaldehyde in 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). After washing in buffer, the fixed materials were dehydrated in a graded alcohol-xylene series (50-100%), and embedded in paraffin medium for sectioning at 30-50 µm with a steel knife on a rotary microtome. Materials were mounted on copper studs with silver cement. The paraffin was removed with xylene and the dried materials were coated with a gold layer of about 30 nm using an Eiko IB-3 ion coater. The studies were made with a Hitachi S430 scanning electron microscope.
RESULTS

The megasporocarps of *A. imbricata* are elliptical. They are about 650 µm longitudinal diam and 400 µm equatorial diam. The top of the megasporocarp apparatus is cone-shaped and the bottom is spherical. The megaspore apparatus of *A. imbricata* is larger than the megaspore apparatus of *A. filiculoides*. They differ in appearance. The *A. filiculoides* megaspore apparatus looks like a long pear. The diameters of middle collar and basic sporoderm are nearly equal. Its longitudinal diameter is about 560 µm, and equatorial diam is about 304 µm. Before the megasporocarp matures, it is enclosed within the sporocarp wall. Only one megaspore apparatus develops. At maturity, the middle part of sporocarp wall splits laterally. The back half-part falls first, while the dark forward half-part, which looks like a cone, remains tightly connected to the top of megaspore apparatus (Fig. 1.2, 2.1), until the sporeling germinates. Sporocarp wall protects the development of the megaspore apparatus. The forward half-part also protects the young sporeling. When the back half-part of the indusium falls off, access to the spore sac is provided for fertilization by the male gametophyte or sperm. It is specially clear in *A. filiculoides* (Fig. 2.9). Based on the morphological structure and function, a naked megaspore apparatus of the megasporocarp of *A. imbricata* can be divided into four parts: 1) the suprafilosum at the top, 2) the floating group in the middle, 3) the sporoderm at the bottom, and 4) the megaspore within it (Fig. 1.1, 1.4). The megaspore apparatus of *A. filiculoides* can be divided into five parts: 1) the suprafilosum at the top, 2) a floating ring at the neck, 3) the collar at the middle, 4) the sporoderm, and 5) the megaspore at the bottom (Fig. 2.1, 2.8).

Suprafilosum

The suprafilosum is at the top of megaspore apparatus. Before maturity it resembles the collar of a shirt (Fig. 1.3,F; 2.6). After maturity, the collar expands forward (Fig. 2.1). It is the route for the sperm to enter and for the sporeling to grow. The suprafilosum is formed by several layers of fibers (1-2 µm in diam). The fibers of the external suprafilosum and floating groups are connected to form a complex. The inner surface of the suprafilosum is like a membrane (Fig. 1.3, 2.2). The center of the suprafilosum is filled with blue-green algae (Fig. 2.6, arrow). The base of suprafilosum is columella which extends backward to connect with the top of sporoderm (Fig. 1.4, straight arrow, 2.6). The columella is surrounded by three upper floats. The wall of the columella is thin and its external surface is lined with several layers of fibers linked with the floats. The morphological structure of the suprafilosum in *A. imbricata* is the same as in *A. filiculoides*.

Floating group

Most researchers consider that *Azolla* can be divided into two sections, Euazolla and Rhizosperma. The megaspore apparatus of Euazolla consists of
three floats. Its massulae of microsporocarps are equipped with glochidia. The Rhizosperma has nine floats, and its massulae are flagella. The numbers and morphology of the floats are important taxonomic features. The nine floats of *A. imbricata* can be divided into three groups of threes arranged as a triangle, and the three groups of floats surround the top and the middle parts of the megaspore apparatus (Fig. 1.4). The distance between two floating groups is about 25 µm, numerous fibers are scattered above it (Fig. 1.1, 1.3). The three upper floats surrounding the suprafilosum constitute about 1/3 the height of the megaspore apparatus; the six lower floats in the middle are also 1/3 the height of the megaspore apparatus, and are the largest cross section (Fig. 1.1, 1.5). The nine floats are similar in shape and size, but have a different arrangement. The top of the float is shaped like a tongue, its bottom thicker, forming a tetrahedron. The external and internal surfaces of each float are symmetrical, and its left and right sides mirror each other. Three floats in the same group form a triangle, the upper float is at the top, two lower floats connect with each other (Fig. 1.1). An upper float, with its inner surface and side view, is shown in Fig. 1.6. The maximum length of a float is 240 µm and maximum thickness is 150 µm. Concave spots and stripes are scattered irregularly on the surface of each float. The center of each float has a 12-µm-diam hole (Fig. 1-7) which may be the trace of its organ development. The inner structure of all the floats is like an alveolate. The top of the inner surface of the float is joined with many fibers, which connect with the suprafilosum and sporoderm to form a complex. The bottom of the float is not linked with fiber, so that it can swell upward. The functions of the float are still unknown. The three upper floats may be involved with expanding and opening of the suprafilosum. The functions of the six lower floats are swelling, thus causing the sporocarp wall to explode, and to close the exit of the columella for sporeling growth.

The floats of *A. filiculoides* are smaller, with a longitudinal diam of 170 µm and equatorial diam of about 80 µm. The three floats surround the neck of the megaspore apparatus, their position and arrangement are similar to those of the upper floats of *A. imbricata*, but the bottom of the float is attached to the collar (Fig. 2.1, 2.4). Figure 2.7 shows the cross section and inner structure of *A. filiculoides*. The floating surface of Euazolla is smooth with many small holes of different shapes and sizes scattered on it; some of them have a regular appearance (Fig. 2.2., 2.3). No holes were seen at the center of the external surface of the float. Most investigators who have reported fossil information of floats in *Azolla* describe the shapes and sizes of floats differently than ours. Whether to use the characteristics of floating surface to express the taxon of *Azolla* is an interesting question.

**Collar**

The collar is a special structure of the megaspore apparatus in Euazolla. It is located at the middle of the megaspore apparatus and has a smooth surface (Fig. 2.4) with an equatorial diam of 250-300 µm. The longitudinal section of
1. The megasporocarp of *A. imbricata*: 1) a megaspore apparatus without indusium (X200); 2) the indusium, split, the forward half-part (W) still connected to the top of megaspore apparatus (X150); 3) the inner surface (F) of funnel in megaspore apparatus and the fibers between two floating groups (X700); 4) longitudinal section of a megaspore apparatus (X200); 5) cross section of the six back-floats (X300); 6) a front-float, showing its inner surface and two sides; 7) the center of floating surface, showing the concave spots and a hole (X1000); 8) a floating group, showing the surface of megaspore apparatus (X200); 9) longitudinal section of the megaspore apparatus, showing the inner surface (M) of
sporoderm (X150); 10) part of spore sac in longitudinal section, showing the sporoderm and spore sac wall (arrow) (X700); 11) the external surface of sporoderm (M) and the inner surface of spore sac wall (X450); 12) the fibers (SF) in front of spore sac wall and the bulges (star) in base (SB) of spore sac (X700). A = annulus, F = funnel, FD = back-float, FL = float, FU = front-float, G = glochidium, I = inner surface of float, L = left of float, M = megaspore, R = right of float, S = spore sac, SB = base of spore sac, SF = front of spore sac, W = indusium.
2. The megasporocarp of *A. filiculoides*: 1) a view of megasporocarp, showing the back half-part of indusium that has fallen off (X100); 2) front of megaspore apparatus, showing the fibers of funnel connected with the float (X700); 3) sculpture of floating surface (X5000); 4) the annulus (A) at the middle of megaspore apparatus and two floats (FL) (X300); 5) the fibers and concave hole at the surface
of base spore sac (X200); 6) longitudinal section of front megaspore apparatus, the blue-green algae (arrow) at the center of funnel (X250); 7) cross section of the floating ring (X200); 8) longitudinal section of a megaspore apparatus (X200); 9) the fibers of spore sac connected with glochidium of massulae (X10000); 10) a view of megaspore (M), the triradiate ridge at the top (X300). See Figure 1 for legend.
the collar appears as a “T”, and its inner structure appears as an alveolate. Before it matures, the bottom of three floats insert themselves into the collar (Fig. 2.6), and after maturity the floats swell out from the collar (Fig. 2.8). The function of the collar may be to swell and split the sporocarp wall.

**Sporoderm**

A view of the sporoderm in *A. imbricata* may be observed from the longitudinal section of the megaspore apparatus (Fig. 1.4). The forward half-part of the apparatus looks like the collar of Euazolla, but it is surrounded by six lower floats (Fig. 1.4., 1.5), and its surface is scattered with numerous fibers (Fig. 1.8). The back half-part is shaped like a bowl. The sporoderm surface shows no alveolate structure, the thickness of the sporoderm is about 10 µm (Fig. 1.10, arrow). The internal surface of the sporoderm is plane (Fig. 1.11) and connects with the megaspore. The external surface of the sporoderm is irregular with many tubercles (Fig. 1.12, star). The longitudinal diam of the tubercles is 10-30 µm and the cross-section diam is 3-6 µm. The function of tubercles is not understood.

The external surface of the sporoderm of Euazolla is encircled with a thick wall (25-45 µm thick) differentiated into 3 layers. Its surface is covered with numerous fibers (Fig. 2.5), which join the glochidia in the massulae of the microsporocarp (Fig. 2.8, 2.9). The surface of sporoderm in Rhizosperma has no fibers because the massulae of the microsporocarp are but simple flagella.

**Megaspore**

The central cavity of the sporoderm is the site where the megaspore grew and developed (Fig. 1.4, arrow; 1.9; 2.8). The spheric megaspore is about 250 µm diam. The thickness of the exosporium is about 3 µm, and from it the differential layers cannot be observed (Fig. 1.10). The surface of the megaspore is smooth, and it has no special sculpture and germination aperture, but it has a triradiated ridge at the top (Fig. 1.11, 2.8, 2.10). Fertilization takes place through this opening and the sporeling subsequently escapes through it.

**DISCUSSION**

Referring to the phylogenesis in *Azolla*, Hills and Weiner (1) considered that *A. geneseana* was the earliest fossil *Azolla* discovered with three floats in the Cretaceous period. That provides evidence that Euazolla may have given rise to Rhizosperma. Some other investigators also regard Rhizosperma as secondary. But Jain (2) held the opposite view because 1) a great deal of multifloated (15-20 floats) fossils from Upper Cretaceous have been discovered, so the multifloated *Azolla* should be considered the primary; and 2) the fossil materials Hills and Weiner examined were obscure and the structure of the float was not clear.
We proceeded with our analysis in accordance with morphological structures of the megaspore apparatus, and agree with Jain’s view. Many evolutionary events proceed from the complex to the simple, but they must be adapted to the environment if the race is to survive. Too many floats would be useless. If the periphery of megaspore has a thick wall to protect the megaspore then it would be peculiar for a multiplied organ to have developed. In addition, the fibers on the surface of the spore sac help fix the massulae of the microsporocarp to facilitate fertilization. The flagella evolve into the glochidia to facilitate the species breeding. That the useless structures were simplified and the useful structure was perfected is consistent with natural selection.

From the sequence of ontogenesis, the precursors of floats were sporangia inside the sporocarp. After megaspore had developed, other sporangia then formed the other parts of the megaspore apparatus. Given that ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny, an original species with multifloats is a reasonable assumption. Some of the sporangia that developed earlier in the evolutionary cycle coalesced to reduce the number of floats. In the back-floats of Rhizosperma, we have often seen a float divided into two. Sweet and Hills (3) also reported this phenomenon. So the megaspore apparatus in Rhizosperma sometimes had 10-12 floats of different sizes. It may be a phenomenon of return-to-ancestor. There is also circumstantial evidence for floats evolving from many to few.

According to present morphotaxonomy of Azolla, there are at least four species of Euazolla, distributed in a wide climatic range. But there are only three known species of Rhizosperma (A. imbricata, A. pinnata, and A. nilotica). It is usually thought that A. imbricata and A. pinnata may be the same species, so the species of Rhizosperma remain at only two. Rhizosperma not only has fewer species, but its original distribution was limited to the subtropics. Furthermore, although A. nilotica has nine floats, its massulae usually have no hairs or flagella. Whether or not they are all in an evolutionary stage, it must be admitted that wide adaptability characterizes biological evolution.

Because Azolla generally propagates vegetatively, it cannot produce sporocarps at the same time and at the same locality. It is difficult to do comparative research on the propagative organ. However, this paper relates to taxonomy, physiology, genetics, breeding, and utility of Azolla, subjects that should be thoroughly investigated.
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DISCUSSION

KANNAIYAN: Could you get much variation in the morphology and anatomy of *Azolla pinnata* var. *pinnata* and *Azolla pinnata* var. *imbricata*?

HE GUO-FAN: I did not conduct a study on this. *A. pinnata* did not produce sporocarps in Guangdong Province.
Methods for using *Azolla filiculoides* sporocarps to culture sporophytes in the field

**LU SHUYING**
Wenzhou Municipal Scientific and Technological Commission
Wenzhou, Zhejiang, China

Some practical techniques for using *Azolla filiculoides* sporocarps to raise seedlings in the ricefield are given. The techniques discussed include preparing the seedling bed, seeding, mulching, fertilizing, and floating young seedlings. At a sowing rate of 160 kg sporocarps fresh wt/ha, sporophyte yield at 52 d will range from 15.8 to 21 t sporophytes fresh wt/ha.

In recent years there has been a breakthrough in the main technique of using *Azolla filiculoides* sporocarps to raise young seedlings in the fields. Through it we can overcome the difficulties of survival of the sporophytes through winter in north China, and through summer in the south.

The productivity of *A. filiculoides* sporocarps is dependent on the environment. By applying the ZHG method, the collection rate is 80% or higher, with the highest yield of up to 1 t sporocarps fresh wt/ha. Therefore we can successfully culture sporocarps artificially by adjusting external conditions to the requirements of sporocarp germination and growth.

The process of raising seedlings may be divided into three stages: emergence, nursing, and floating.

1. **Emergence stage.** It requires 7-10 d from seeding until seedlings germinate. During this period sporocarps should be protected from rain, moisture maintained, and light intensity reduced.
2. **Nursing stage.** From 25 to 35 d are required for the seedlings to develop 11 buds. During this period moisture should be maintained, light intensity adjusted, and seedlings fertilized.
3. **Floating stage.** At this stage of development, seedlings may be transferred from wet soil culture to hydroponic culture to speed sporophyte multiplication.

Because these three managerial steps are synchronized to the three growth stages of the seedlings, the status of young seedlings cultured in the field may also be divided into three stages. In the first stage the young seedling grows 1 to 8 leaflets, has no side buds nor evidence of them, appears rather
Table 1. Number of buds at each seedling growth stage in the field culture process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>1-10DAS</th>
<th>11-20DAS</th>
<th>25DAS</th>
<th>30 DAS</th>
<th>35 DAS</th>
<th>40 DAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Buds sprouting</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Buds sprouting</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Buds sprouting</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Buds sprouting</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Av</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1-10 d after seedling (DAS) is emergence stage, 11-30 DAS is nursing stage, 35-40 DAS is floating stage.

Table 2. Emergence rate of sporocarps in different culture methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Seedlings (no.)</th>
<th>Emergence (%)</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seeding and culturing in floating bed</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sporocarps usually drift about and gather in large groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding in flooded bed, draining water, and culturing in wet bed</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sporocarps first gather slightly in groups, then are covered with mud, leading to lower emergence rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding and culturing in wet bed</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Sporocarps can be distributed evenly.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tender, and grows slowly at an average rate of 0.6-0.7 leaflets/d. In the second stage each seedling having 2-11 buds grows at the rate of 4-7 leaflets/d. In the third stage each sporophyte has more than 11 buds, and multiplies rapidly at an average rate of 15-18 leaflets/d (Table 1).

PREPARING SEEDLING BED

Tests show that the wet culture method is convenient for the germination of sporocarps and the early growing of seedlings. Various seedling beds, due to their different capacities of maintaining moisture and aeration, will produce differently according to culture method (Table 2).

A seedling bed paved with a layer of coarse sand on the paddy soil gives a 19-20% emergence rate; a bed paved with loess soil gives an emergence rate of 15.7-16.6% (Table 3) because of its poor aeration, water percolation, and tendency to harden. When the bed is made of paddy soil only, earthworms kill some seedlings. Therefore, the paddy field used to culture seedlings should have medium fertility and good drainage and irrigation. Beds should be about 2 m wide and of any convenient length. They should be longitudinally separated into three wet plots. After leveling the beds, 24.5-33.0 kg carbofuran/ha may be used to kill underground pests. After insecticide treatment sand can be spread and the bed seeded with sporocarps.
Table 3. Emergence rate of sporocarps in different surface treatment of seedbeds.\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seedbed treatment</th>
<th>Seedlings Emergence</th>
<th>Emergence rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Aug</td>
<td>11 Aug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved with loess</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved with fine sand</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddy soil only</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sand on paddy soil</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Seeding date, 23 Jul; test period, 25 d; mean moisture, 28.5; temperature, 24-33.5°C.

Table 4. Effect of seeding rate on number of seedlings in field culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seeding date</th>
<th>Seeding rate (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Emergence date</th>
<th>Seedling (no.)/11 dm\textsuperscript{2}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Aug</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1 Sep</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Sep</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>16 Sep</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Sep</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1 Oct</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Sep</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5 Oct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Effect of seeding date on number of buds produced.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seeding date</th>
<th>Buds/seedling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 DAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Aug</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Sep</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Sep</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DAS = days after seeding.

SEEDING SPOROCARPS

\textit{Dressing with soil powders}. To seed sporocarps evenly and achieve highest germination, the wet sporocarps should be dressed with dry soil at 15 ratio (wt/wt) before seeding.

\textit{Seeding density}. Two seeding rates are used, 160 kg wet sporocarps/ha and 80 kg wet sporocarps/ha. Emergence is 20\% at the higher seeding rate and 23.1\% at the lower rate. The relation between seeding rate and number of seedlings is given in Table 4.

\textit{Seeding time}. The effect of seeding rate on seedlings produced was similar for all seeding dates (Table 4). But the growth rate of seedlings differed; those planted later grew faster. The lower temperatures associated with later planting dates are more favorable for seedling growth (Table 5).

MULCHING

After being seeded, the nursery bed must be mulched. A wide range of materials can be used including nylon sheet, oil paper, bamboo curtain,
Table 6. Effect of mulching material on number of buds produced.\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Natural light (%)</th>
<th>1 Oct</th>
<th>7 Oct</th>
<th>12 Oct</th>
<th>17 Oct</th>
<th>22 Oct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bamboo curtain</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon sheet</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bamboo curtain added to nylon sheet</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil paper</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural light</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Mean value of 9, 13, or 15 h per daylight. Seeding date, 9 Sep 1980.

Zizania leaf, and rice straw. Mulching not only guards against erosion due to heavy rains, but helps maintain moisture and reduce light intensity. Different mulch materials and periods of mulching are required for different planting periods and seedling growth rates. For instance, using oil paper in a herringbone pattern (eave ht 7 cm) is better for keeping out rain, reducing temperature, and reducing sunlight. But seedlings can receive only 0.49% of natural light intensity in the daytime before 0900 h or after 1500 h. This mulch is suitable only in early autumn for 30 d or less.

Bow-shaped nylon sheet with rolled border is best for keeping out rain and preserving moisture, but it admits too intense light and permits too high temperature for optimum seedling growth. It must be supplemented with straw curtains to reduce light and temperature when seeding is done in the early autumn.

Nylon sheet mulching with the border sealed and the two ends left open is suitable for seeding in early spring or in late autumn, because of good light transmission and proper temperature.

No matter what mulching material is used, when the seedlings have reached the stage of 20-30 leaflets (4 or 5 buds), the mulch must be removed progressively to acclimate the seedling to the environment so they can be transferred to wet culture in the field.

The effect of mulching material on growth rate of seedlings is shown in Table 6.

FERTILIZING

Numerous trials have shown that young seedlings require external nutrition as soon as they sprout. N fertilizers promote rapid and even growth. NPK fertilizers give the best effect. For example, manures mixed with phosphorite composts not only provide nutrients for seedlings, but they are a good rooting medium. The effect of phosphonitrogen liquid fertilizer is not so good as that of using phosphorite fertilizer alone, because it promotes the growth of wild algae, which harm the seedlings (Table 7).
Table 7. Effect of fertilizers on seedling growth at the nursing stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dose (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Buds/seedling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 Oct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manure powder</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorite compost</td>
<td>Calcium superphosphorate 40 kg, ash 80 kg, fine soil 28 kg</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphonitrogen liquids</td>
<td>Urea 3 kg, calcium superphosphorate 4 kg, water 815 kg</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorite liquids</td>
<td>Calcium superphosphorate 4 kg, water 815 kg</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No fertilizer</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Effect on yield of floating seedlings at various growth stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buds/seedling</th>
<th>Yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Buddsseedling</th>
<th>Yield (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FLOATING SEEDLINGS

Floating seedlings means irrigating the nursery beds to float seedlings to the water surface for transfer into water culture from wet soil. When the seedlings have developed 10 buds and about 50 leaflets, they multiply quickly and may become overcrowded. Therefore they must be floated and transferred to wet culture in time for good growth to continue. In wet culture, 48 d after seeding, seedling yield is 4 t fresh wt/ha. Five days after floating, yields may be as high as 21 t/ha (Table 8). With no floating, yields are only 15.8 t/ha. If seedling yield is less than 4 t/ha, say about 3 t/ha, floating has little effect on rate of growth. The time of floating should be determined by the seedlings' growth period and growth status (yield per hectare). Seedlings may be floated and multiplied early, only if they have been densely seeded and performed well in the nursery.

The floating procedure is as follows. First, irrigate the nursery bed overnight. The next day rake the seedlings free from the soil by hand or with a bamboo rake. Then moisten the seedling with water (except on rainy days) and distribute them evenly over the field beds. If the bed is too long for the number of seedlings, the bed must be separated into smaller plots to prevent seedlings from being gathered into large groups because of the action of wind and rain. This ends the nursery stage and the young seedlings enter the sporophyte multiplication stage. Seedling management from this point on is the same for propagation in the paddy fields.
CONCLUSION

Preventing the nursery bed from eroding due to heavy rain is the key to the success in nursing seedlings in the field. The growth rate of young seedlings varies with the light, temperature, moisture, and fertilization. The timely floating of seedlings speeds growth remarkably. Therefore, we have to integrate these three major techniques in the overall process to effectively use sporocarps to raise sporophytes in the fields. How to enhance the germination rate in the field and how to accelerate the growth rate of young seedlings require further study.
Germination of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. sporocarps and factors affecting their growth

**XIAO QING-YUAN, SHI YAN-RU, YANG GUANG-LI, AND PENG KE-LIN**

Soil and Fertilizer Institute
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Hunan, China

The study of techniques for the sexual reproduction of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. should include collection, storage, and sprouting of sporocarps; large-scale cultivation of sporelings, etc. The three processes of sprouting of *A. filiculoides* — germination, greening, and shooting — are described, and the main factors that affect sprouting rate are analyzed. We conclude that 1) only mixed cultivation of fully mature megasporocarps and microsporocarps can lead to sprouting, 2) temperature affects sprouting rate, 3) sunlight is an indispensable condition for sprouting, and 4) cultivation in the dark tends to raise shooting rate.

China has a history of several centuries of cultivating *Azolla* for paddy field green manure (3). For a long time, the Chinese used the clipped lateral branches of the *Azolla* plant for reproduction. However, because the *Azolla* plant is rather weak, it has difficulty surviving winter and summer.

*Azolla* is a fern plant. Its life cycle is an obvious digenesis of a sporophyte stage and a gametophyte stage. How to master the process of sexual reproduction of *Azolla*, how to cultivate the sporelings of sporocarps, and how7 to make *Azolla* survive severe cold and intense heat remain major technical problems in applying *Azolla* to production.

To apply the sexual reproduction of *Azolla* to production, it is necessary to solve problems of collecting sporocarps, storing sporocarps, sprouting of sporocarps, and cultivating sporelings on a large scale. Of the four, collecting sporocarps is basic. This article gives a short account of the process of and the conditions necessary for the shooting of *A. filiculoides* sporocarps.

**GERMINATION PROCESS**

The process of germination of *A. filiculoides* sporocarps includes the formation of male and female gametophytes, combination of eggs and sperms into zygotes, and the growth of embryos.
According to obvious external changes and internal development, the sprouting process of *A. filiculoides* sporocarps may be divided into three periods: 1) germination, 2) screening, and 3) shooting (1).

**Germination period**
At 20-30 °C, it takes only 3-5 d for *A. filiculoides* sporocarps to develop into female gametophytes. Two or three days after germination begins, the megasporocarps show no obvious change. Absorption of water makes sporocarps swell slightly, and the floats are not yet opened. When the megaspores have grown into mature female gametophytes, the floats at the top open outward.

Between the floats are the prothallia of grown female gametophytes. They are colorless and semispherical. Archegonia and eggs grow inside the female gametophytes.

The whole process of microspores growing into male gametophytes takes place in massulae. The period in which microspores fully develop into male gametophytes is almost as long as that of female gametophytes. Upon maturity of *A. filiculoides* microsporocarps, the indusia break and all the layers of sporangia split. The massulae stick to the walls of the megaspores. The sperms produced by male gametophytes may escape from the massulae, all the sides of which have gelatinized.

**Greening period**
At 20-30 °C, sperms unite with eggs leading to the formation of zygotes, which develop into embryos. The process lasts only 2-3 d. In this stage, the most conspicuous external change of megaspores is represented by the presence of chlorophyll produced by the cells of female gametophytes in sunlight. As a result, female gametophytes turn light green and swell, stretching out from the shape of a ball to that of a drum. The embryos develop rapidly. Megasporocarps whose female gametophytes do not green nor change color immediately after greening will fail to germinate. The megasporocarps whose female gametophytes look dark green will not germinate either.

**Shooting period**
When the embryos reach a certain stage of development, the indusia of the megasporocarps are pushed aside and relocated at the top of sporangia, and cotyledons appear. This process takes only 1 or 2 d. Generally, one megasporocarp can produce only one young sporeling from the cervical archegonium at the top of the female gametophyte. But a small number of megasporocarps, if their floats are fully open, may sometimes germinate even 10-15 d after the maturity of the female gametophytes. The young sporelings produced in this way are grown from the sides of the female gametophytes. They are called *side sporelings*. According to Smith (2), the female gametophyte of *Azolla* first develops a cervical archegonium at its top. He says, “If there is no fertilization of the egg in this archegonium, additional archegonial initials
are differentiated lateral to it. If these fail to function, further archegonia are produced until a dozen or more have been formed.” Side sporelings come out from the additional cervical archegonium at the side. In the work of cultivation, we have found cases in which one megasporocarp bears two sporelings (two of the same size, or one large and a small one) or even three sporelings. But such cases are few.

CONDITIONS FOR SHOOTING

**Degree of maturity of sporocarps**

According to their external sizes, colors, and morphological changes, the maturity of *A. filiculoides* sporocarps is divided into three periods: 1) green maturity, 2) yellow maturity, and 3) full maturity.

*Green maturity.* The megasporocarp wall is light green. The fruit tips are purplish brown or reddish brown. Sporocarps can grow.

*Yellow maturity.* The megasporocarp wall turns from light green to light yellow. The fruit tips are brown. The lower part of the fruit is brownish red. The fruit no longer grows. At the end of the period, the tops and the bottoms of the megasporocarps turn greenish brown. The floats in the upper and lower parts are light yellow. The fruit walls are intact. The pits in the middle part are visible.

*Full maturity.* The sporocarps reach full maturity. The tops and bottoms of the megasporocarps are browner. The fruit walls are broken horizontally, presenting a crack. The base of the sporocarp is ball-shaped. There are notable protrusions on the surface.

Experiments show that *A. filiculoides* megasporocarps cannot shoot during green maturity or yellow maturity. In the course of cultivation, only 50-60% of megasporocarps that have outwardly reached full maturity can germinate. About 5-10% of the germinated sporocarps do not bud. The remaining 30-40% do not germinate at all.

The date of collection has much to do with the shooting rate (Table 1). The maturity rate of the seedlings of sporocarps collected from 30 May to 10 Jun is 15-28% higher than that of the sporocarps collected before 25 May. The germination rate is 31-60% higher, and shooting rate is 28-56% higher.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection date</th>
<th>Maturity rate (%)</th>
<th>Germination rate (%)</th>
<th>Shooting rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 May</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 May</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Jun</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mixed cultivation of megasporocarps and microsporocarps

By parthenogenetic cultivation, sporocarps will not shoot. With *Azolla filiculoides* no case of parthenogenesis has been found.

Under conditions of parthenogenetic cultivation, most megasporocarps can germinate. The floats open. Part of the female gametophytes appear green but they do not shoot. If the male and female gametophytes are cultivated together, most of the sporocarps can sprout. Sporocarps can sprout only after the megasporocarps and microsporocarps have germinated and formed male and female gametophytes.

There is no rigid restriction on the ratio of microsporocarps to megasporocarps. At maturity, and in the course of collection, *A. filiculoides* microsporocarps break. The massulae in the microsporangia attach themselves to the mature megasporocarps with glochidia. Thus, in cultivation, inoculation of additional microsporocarps is out of the question.

**Temperature**

*A. filiculoides* sporocarps can normally germinate at an average daily temperature of 20-30°C. Within this range a rise in temperature will relatively shorten the period of the germination of sporocarps.

Table 2 shows that at an average daily temperature of 32°C (ranging from 26 to 38°C), the period from sporocarp soaking to germination lasts at least 4 d and at most 26 d. Within 15-20 d, 46.5-78.7% of the sporocarps will begin to germinate. Upon germination, 80.2% of the sporocarps will turn green in 3-5 d. Of those, 66.6% will germinate in 2-3 d. It can be seen that in the course of the cultivation of sporocarp sporelings, the period from sporocarp soaking to germination is long. Sprouts will come out 5-8 d after germination.

Experiments show that at an average daily temperature of 20-30°C, the difference in the length of periods for sporocarps to germinate mainly results from the difference in the length of time required by the spores to develop into male and female gametophytes at various temperatures. Gametophytes grow slowly at low temperatures; they develop fast when the temperatures are high. At a temperature between 18 and 28.5°C, sporocarps begin to shoot about 7 d after germination.

Temperatures below 20°C are unfavorable for *A. filiculoides* sporocarps to germinate. Before germination, sporocarps can resist high temperature, but after germination they become less tolerant of heat.

**Sunlight**

Sunlight is a prerequisite for sporocarp germination. It is impossible for sporocarps to germinate without sunlight (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days from sporocarp soaking to germination</th>
<th>Days from germination to greening</th>
<th>Days from greening to shooting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Effects of sunlight on the germination and shooting of *A. filiculoides* sporocarps (4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of investigation</th>
<th>Total no. of fruit</th>
<th>Germinating fruit</th>
<th>Shooting fruit</th>
<th>In sunlight</th>
<th>In the dark</th>
<th>Germinating fruit</th>
<th>Shooting fruit</th>
<th>Total no. of fruit</th>
<th>Germinating fruit</th>
<th>Shooting fruit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Sep</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Oct</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Oct</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Effect of dark treatment of *A. filiculoides* sporocarps on shooting rate (5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total fruit (no.)</th>
<th>Shoot fruit (no.)</th>
<th>Shooting rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rate of germination of megasporocarps rises with lengthening exposure to sunlight. During germination, megasporocarps have a constant need for sunlight, the discontinuation of which is unfavorable for sprouting. Cultivation of sporocarps in the dark for some time before they are exposed to sunlight will, to a certain extent, raise germination and sprouting rates. Sprouting rate may rise 22.9-25.2% after treatment in the dark, which shortens the time required for germination in sunlight and helps raise the sprouting rate (Table 4).

**Water**

The sprouting of sporocarps requires adequate water. The sexual generation of *Azolla* produces antherozoids. Only through water can the sperm enter the archegonia and unite with the eggs. Generally, there is no strict requirement for water depth. Sporocarps placed in water or just moistened can germinate. But cultivating sporocarps in water before they begin to germinate and turn green increases shooting rate by as much as 51.5%.

After germination, sporocarps are highly sensitive to water. If the sporocarps are dry, the gametophyte cannot continue growing, resulting in the failure of sporocarps to shoot. The quality of water has much to do with shooting. Experiments show, however, that redistilled water, tap water, and water from the fields make little difference in cultivation.
REFERENCES CITED

4. Soil and Fertilizer Institute of the Academy of Agricultural Sciences of Hunan Province. 1978. Preliminary observations of the germination and shooting of Azolla sporocarps [in Chinese]. Changsha, China (mimeo.)
Morphogenesis of sporocarps of *Azolla microphylla*
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Material of *Azolla microphylla* (IRRI strain 418) was maintained in a N-free culture medium under controlled conditions in a growth cabinet. Developing microsporocarps and megasporocarps were fixed and embedded for observation by light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, and transmission electron microscopy. Spore mother cells were surrounded by a tapetal layer, which became periplasmoidal. Granular material deposited in large vacuoles aggregated to form the pseudocells of the massulae and floats. Other electron-dense granular material from the periplasmodium contributed to wall thickening in both types of spores. Megaspores had a three-layered sporoderm, with periplasmodium occurring within the interstices of the outer layer or exoperine. The periplasmodium showed zonation in the megasporangium: the predominant organelles differed with distance from the developing megaspore. The principal components of the cytoplasm in more mature megaspores and microspores were starch-containing plastids and abundant lipids. Cells of *Anabaena azollae* were present near the apex of both types of sporocarps. Attempts to induce sporulation with added hormones were not successful.

This study examined sporocarp development in *Azolla* at light and electron microscope levels, and began to examine the factors controlling sporocarp formation. Descriptions of sporocarp development in *Azolla filiculoides* (1, 3, 6), *A. pinnata* (11), and *A. nilotica* (4) exist at the light microscope level. In addition, mature sporocarps of several species have been observed with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) (2, 7, 12).

The origin of sporocarps has not been observed. Earlier descriptions (6, 11) attribute them to the lower lobe of the first leaf of a branch. Previous authors (1, 2, 4, 6, 11) also agree that initially, several microsporangia and a single megasporangium are initiated in each sporocarp, but in those destined to become microsporocarps the megasporangium aborts. A single megasporangium develops in the megasporocarps.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Material of *Azolla microphylla* (No. 418 of the IRRI culture collection) was grown in a N-free liquid medium in a growth cabinet at 25º/17ºC, 70% relative humidity, light intensity of 80 W/m², and a 12 h photoperiod. Sporocarps were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.0, for 3 h under vacuum, postfixed in 2% osmium tetroxide, and embedded in Spurr’s resin or in ultralow viscosity resin (TAAB). Infiltration extended over several days. Thin sections were stained with 2% uranyl acetate and Reynold’s lead citrate.

Experimental material was maintained in the basic nutrient medium to which various hormones had been added.

RESULTS

Observations on microsporocarps

Figure 1 shows stages in microsporogenesis in *A. microphylla*. Figure 1a shows a young microsporocarp in which the central megasporangium is degenerating. The two-layered indusium encloses also several developing microsporangia and cells of the endosymbiont, *Anabaena azollae*. At a slightly later stage (Fig. 1b) sporangia in which the original apical cell and stalk cell have divided to give a central sporogenous initial and tapetum, encased in a single-layered wall, can be seen (Fig. 1b, right). The sporogenous initial divides to form 8, then 16, microspore mother cells. At this stage TEM observations show that the sporogenous cells have starch-containing plastids and that lipid deposits are present in all layers. The sporogenous cells are connected to each other and to the cells of the tapetum by numerous plasmodesmata. The spore mother cells then acquire a cell wall which resembles callose, and the tapetal cells break down to form a periplasmodium. The latter, which is electron dense and contains nuclei and other organelles, infiltrates between the microspore mother cells.

Synaptonemal complexes are visible in the nuclei of the microspore mother cells, the walls of which break down. Synchronized meiosis then takes place, giving rise to tetrads of microspores with callose-like walls. The spore tetrads contain dictyosomes, microtubules, short lengths of endoplasmic reticulum, etc., but their cytoplasm is much less electron-dense than the surrounding periplasmodium, which is also beginning to become vacuolate (Fig. 1c). The microspores develop a thick exospore, which is probably derived from granular material in the vacuoles of the periplasmodium. The periplasmodium also gives rise to three massulae composed of pseudocells and bearing glochidia (Fig. 1d). The spores become engulfed by the massulae. In *A. filiculoides* the walls of the pseudocells consist of sporopollenin (12). A more complete account of these observations has been published elsewhere (8).
1. Stages in microsporogenesis in *Azolla microphylla*. a) L.S. Young microsporocarps in which the central megasporangium (Msp) is still present. Developing microsporangia (msp) occur on either side. Cells of *Anabaena azollae* (A) are present in the space above. b) L.S. microsporocarp with microsporangia in stages of development. ps = primary sporogenous cell, t = tapetum. c) Transmission electron micrograph of part of a microsporangium showing a tetrad of microspores (m). These have electron lucent cytoplasm compared to the surrounding periplasmodium (pp). d) T.S. microsporangium showing three pseudocellular massulae (mas) and engulfed microspores (m). Parts of glochidia (gl) are evident centrally. a, c, and d have been reproduced from Herd et al (9), with permission from the Royal Society of Edinburgh.
Observations on megasporocarps

Figure 2 shows stages in megasporogenesis in *A. microphylla*. A mature megasporocarp consists of a two-layered wall, enclosing a single megasporangium with a cavity above filled with *Anabaena* cells. Inside the wall of the megasporangium is the megaspore apparatus, consisting of a single basal megaspore with a thick wall or sporoderm, a collar, columella, and three floats. A suprafilosum consisting of filaments derived from the exoperine is present apically (Fig. 2a).

The earliest stages of megasporangium development were not observed. Eventually, all megaspores but one abort, leaving a centrally situated spore with a thin exine and peripheral cytoplasm with the usual organelles. A spiny excrescence abuts a channel in the dense tapetal periplasmodium which surrounds the megaspore (Fig. 2b). The periplasmodium is not uniform, but contains peripheral vacuoles, then a layer containing nuclei, endoplasmic reticulum associated with amyloplasts, mitochondria, lipid bodies, and polyribosomes. Immediately around the megaspore are ribosome-coated vesicles, and numerous microtubules, but no nuclei (Fig. 2b).

At a considerably later stage, the megaspore becomes crescent-shaped. A thick exine is present, and from this stage fixation of the contents was poor. Lipid and starch-containing plastids are present. In the upper parts of the megasporangium large vacuoles are evident in the sites of the future floats. Later, degenerated megaspores and granular material are deposited in these vacuoles, and distended cisternae of endoplasmic reticulum containing electron-dense material are formed around the floats. Granular material is also deposited in the space between the boundary of the periplasmodium and the exine of the spore. This material contributes to the endoperine, and the exoperine is derived from granular material in small vacuoles.

The outlines of the floats, columella, and collar gradually become distinguishable in the upper part of the sporangium (Fig. 2c), and the pseudocells of the floats form from granular material. Accumulations of membrane-bounded electron-dense material form the exoperinal filaments of the suprafilosum. Cells of *Anabaena* are evident below the indusium (Fig. 2c). Eventually the vacuole-derived material forming the pseudocells of the floats condenses to form electron-dense walls. When these walls are complete, the periplasmodium disappears. The megaspore itself is filled with reserves, including abundant lipid, and its wall consists of a thick exine, an endoperine, and a convoluted exoperine with the remains of the periplasmodium in its interstices (Fig. 2d). The development of the megasporocarp of *Azolla microphylla* is more fully described in Herd et al (9).

Experiments on sporocarp induction

Various growth substances, generally at 1.0, 10.0, and 100.0 mg/liter, added to the medium have so far failed to induce sporocarp formation. These substances include gibberellic acid, triiodobenzoic acid, ethrel, and abscisic acid. Higher concentrations of some of these substances inhibited growth.

The effects of changes in environmental conditions are being investigated.
2. Stages of megasporogenesis in *A. microphylla*. a) scanning electron micrograph of mature megaspore apparatus, showing the single megaspore (M) with convoluted exoperine (ex), collar (c), three floats (f), one of which is not visible, and the suprafilosum (sf). b) transmission electron micrograph (TEM) of a young megaspore (M) surrounded by periplasmodium (pp), comprising vacuoles (v) near the periphery, nuclei, plastids and mitochondria in the middle region, and microtubules, coated vesicles, etc., in the inner region. c) L.S. megasporocarp, showing a crescentic megaspore (M), floats (f), collar (c), and columella (cm). A = *Anabaena*; i = indusium; w = wall of megasporangium. d) TEM of fairly mature megaspore (M), with poorly preserved contents, showing the wall structure, comprising the exine (e), endoperine (en), and exoperine (ex). Portions of the peripiasmodium (pp) remain.
DISCUSSION

In the development of both microsporangia and megasporangia the tapetum gives rise to a periplasmodium. In the megasporangium, this is not uniform but contains different combinations of organelles with increasing distance from the megaspore. This zonation was previously noted with the light microscope (1, 11). Granular material in vesicles from the periplasmodium contributes to wall thickening in both types of spore.

Calvert et al (2) have pointed out that the massulae associated with the microspores are homologous with the floats of the megaspore apparatus. It was shown previously (12) by cytochemical methods that sporopollenin was a component both of these structures and of the spore wall, and our observations lend general support to this and suggest the source of origin. Recent experiments involving extraction of dried sporocarps of *A. mexicana* with solvents, followed by acetylation, have indicated that sporopollenin forms 30-45% of the dry weight of the spores (14).

The presence of this extremely resistant material both in the spore wall and in components of the *capture mechanism* are clearly important in *Azolla*'s ability to survive unfavorable conditions before and during sexual reproduction.

The capacity to induce sporulation at will in *Azolla* would clearly be important in the selection of improved strains for use in rice fields. To date, little success has been attained. The structural complexity of the reproductive structures, long known at the light microscope level and now further demonstrated at the ultrastructural level, may provide one reason for this. Observations that sporulation of natural populations of *Azolla* was affected by environmental conditions such as temperature and photoperiod (5, 10, 13) suggest that experimental modification of environmental conditions may be a productive line of investigation, but it seems unlikely that any simple relationship between a single factor and sporulation will be established.
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**DISCUSSION**

LUMPKIN: Fertile *Azolla* plants have been observed to produce different ratios of megasporocarps to microsporocarps. Could you speculate on the possible purpose or ecological advantage that this variation may impart? it would probably be an advantage to agronomists to cause the plant to produce more megasporocarps because human intervention should reduce the requirement for larger quantities of microspores.

CUTTER: The development of a sporocarp as a megasporocarp or microsporocarp must be determined at an early stage, and presumably must depend on whether the megasporangium aborts. I suspect that this depends in turn upon environmental conditions at that specific time. There may be no ecological advantage or disadvantage unless the proportion of megasporocarps falls below a certain level; but clearly it would be agronomically advantageous to be able to increase the proportion of megasporocarps. Unfortunately, we do not yet know how to do this.

LADHA: Do you consistently observe blue-green alga *Anabaena* cells in microsporocarps and megasporocarps?
CUTTER. Yes, although we believe they may degenerate in later stages of development of microsporocarps.

KANNAIYAN: At what stage of sporocarp development do the *Anabaena azolla* enter into it?
CUTTER. It is present in the earliest stage that we have observed, containing young sporangia.
Biochemical basis of *Azolla*-Anabaena *azollae* symbiosis

J.K. LADHA AND I. WATANABE
International Rice Research Institute
P.O. Box 933, Manila, Philippines

Important biochemical processes of *Azolla* and *Anabaena azollae* are reviewed, with special emphasis on the specificity of symbiont to host and host to symbiont. N\textsubscript{2} fixation occurs only in symbiont *A. azollae* cells; most of the energy is supplied by photosynthesis in host *Azolla*. Characteristically low levels of ammonium-assimilating enzymes in *A. azollae* make the N\textsubscript{2} fixation process very efficient. N is fixed by the symbiont and transported to the host; the host incorporates the newly fixed N into the amino acids. Probably the amino acids, along with reductant and photosynthate, then are supplied from the host to the symbiont. Because *A. azollae* is associated with *Azolla* throughout its life cycle, a free-living stage of the symbiont is not needed. Although the algal symbiont is said to have been isolated and cultured in a free-living stage, Koch’s postulates have not been satisfied. Through immuno-fluorescence and DNA probe techniques, the symbiont *A. azollae* has been found to be similar across host species and geography. The *Azolla* leaf cavity has been shown to produce lectin; lectin may be involved in establishing or feeding the symbiotic relationship.

Worldwide, distribution of *Azolla* is represented by six recognizable species: *A. filiculoides*, *A. caroliniana*, *A. mexicana*, *A. microphylla*, *A. nilotica*, and *A. pinnata*. Their taxonomies are based primarily on vegetative and reproductive structures. All known species and strains contain the N\textsubscript{2}-fixing blue-green alga *Anabaena azollae*.

The sporophyte of *Azolla* consists of a branched floating stem bearing leaves and true roots. The *Anabaena* symbiont occupies a specialized cavity in the aerial dorsal leaf lobes (14). The cavity also contains numerous epidermal hairs. These hair cells seem to be used to exchange metabolites between host and symbiont.

A unique feature of the *Azolla*-Anabaena symbiosis is the presence of symbiont in the host megasporocarp during its sexual cycle (Fig. 1). This continuous association between *A. azollae* and *Azolla* eliminates the need for a free-living stage of the symbiont. Although the algal symbiont is said to have
been isolated and cultured in a free-living state, Koch's postulates have not been satisfied with any of the strains isolated (15). Using immunofluorescence, Ladha and Watanabe (7) provided the first evidence that *A. azollae* freshly separated from several geographically remote specimens and species of *Azolla* show identical and highly specific antigens.

Because the blue-green algal symbiotic system with *Azolla* is important in rice cultivation, the *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis has attracted the attention of agronomists (24). Peters and his colleagues (16) generated a great deal of information on the physiology and biochemistry of the *Azolla-Anabaena azollae* symbiosis. But basic knowledge of the *Azolla-Anabaena azollae* symbiosis is low compared to what is known about other symbiotic systems. Many aspects of the nature of the algal symbiont and infection process are still unexplained.

In this review, we highlight important biochemical processes complementary to both parents, with special emphasis on the specificity of the symbiont to the host and of the host to the symbiont.

**PHOTOSYNTHESIS, N₂ FIXATION, AND AMMONIUM ASSIMILATION**

The host *Azolla* contains chlorophyll a and b and carotenoids; the symbiont *Anabaena* contains chlorophyll a, phycocyanin, allophycocyanin, and phycerythrocyanin (22). The symbiont accounts for less than 20% of the association's chlorophyll and about 16% of the total protein. The *Azolla-Anabaena* association exhibits Calvin cycle, with phosphoglyceric acid as the initial product. Sucrose is a primary end product in *Azolla*, but not in the isolated symbiont (18).
Peters and Mayne (12) suggested that the symbiont *A. azollae* might exhibit photoheterotrophic carbon metabolism. Ray et al (18) confirmed this and suggested the existence of a transition, with increasing differentiation of the symbiont, from photoautotrophic metabolism in generative filaments to photoheterotrophic metabolism. Peters et al (16) confirmed that sucrose is synthesized only by the host, not by the symbiont, and is supplied to the symbiont.

Acetylene reduction assay and $^{15}$N$_2$ incorporation have shown that N$_2$ fixation occurs only in the symbiont *Anabaena* cells (12, 13). Photosynthesis is the ultimate source of all the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and reductant needed for N$_2$ fixation. Although Photosystem II (PS II) is required to provide photosynthate for reducing power, it has been shown that it is not a principal source of ATP for N$_2$ fixation. The primary source of ATP for N$_2$ fixation in light is Photosystem I (PS I). These results, and other studies, suggest a strong interaction between photosynthesis and N$_2$ fixation (23).

*A. azollae* has two kinds of cells: vegetative cells and heterocyst. The heterocyst is the actual site of N$_2$ fixation. A remarkable feature of symbiotic *A. azollae* is very high heterocyst frequency: the distance between 2 heterocysts is about 3-5 vegetative cells. In free-living blue-green algae, that distance is 15-30 vegetative cells (Fig. 2.1). The high heterocyst frequency in symbiont *A. azollae* could be explained by its characteristically low levels of ammonium-assimilating enzymes.

Diagrammatic representations of heterocysts and vegetative cells illustrate the different nitrogen metabolism enzymes (Fig. 3). The enzyme nitrogenase is present in heterocyst. Energy for N$_2$ fixation is supplied by PS I or cyclic photophosphorylation; the reductant comes from the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway. The newly fixed N is incorporated into glutamine via glutamine synthetase (GS) present in the heterocyst. The enzyme glutamate synthase (GOGAT) has been found to be active only in the vegetative cell, so glutamate is supplied from the vegetative cell to the heterocyst.

A unique feature of heterocysts is that they cannot fix carbon and do not have PS II. Because nitrogenase is highly oxygen sensitive, the heterocyst cannot afford to have PS II. Thus, the heterocyst fixes N, which is transported to a nearby vegetative cell. The Vegetative cell, in turn, fixes carbon and transports it to the heterocyst. This is a good example of symbiosis at the cellular level.

The heterocyst formation is believed to be regulated by newly fixed N or its product (5, 21). Studies of ammonia-assimilating enzymes in the *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis and the *Anabaena* symbiont showed that, although both partners had GS, GOGAT, and glutamic dehydrogenase (GDH) activity, the host was estimated to account for at least 90% of the association’s GS activities and 80% of the total GDH (17).

The specific GS activities of symbiont *A. azollae* were half those obtained with free-living blue-green algae. Orr and Haselkorn used antiserum to GS
2. Photomicrographs of 1) symbiotic *Anabaena azollae* cells under normal microscope; 2) under fluorescence microscope (red color is due to autofluorescence of chlorophyll); 3) fresh cells stained with homologous FA showing typical yellow-green fluorescence of the fluorescein isothiocyanate; 4) Newton's culture stained with homologous FA; and 5) symbiotic *A. azollae* cells along with *Azolla* plant debris stained with Newton's *Anabaena* FA. Symbiotic *A. azollae* did not show any staining while the *Azolla* debris showed nonspecific staining.

from free-living *Anabaena* 7120 (11). They reported that the symbiont *A. azollae* exhibited only 5% of the GS protein exhibited by free-living *Anabaena azollae* (Newton). Peters et al (16) analyzed hair cells and symbiont of the leaf cavity of *Azolla* (Table 1) and confirmed the low GS activity associated with *A. azollae*. However, the GDH activity previously attributed to the symbiont resulted from the incomplete removal of cavity hairs.

It would be interesting to find out why the symbiont showed half the specific GS activity of free-living blue-green algae, despite the presence of only 5-10% of the GS protein. The enzymatic studies of *Azolla* symbiosis were
3. N\textsubscript{2} fixation and NH\textsubscript{3} assimilation pathways in vegetative cell and heterocyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Biosynthetic activity</th>
<th>Transferase activity</th>
<th>GDH\textsuperscript{b}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
<td>44 ± 1</td>
<td>921 ± 10</td>
<td>31 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anabaena with cavity hairs</td>
<td>14 ± 3</td>
<td>192 ± 11</td>
<td>48 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anabaena</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>170 ± 39</td>
<td>2 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple hairs</td>
<td>28 ± 7</td>
<td>106 ± 41</td>
<td>469 ± 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched hairs</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>397 ± 67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}nmoles \textit{y}-glutamylhydroxamate formed/mg protein per min. \textsuperscript{b}nmoles NADH oxidized/mg protein per min. N.D. = not detectable, GDH = glutamic dehydrogenase, NADH = nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide.

made on individual partners after they were physically separated. The levels of ammonium-assimilating enzymes actually active in the symbiont and host in vivo are not known. The possibility that the physical separation of symbiont from host does not activate detectable GS/GOGAT and GDH enzymes cannot be ruled out.

The occurrence of low level and low activity GS protein in the symbiont could be important in explaining high heterocyst frequency and N\textsubscript{2}-fixing activity. When GS in free-living N\textsubscript{2}-fixing blue-green algae is inhibited by metabolic inhibitors such as L-methionine-DL-sulfoxinine (MSO) (19), or 5-hydroxylsine (HL) (6), the alga continues to produce heterocysts and fix N
in the presence of $\text{NH}_4^+$, probably until the endogenous reserve of reductant, photosynthate, and amino acids is exhausted (Fig. 4).

A similar mechanism seems to be operating in Azolla–Anabaena symbiosis, where N is fixed by the symbiont, then transported to the host. The host Azolla incorporates this newly fixed N into amino acids. Probably these amino acids, along with reductant and photosynthate, are then supplied to the symbiont (Fig. 5). How far the symbiont depends on host for its amino acid requirement in vivo is not known.

ANTIGENIC CHARACTERISTICS AND LECTIN PRESENCE IN *ANABAENA AZOLLAE—AZOLLA* ASSOCIATION

Until recently, whether the symbiont *A. azollae* was similar or different in species and strains of *Azolla* was not known (15). The main problem in resolving this question was the inability to grow the symbiont in a free-living state, without the host. Although a few reports claimed isolation and culture of *A. azollae* free from host, the claims were not supported with appropriate evidence.

Ladha and Watanabe (7) used an immunofluorescence technique to study the problem. Several antisera and fluorescent antibodies (FAs) were prepared against symbiotic *A. azollae* (Fig. 2) freshly separated from different species of *Azolla*, free-living *Anabaena* sp., and *Anabaena*-free *Azolla* (Table 2).
5. Exchange of metabolites between host and symbiont in *Azolla*.

**Table 2. Antisera of fluorescent antibodies prepared against symbiotic and free-living *Anabaena* and *Anabaena*-free *Azolla* and their source.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Accession no. and source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Symbiotic <em>A. azollae</em> separated from <em>A. pinnata</em></td>
<td>22, Tancheng, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>A. pinnata</em></td>
<td>39, MIA, Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>A. filiculoides</em></td>
<td>106, Hamburg, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>A. caroliniana</em></td>
<td>301, Ohio, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>A. microphylla</em></td>
<td>412, Paraguay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Free-living <em>Anabaena</em> species</td>
<td><em>A. azollae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Newton (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>A. azollae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bai Ke-zhi (China)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATCC 22664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><em>Anabaena</em>-free <em>Azolla pinnata</em></td>
<td>22, Tancheng, China</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immunofluorescence reactions of the FAs against several symbiotic and free-living blue-green algae are shown in Table 3.

Cells of *A. azollae* showed red autofluorescence of the chlorophyll when they were observed unstained under the fluorescent microscope (Fig. 2.2). However, specifically stained vegetative cells fluoresced with the typical yellow-green of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC). Heterocysts appeared completely red, indicating that the antibody was produced mostly against vegetative cells (Fig. 2.3, 2.4). All FAs against symbiotic *A. azollae* strongly cross-reacted with symbiotic *Anabaena* of all species and specimens of *Azolla* tested, but not with any of the free-living blue-green algae. This clearly indicates that the symbiotic *Anabaena* from all species of *Azolla* share identical and highly specific antigens.
Table 3. FA reaction of Anabaena azollae against selected symbiotic and free-living blue-green algae (7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen (accession no.)</th>
<th>FA reaction of accessions and FA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbiotic A. azollae&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; separated from</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. pinnata (22)</td>
<td>4+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. pinnata (39)</td>
<td>3+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. filiculoides (106)</td>
<td>3+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. caroliniana (301)</td>
<td>3+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. microphylla (412)</td>
<td>4+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbiotic Nosroc sp. separated from the coralloid root of 5 species of Cycas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free-living blue-green algae&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. azollae (Newton)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. azollae (Bai Ke-zhi)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. flos-aquae (ATCC 22664)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>An dash (-) indicates no detectable fluorescence. 1+ to 4+ indicate minimum to maximum fluorescence. Underscoring indicates a homologous reaction. <sup>b</sup>Symbiotic A. azollae separated from following species and strains of Azolla showed 3+ to 4+ fluorescence against FAs of Nos. 22, 39, 106, 301, and 412 and no reaction against FAs of Newton and Bai: Azolla pinnata from Philippines (accession nos. 1, 15, 24, 36), Malaysia (2), Indonesia (3), Thailand (5, 6), Bangladesh (11), Nepal (13), Vietnam (17), China (29), India (23, 44), and Ivory Coast (25); A. filiculoides from E. Germany (101), USA (107,108); A. mexicana from USA (201) and Guyana (20); A. caroliniana from Uruguay (304); A. microphylla from Paraguay (401,408); and A. nilotica from Sudan (501).<sup>c</sup> These species and strains of free-living blue-green algae showed no fluorescence against all FAs: A. subcylindrica (CCAP 1403/4b Netherlands), A. variabilis and A. Rorulosa (India), A. cylindrica (China), Anabaena sp. (PCC 7120 and PCC 7122, France), Anabaena sp. (CA, USA), Nostoc sp. (PCC 73102, France), Nostoc sp. (Sri Lanka), Gloeotrichia sp. (Philippines), and Oscillatoria sp. (PCC 7515, France).

Franche (1) used DNA probes to reach similar conclusions. He found that, regardless of the geographical origin of Azolla, the size of the A. azollae DNA fragments which hybridized to the Anabaena sp. PCC7120 nif K and nif D genes was identical in each symbiotic Anabaena.

The FA against free-living A. Azollae that Newton and Bai claimed to have isolated did not cross-react with any of the symbiotic A. Azollae (Fig. 2.5) or free-living blue-green algae tested except A. flos-aquae (ATCC 22664). A. flos-aquae strongly cross-reacted with Newton FA at a similar antibody dilution. The close identity of these two strains was further confirmed by absorption of Newton FA. Gates et al (3) reported similar results. They speculated that during isolation and culturing, the symbiotic A. Azollae either changed its morphology and surface antigenicity or mutated.

It seems unlikely that highly specific antigens of symbiotic A. Azollae would change to such an extent that they would become identical to those of the already existing free-living species A. flos-aquae. Considering the results obtained by Ladha and Watanabe (7, 8), Gates et al (3) suspected that the strain isolated by Newton is probably not a true isolate of A. azollae. This could be clarified by reintroducing this isolate into Anabaena-free Azolla.
Table 4. FA reactivity of Anabaena-free Azolla pinnata antiserum (8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen (accession no.)</th>
<th>FA reactivity against Anabaena-free Azolla antiserum *a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fronds of Anabaena-free Azolla pinnata 22</td>
<td>4+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbiotic A. azollae separated from</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. pinnata 22</td>
<td>2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. pinnata 39</td>
<td>2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. pinnata 44</td>
<td>2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. filiculoides 106</td>
<td>2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. caroliniana (301)</td>
<td>2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free-living Anabaena sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. azollae (Newton)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. flos-aquae ATCC 22664</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. torulosa</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. variabilis</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a A dash (–) indicates no detectable fluorescence. 1+ to 4+ indicate minimum to maximum fluorescence. Underscoring indicates a homologous reaction.

Ladha and Watanabe (7) found that FA reactivity with symbiotic A. azollae was reduced when FA against Anabaena azollae was absorbed with crude leaf extract suspension of Anabaena-free Azolla. This indicates the possibility that cross-reactive antigens exist between Azolla leaves and the surfaces of A. azollae. This was further confirmed using indirect FA against Anabaena-free Azolla. FA reactions of Anabaena-free Azolla antiserum against symbiotic A. azollae showed 2+ fluorescence; none of the free-living Anabaena sp., including A. azollae (Newton), showed any cross-reaction (Table 4).

Cross-reactive antigens identified as polysaccharide have been reported between legumes and Rhizobium symbiosis (2). A successful symbiosis probably requires an interaction between these cross-reactive antigens and the lectins of the legumes.

Mellor et al (9) reported the presence of lectin in Azolla-Anabaena symbiosis. They found that extracts of whole A. caroliniana and of Anabaena-free Azolla plants caused agglutination of human erythrocytes; extracts of symbiotic A. azollae freshly separated from the A. caroliniana plants or free-living A. azollae (Newton) did not. In contrast, Kobiler (4) reported haemagglutination activity in extracts of free-living A. azollae but very low activity in extracts of A. filiculoides.

Using Azolla plants completely free from Anabaena cells, Ladha and Watanabe (8) found a higher haemagglutination activity in extracts from whole Azolla-Anabaena symbiosis than from Anabaena-free Azolla and absolutely no activity in symbiotic or free-living A. azollae. This clearly shows that the presence of lectin in the Azolla-Anabaena symbiosis was due only to its occurrence in the host Azolla, not to its occurrence in algal cells. Mellor et al
(10) further showed that the major locus of the lectin produced by the *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis is around the *Anabaena*.

To establish eukaryotic plant and blue-green algal symbiosis, the host plant must be infected with a specific blue-green algal symbiont. In most symbiotic systems (including the legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis), the association of the symbiont with its host is not maintained throughout the host’s life cycle (20). The association between *Azolla* and *Anabaena* is the only relationship known in which the association between symbiont and host continues during the sporophyte and gametophyte cycles. Also, it has not been possible to isolate and culture the *Anabaena* symbiont free from its *Azolla* host.

These facts would seem to rule out the need for the host to be infected by free-living *Anabaena*. It also suggests that such a host-specific algal symbiont is likely to exist in the free-living state.

What is the role of lectin in *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis? Mellor et al (10) suggested that lectin might be involved in establishing or feeding the partnership. More work is required on characterizing the reactivity of host cell-originated antigen, symbiont antigen, and lectin to explain their roles in the *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis.
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**DISCUSSION**

KULASOORIYA: Do you know whether the endosymbiotic cavity of the *Azolla* is aerobic or microaerobic? Do you know if vegetative cells of *Anabaena azollae* also fix any \( \text{N}_2 \) inside the *Azolla* plants and whether \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation takes place exclusively in the heterocysts?

LADHA: I do not know if any information is available on this aspect but I would think that there is aerobic condition in the cavity. Again, I do not know if there is any published work available to answer your question. However, the presence of a very high heterocyst frequency in symbiotic *A. azollae* would rule out such a possibility. If we think that vegetative cells might also be fixing nitrogen because of microaerobic condition from the generative *Anabaena filaments* in the leaf, then apical colonies which lack heterocysts should also fix nitrogen. But this is not the case.

KANNAIYAN: What is the role of lectin in *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis, since *Anabaena* does not infect the cells of *Azolla*?

LADHA: At present we do not know the exact role of lectin in *Azolla-Anabaena azollae* symbiosis.

LUMPKIN: How have you verified that *Azolla* provides glutamate and glutamine to the *Anabaena*? How did you remove or transfer hairs from the *Anabaena* colonies which were used in the immunological technique?
LADHA: I have not verified this, but it could be glutamine, glutamate, and/or other amino acids. At present it is not clear whether amino acids are transported from *Azolla* to *Anabaena* and, if so, which amino acids are transported. *A. azollae* cells were separated by the roller method of Peters and Mayne. We did not remove hair cells. There was *Azolla* plant debris with the *Anabaena* preparation, but the amount was very small compared to the *Anabaena* fraction. Anyway, the antibody prepared that way was purified by adsorbing with *Anabaena*-free *Azolla*.

LI HI-RU: Does the algal *Anabaena* get into the cavity of *Azolla* leaves from irrigation, water or does it harbor inside the spore before the *Azolla* plant develops?

LADHA: We do not know if *Anabaena* cells can enter the *Azolla* leaf-cavity from outside. That is unlikely, because *Anabaena* cells are present throughout the growth cycle of *Azolla*.
Some physiological properties of akinetes of \textit{Anabaena azollae}

BAI KE-ZHI, Wu GUOLIANG, AND CHENG CUI (C.TSUI)
Institute of Botany
Academia Sinica
Beijing, China

Results of studies on the physiological properties of the akinetes of \textit{Anabaena azollae} to probe the mechanism of synchronized development are summarized. Simple methods for akinete isolation and preservation were developed focusing on the mechanism of their light-dependent germination. Two maxima occurred in the spectra, one at 385 nm and the other at 615 nm. The action of photosynthate on germination processes is confirmed. The photoreceptor absorbing at 385 nm was identified as NaN$_3$-sensitive, and that at 615 nm as phytochrome or its analogues. A model for the mode of action of light in the germination of akinetes is suggested.

In the sexual reproductive cycles of \textit{Azolla} during which the microsporocarps and megasporocarps are formed, akinetes of the symbiont \textit{Anabaena azollae} also formed and were incorporated into the sporocarps. Under ideal conditions, spores of the fern and akinetes of the alga will germinate synchronously to form new symbiotic bodies. Almost nothing is known about how the fern and the alga coordinate their development in nature. As a preliminary attempt at explanation, this paper deals with akinete isolation, preservation, and germination processes using free-living \textit{A. azollae} that were isolated in our laboratory (1) as test materials.

In N-free BG-11 medium, the akinete-rich cultures were obtained by inoculating the routine culture to \textit{standard sporulation medium} (9) and incubating in dim light 6-8 wk. The akinete-rich culture of \textit{A. azollae} was harvested by centrifuging the culture at 1,000 g. The collected algal slurry was pretreated with a glass homogenizer and then mixed 10 times with 0.2% (v/v) digitonin, and incubated at room temperature for 24 h. After all the vegetative cells of the filaments were digested, the mixture was again centrifuged at 1,000 g and the supernatant was discarded. The akinetes were washed three times with distilled water and then transferred to the BG-11 medium with adequate akinete concentration and refrigerated.
By adding a drop of akinete suspension onto an agarose plate and incubating it under adequate light to 24-84 h, more than 90% akinetes germinated, a rate equal to or slightly higher than the germination rate of intact akinetes.

This method has also been used satisfactorily for *A. cylindrica* and *A. variabilis*.

The akinete isolation method described here is simple and safe for the akinetes. In an experiment, akinetes germinated normally even after treatment with digitonin for 72 h (3). With some modification, the method can be used to isolate proheterocysts and heterocysts that exhibit N-fixing activity (5).

The akinetes immersed in BG-11 N-free medium were placed into a stainless steel vessel without cryoprotectants and immersed in liquid N for 8 wk. At the end of the period, the akinetes were thawed in a 30 ºC water bath for 5 min and inoculated on the surface of a 1.5% agarose medium for germination. About half of the akinetes germinated normally, indicating that cryopreservation is a potentially simple and labor- and space-saving method for long-term preservation of blue-green algae (2).

It has been reported that akinete germination of some species of blue-green algae is light-dependent (6). It was confirmed in *A. azollae* in our experiments. The influence of light intensities on the germination of *A. azollae* akinetes shows that 25,000 erg/cm² per s had an excellent effect in the 32 h continuous light regime within the range of 1,000-100,000 erg/cm² per s.

A group of interference filters with half-band width 2.5-4 nm and transmittances of 40-60% were used to obtain monochromatic light to observe

1. Effect of wavelength on the germination of akinetes of *A. azollae*. 
the effects of light of different wavelengths under uniform light flux rate (2,000 erg/cm² per s) on the germination of akinetes. Figure 1 shows that the effects of 615 nm and 385 nm light irradiations are much stronger.

To ascertain whether the pigment absorbing at 615 nm is reversible, the red/far-red reversibility experiment was carried out. It shows the typical phytochrome reaction (Table 1), although the red light absorbing maxima shifted from 660 to 615 nm.

According to Mohr (7), the crucial test for the existence of functional phytochrome is dichromatic irradiation. The results of simultaneous irradiation with red/far-red and blue-UV/far-red light show that the morphogenetic effect of red light is clearly abolished by far-red light (Fig. 2). This result confirms that the photoreceptor absorbing at 615 nm is phytochrome or its analogues and that at 385 nm it is a pigment different from phytochrome. The 385 nm absorbing pigment proved to be NaN₃-sensitive (4).

It is not surprising to find the blue-UV photoreceptor in the akinetes of blue-green algae, although it has not been described in the literature, because this photoreceptor is detectable in different plants and acts as a sensor pigment in a number of responses.

Table 1. Effect of the reversible red (R) far-red (FR) control on the germination of akinetes of *Anabaena azollae.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Germination rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48 h dark (control)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h R</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h R, 3.5 h FR</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h R, 3.5 h FR, 3 h R</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h R, 3.5 h FR, 3 h R, 3.5 h FR</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h R, 3.5 h FR, 3 h R, 3.5 h FR, 3 h R</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*R = 615 nm, 600 erg/cm² per s; FR = 743 nm, 5,500 erg/cm² per s.*

2. Effect of dichromatic irradiation on the germination of akinetes of *A. azollae.*
The action of photosynthate on germination is demonstrated by the CO$_2$ released to the atmosphere in the germination process (4).

The results reported here confirm that both the photosynthetic activity, which supplies energy for akinete germination, and the triggering action of red and blue-UV light are involved in germination. A hypothetical model (Fig. 3) to represent the relation between the two factors in an akinete population is proposed. It is supposed that any population of akinetes is a mixture of four subpopulations differing in photosynthe storage (C) and trigger action (T). Different populations would show different light-dependent reactions because the ratio of their four subpopulations varies. For instance, the akinetes of subpopulation III (Fig. 3) could germinate under blue-UV light, while the akinetes of subpopulation IV must be irradiated by red or white light to provide the carbohydrate supply and to elicit a triggering action. Using this model, all of the conflicting results so far reported (6) can be explained satisfactorily.
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USE OF AZOLLA FOR MULTIPLE PURPOSES
Reevaluation of *Azolla* utilization in agricultural production

LIU CHUNG-CHU
Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Fuzhou, China

For too long *Azolla* has been studied mainly as a source of N for rice fields. Most literature on *Azolla* revolves around this rather limited application. The techniques for cultivation and utilization of *Azolla* have been developed from the traditional methods of growing *Azolla* in association with rice and incorporating it as a fertilizer. Our recent investigations, however, show that the potential for *Azolla* in agricultural production goes far beyond its N$_2$-fixation capability or its use as green manure. A reevaluation of *Azolla* to develop new ways to utilize it and to give it full play in agricultural production is recommended.

**AZOLLA AS A POTENTIAL POTASSIUM SOURCE**

*Azolla* contains 2-3.5% K. Where does the K come from and what is its effect on rice plants? To answer these questions, we have conducted experiments since 1979 to determine the source of *Azolla* K and its utilization by the rice plant.

**Potassium-enriching capability of *Azolla***

*Azolla* has a strong ability to concentrate K from the water in which it grows. Our data show that the peak for K absorption of *Azolla* is around 0.85 ppm K$_2$O when cultivated in various concentrations of K medium, i.e. 1g *Azolla* biomass is able to take up about 70% of K from 800 ml of culture solution containing 0.85 ppm K$_2$O in 1 d (Fig. 1). This may be considered the physiological critical point of *Azolla’s* K requirement.

Generally, the kinetic curve of K uptake by the rice plant is similar to that of *Azolla*, but the level is different. Peak of K uptake for rice is 8 ppm, so the physiological critical value of K for rice is 10% lower than that of *Azolla*. The capability of the rice plant to recover K reaches 0 when the K concentration in exterior solution decreases to about 1.05 ppm. If it drops further, the dynamic equilibrium between K absorption and K excretion is negative (Fig. 2). The natural K concentration in irrigation water of rice fields is about 1-5 ppm K$_2$O under the utilization range of *Azolla*, hence *Azolla* can concentrate trace K and release it to rice after it is incorporated into the soil.
2. K absorption by rice from solution and K$_2$O concentration in plant as related to K$_2$O concentration in solution.

**Efficiency of Azolla potassium on rice**

Because it releases K rapidly, if we incorporate *Azolla* biomass into soil 1 wk before early rice transplanting and assume 80% K efficiency in the same cropping season, *Azolla* K efficiency is equal to or better than that achieved by applying an equal amount of chemical K (Fig.3). When *Azolla* decomposes, its
K content is excreted mainly in the form of available or slowly available K. The available K in water does not increase (Fig. 4), so runoff and leaching losses are less important. The amount of K released can meet the rice crop requirements throughout its growth. As a result, the K supply and the K content of the rice plant are greatly increased. It must be noted that if we apply 70% Azolla and 30% chemical fertilizer to the rice crop, the N supply may be increased at an earlier stage, because Azolla N, as shown by many experiments, is released slowly and the utilization rate is lower in the same cropping season. It is reasonable to conclude that this method of applying fertilizer may also improve K supply at an earlier stage, giving full play to the K-supplying ability of Azolla.

Pot and plot experiments were conducted on different soils of plain and mountain areas in Fujian Province in 1984. The focal point was in the mountainous area because soil fertility is low and K deficiency is easily observed. Highest yields were achieved with an application of 70% Azolla and 30% inorganic fertilizer (Table 1).

Azolla is an excellent and effective K source, and may be a potential source of biological K in rice producing areas.
4. The dynamic change of available K of water as affected by various K sources.

Table 1. Effect of application of *Azolla* and fertilizer on rice yield (extrapolated from pot and plot experiments).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Rice yield (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Av 12 sites in Fujian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% <em>Azolla</em> + 30% fertilizer</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% chemical fertilizer (NPK)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N, P</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control, no fertilizer</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Potassium enrichment in the water-soil system**

*Azolla* is grown mostly in paddy fields in shallow water. The total system is in a water-soil condition, and K is under dynamic equilibrium. We need to know if *Azolla* growing on the water surface and concentrating K from the water layer affects the K content in soil. How much *Azolla* K comes from water and how much comes from soil?

Several experiments have shown that when the K concentration in exterior water is between 2 and 50 ppm K$_2$O, K absorption of *Azolla* from water does not affect soil K content despite a high (50 ppm K$_2$O) or medium (6 ppm K$_2$O) K concentration. When the initial K concentration of exterior water in the soil-water system is 50 ppm, total K in soil increases greatly after 4-5 wk in both treated and nontreated plots (Table 2). The slowly available K
Table 2. Effect of K concentration in culture solution on K content in soil after 4 wk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total K (%)</th>
<th>Slowly available K (ppm K₂O)</th>
<th>Available K (ppm K₂O)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Azolla</td>
<td>50 ppm K₂O</td>
<td>6.24 587</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azolla</td>
<td>6.24 659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Azolla</td>
<td>6 ppm K₂O</td>
<td>3.05 490</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azolla</td>
<td>3.19 503</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Azolla</td>
<td>2 ppm K₂O</td>
<td>3.22 480</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azolla</td>
<td>3.23 463</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The solution in the 50 ppm K₂O treatment was changed weekly; that in 7 ppm was changed every 3 d; that of 2 ppm K₂O was changed daily.

and available K did not change significantly, which could be attributed to movement of K from solution into soil. When the K concentration in exterior solution was 6 ppm, the amounts of total K, slowly available K, and available K in treated or nontreated plots did not differ significantly. When the K concentration was 2 ppm, as when the solution had to be changed daily, the three types of soil K showed no significant difference. These results mean that if the soil-water K concentration can be maintained at 2 ppm K₂O, the K absorbed by Azolla is mainly from water and has little influence on the K content in soil.

Results from two experiments showed that the K content in Azolla grown in the same concentration of K₂O solution, with or without soil, were almost the same (Fig. 5). Regression analysis showed that the two dynamic curves of Azolla K content, with and without soil, are nearly congruent. The K required by Azolla is mainly enriched from water under these K concentration conditions.

The K content of Azolla growing in 50 ppm K₂O solution was higher than that of Azolla growing in 6 ppm K₂O. However, the K content of Azolla growing in 6 ppm or 2 ppm K₂O concentrations did not differ significantly. This may have been because the solution exchanges in the 6 ppm K₂O treatment were done every 3 d, and K concentrations determined at solution exchanging time varied from 1.8 to 6 ppm K₂O. On the other hand, exchanges in the 2 ppm K₂O treatment were done every day and K concentrations were between 0.25 and 2 ppm K₂O.

When the K concentration in exterior solution is kept at about 2 ppm, Azolla assimilates the K mainly from exterior water, regardless of whether the system has soil. The K concentration in field irrigation water is about 2 ppm, so the K content in Azolla biomass may come mainly from water instead of soil. Our experiment using ⁸⁶Rb technique has shown similar results.

For these reasons, we deduce that Azolla is not only a biological N source but an extremely promising source of biological K for the ricefield.
5. The dynamic change of *Azolla* K content in water-soil system.

6. The increasing effect of *Azolla* on various species of fish.

**RICE - AZOLLA - FISH SYSTEM**

China has a long history of raising fish in ricefields. However, most of them are raised in single cropped ricefields. The growing period is rather short, no
definite feeds are used, and the strains used are mostly *Cyprinus idella* and *C. carpio*. Fish do not grow large enough for eating and are used only as fingerlings to raise in ponds the next year. Fingerling yield is usually 225-300 kg/ha.

We have raised fish in ricefields dual-cropped with Azolla since 1932 and have been successful in paddy fields using the double wide-narrow method. In the double wide-narrow method, paired rows spaced 13 cm apart are separated from other paired rows by spaces 53-66 cm wide. Plant spacing within rows is 6.5 cm. Azolla is cultivated in the wide spaces between paired rows through much of the rice crop growing season, increasing yields of rice and Azolla.

Rice yield slightly increased compared to control. Yield of early rice was 5.2 t/ha compared to 4.5 t/ha for the control; late rice yielded 5.7 t/ha compared to 5.3 t/ha for the control. The yield of fish products was 1 t/ha. Rice yield not only increased, but the edible yield of the fish increased (Fig. 6).

**Azolla uptake by Tilapia nilotica and digestive rate**

*Tilapia nilotica* is an important fish in the rice-Azolla-fish system. It lives in the tropics and grows normally when water temperature is stable above 12°C; fastest when the water temperature is 25-34 °C. The trial showed that the amount of Azolla taken up by *T. nilotica* was about 50-80% of its body weight.

We used the internal indication method to determine the digestion rate of Azolla by *T. nilotica*. Digestive rate was 59.7%.

Results of feeding 15N-labeled Azolla to *T. nilotica* showed that 15N was most abundant in the intestines and stomach. There also was considerable 15N in the gills. That may be because gills are not only the exchange site of O2 and CO2 but are an excretion organ as well.

**The NPK content of fish feces**

The ratio of N in fish feces was about 40% compared to that in Azolla. That means that about 60% of N fixed by Azolla from air was assimilated by fish and 40% of the N fixed was excreted in the feces. The phosphate content in Azolla was rather low and showed little change. However, the K content decreased significantly. We need more information to understand whether the total amount of K was utilized by fish or part of it was dissolved in water (Table 3). In summary, a 1–ha ricefield may produce as much as 75 t of Azolla, which may in turn produce 1.2 t of fish products and return an equivalent of 0.3 t ammonium sulfate to the paddy soil. The rice-Azolla-fish system consumes low energy, provides high income, and is a promising way to utilize Azolla.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>P2O5 (%)</th>
<th>K2O (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azolla</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feces</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect of rice - *Azolla* - fish system on the environment

*Weed control.* In the rice - *Azolla* - fish system and in the rice - fish system, weeds almost completely disappeared, and intensive labor for weeding was minimized. The almost total lack of weeds may be attributed to fish activity.

*Sheath blight.* As shown in Table 4, the rice - *Azolla* - fish system decreased the disease index. We need to determine whether the controlling function was fish, *Azolla*, or both.

*Brown planthopper damage.* Results of experiments showed that in the rice - *Azolla* - fish system, fish may effectively control brown planthopper. Brown planthopper populations were reduced 72.7 % in early rice and 77 % in late rice.

*Azolla pests.* The trial on rice - *Azolla* - fish system showed that *Chironomus* and *Pyralis* decreased significantly. In a 1984 experiment at Jianling County, where *Azolla* has been introduced, *Chironomus* population was reduced by 87% and the *Pyralis* population by 78%. Pests are a main problem in growing *Azolla* in the subtropics. Raising fish with *Azolla* may help solve the problem.

MOIST SOIL CULTIVATION OF *AZOLLA*

When cultivated on the water surface, *Azolla* multiplies rapidly and fixes N efficiently. However, there are problems with this method of cultivating *Azolla*:

1. It is difficult to incorporate *Azolla* into paddy soil.
2. *Azolla* growth is retarded when the field is drained for the growing rice.
3. Damage from pests, algae, snails, etc., is intensive during summer.

We need to explore a new way of cultivating *Azolla* to overcome these problems.

Some species of *Azolla* may be cultivated on the soil surface by the moist soil cultivation method. One advantage is that attacks of pests, alga, and snails are prevented. Because of the lack of water layer, *Chironomus* and *Pyralis* sp., two serious pests of *Azolla*, cannot survive. *Azolla* could then grow safely at the higher air temperature during summer. Another advantage is *Azolla* can grow in multiple layers. Although the upper layer turns red because of intensive sunlight, the lower layer remains green. The multiple layer growth of *Azolla* increases its density and minimizes labor for harvesting.

We have found that *A. caroliniana* grows on the moist soil surface without the water layer, and that it can tolerate low light intensity and resist rotting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Disease index</th>
<th>Av disease index</th>
<th>Disease index decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replication I</td>
<td>Replication II</td>
<td>Replication III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice - <em>Azolla</em> - fish</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice - <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice - fish</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These characteristics enable \textit{A. caroliniana} to survive throughout the summer under the rice canopy. Under the early rice canopy, \textit{A. caroliniana} can grow well on the cleft soil surface when the field is drained to meet the needs of the growing rice. After harvest and removal of the rice straw, \textit{A. caroliniana}, covering the soil surface like green carpet, may yield 11-15 t/ha.

\textit{Azolla} yields from the moist soil cultivation method vary with strain, environment, and technique. Table 5 shows the results from sites at two different environments during winter. Although the fresh weight of \textit{Azolla} in the water cultivation method is higher than that in the moist soil cultivation method, the dry weight in the two methods does not differ significantly. This may be attributed to the lower water content of moist soil-cultivated \textit{Azolla}.

Nitrogen-fixing activity between two species and two cultivation methods fluctuated. \textit{Azolla}-N content was higher in water-cultivated \textit{Azolla} method than in moist soil-cultivated \textit{Azolla}. We need to further investigate and compare values of N fixation between moist soil and water cultivation. \textit{Azolla} phosphate content in the experiments varied greatly, but K content was similar. The yields and nutritional content of \textit{Azolla} cultivated by moist soil and water cultivation methods need to be further studied.

The success of moist soil cultivation of \textit{A. caroliniana} and \textit{A. filiculoides} has convinced us that moist soil cultivation is a useful new development in growing \textit{Azolla}, especially in adverse conditions, and that it is a technical improvement over water cultivation. The method may be suitable in Asian countries where temperature is high.

**OUTLOOK FOR AZOLLA**

In view of the new perspectives on \textit{Azolla} brought about by recent investigations, we have identified areas where more research is needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivation method</th>
<th>Yield (g/m²)</th>
<th>Dry wt (%)</th>
<th>Dry wt (g/m²)</th>
<th>Nutrition content (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{A. filiculoides}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water cultivation</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moist soil cultivation</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{A. caroliniana}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water cultivation</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moist soil cultivation</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian, China}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{A. filiculoides}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water cultivation</td>
<td>2888</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>161.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moist soil cultivation</td>
<td>2716</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>163.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{A. caroliniana}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water cultivation</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moist soil cultivation</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textit{Lou Hia, Fuzhou, China}
Studies in the following areas should contribute to the wider utilization of *Azolla*.

1. Because of the value of *Azolla* as a feed for livestock and poultry, investigations should include digestion rate of *Azolla*, nutrient efficiency, silage, drying, and protein content.

2. Studies on the rice - *Azolla* - fish system should include nutrition and management of fish, distribution and transformation of the N fixed by *Azolla* and P in the fish body and rice plant, and development of a comprehensive technical system to obtain high yields of rice, *Azolla*, and fish.

3. Basic research on *Azolla* should include studies to identify and classify *Azolla* strains and their algae symbionts; studies of photosynthesis, N fixation, N fixing efficiency in the field, and synthesis and mechanisms; and studies on the process of *Azolla* -algae recombination and the possibility of breeding new strains.

4. Research is required on the technology for intensive *Azolla* cultivation, including the arrangement of *Azolla* species, and the nutrition and environmental conditions for *Azolla* growth. The aim of this research would be to develop effective comprehensive techniques to grow *Azolla* throughout the year and to produce 500-600 t/ha annually.

5. New *Azolla* strains should be bred by techniques such as sexual hybridization, cell fusion and recombination, etc., to produce new strains higher in protein, more digestible, tolerant of adverse conditions, and higher yielding.
Azolla collection and selection
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Azolla utilization requires the selection of the best strains for a given use in given ecological conditions. There are at least three prerequisites: 1) development of living Azolla reference collections, 2) characterization of Azolla population growth curves, and 3) productivity measurements. The difficulties of maintaining vegetative collections are discussed and methods for reducing labor required and the risk of intermixing morphologically similar strains are presented. Preliminary results on the effect of Azolla strain and population density on quantitative and qualitative productivity are given. A standardized method for measuring productivity throughout the year is described and first results are presented.

Recent efforts to introduce Azolla into various tropical and subtropical countries for use as green manure and food have met with varying success. One of the first questions to be solved is which species and strains to use. Precise information on ecological requirements and on qualities of various Azolla strains is lacking and the need for reference collections is increasingly evident. Maintenance of collections presents great problems. Lack of control of sexual reproduction prevents maintenance of material as spores, and culturing these tiny, often morphologically similar, plants introduces the risk of intermixing strains. We describe how we maintain our reference collection and search for characterizing, by chemical fingerprints, every introduced strain. Exploiting a reference collection that is as diversified as possible requires selecting the most valuable strain for any given ecological condition. Qualities required are high productivity and chemical composition favorable for the proposed use. To estimate Azolla productivity we tried to identify the most important parameters of their population growth curves. Preliminary results from laboratory and field studies, which bear on rough productivity and qualitative aspects of the biomass, are presented.
Development of *Azolla* reference collections is becoming more urgent and poses two kinds of problems: 1) adoption of appropriate methodologies for their maintenance, and 2) the need for international conventions ensuring unambiguous identification of the origin of each accession, especially in exchanges between collections.

To simplify maintenance of our *Azolla* collection and accomplish comparative assays, we designed adapted culture baths (Fig. 1). A cyclic flow of dilute (4: 10) Hoagland solution in which nitrates are replaced by chlorides circulates at a rate of 40 liters/min between a 1.1-m², 80-liter plexiglass culture bath and a 50-liter tank. Evapotranspiration is compensated for by automatic addition of deionized water into the tank, and at each cycle the solution is filtered on glass wool. Temperature of the medium is controlled, conductivity and pH are monitored.

1. *Azolla* culture bath: 1) bath; 2) tank; 3) deionized water supply; 4) adjusting level water gate; 5) filter; 6) refrigeration unit; 7) heating unit; 8) temperature control unit; 9) pH conductivity meter; 10) compartments corresponding to variation 1; 11) lattice corresponding to variation 2; 12) mobile 1-liter culture box with mosquito net bottom; 13) circulation pump (arrows represent solution flow direction).
In one variation of this device used for collection maintenance, the bath is subdivided into rectangular compartments whose walls do not reach the bottom of the bath, allowing free circulation of the solution between all the compartments. Within limited space (1.1 m$^2$) and with minimum careful manipulations, 148 strains are maintained. The culture medium is replaced monthly and *Azolla* populations are thinned according to needs. Standard conditions are: solution temperature: 31-20 °C; photoperiod: 14 h light, 10 h dark; light intensity: 170±20 $\mu$E/m$^2$ per s; relative humidity: 55-65%; pH 5.00±0.4.

In another variation for growth experiments, a plexiglass lattice at the surface of the culture medium supports 40 1-liter plastic boxes (165 cm$^2$) with the bottom replaced by a mosquito net. This device allows periodical weighing of the *Azolla*, after standardized drainage, with minimum disturbance of the populations cultivated in each box.

We have adopted the following convention for identifying accessions in our collection. Each new strain receives a chronological entry number preceded by the acronym ADUL (12). As soon as the strain’s taxonomic position is determined, the entry number is followed by two letters according to the following code: CA = *A. caroliniana*, FI = *A. filiculoides*, ME = *A. mexicana*, MI = *A. microphylla*, NI = *A. nilotica*, PI = *A. pinnata* var. imbricata, PP =*A. pinnata* var. pinnata, RU = *A. rubra*.

**AZOLLA IDENTIFICATION AT THE SUBSPECIFIC LEVEL**

Preliminary results (6), obtained by thin layer chromatography, suggested that phenolic compounds analysis could provide fingerprints for *Azolla* identification at the subspecies level, allowing control of reference collections.

To increase sensitivity of the method, new extraction procedures and quantitative analyses of the extracts by high performance liquid chromatography (11) have been adopted. Twenty-one *A. pinnata* var. pinnata and 23 *A. pinnata* var. imbricata strains, cultivated in the standard conditions previously described, have been treated by these methods. Computation of the similarity matrix between strains (4), followed by cluster analysis based on the median method of Gower (3), allows the establishment of a dendrogram grouping strains according to their phenolic compounds composition (Fig. 2). This dendrogram shows that none of the analyzed strains present identical patterns and that the varieties are well separated except in two cases. Although promising, the method is not yet operational because of difficulties in obtaining perfectly reproducible chromatographic separations. We are now trying to solve these technical problems.

**ANALYSIS OF AZOLLA POPULATION GROWTH CURVES**

Optimal management of *Azolla* cultures requires knowledge of their growth curve parameters. Sixteen strains, representing the eight usually recognized
Dendrogram established by the median method of Gower from the profile data of the 44 chromatograms of *A. pinnata* extracts. Each strain is coded by its collection accession number.
species and varieties, were cultivated and their growth curves measured. The method described for growth experiments was followed except that inoculation density was 1 g/box (60 g/m²); solution renewal: weekly; light intensity: 350±50 E/m² per s; photoperiod: 16 h light, 8 h dark; relative humidity: 70–90%.

From statistical treatment of the data by the Nelder model (7, 8), it appears that the Gompertz function (10) describes the 32 growth curves obtained. Figure 3, for example, shows the experimental growth curve obtained with strain ADUL-4-FI, as well as the calculated corresponding Gompertz curve and its derivative, which characterizes growth rate or productivity.

The growth curves present three values of special practical interest, allowing the subdivision of the growth curves into four growth phases: exponential, linear, sowing, and constant. The values are:

1. **Maximum productivity** ($P_M$) denoting maximum biomass produced by unit of time.
2. **Mean productivity** ($P_m$). According to the Gompertz function, $P_m = 0.68 P_M$. The period during which productivity is higher than $P_m$ can be considered as representing a linear growth phase. Maintaining *Azolla* populations within the limits of this phase allows maximum biomass production with minimum labor. That is, inoculum density ideally should correspond to the lower limit of the linear phase whereas harvesting or burying density should correspond to its upper limit.
3. **Maximum biomass** ($M$). This value corresponds to the asymptote of the Gompertz function. It allows the calculation of the limits of the linear phase whose lower limit is 0.700 $M$. The higher the $M$ value, the longer the linear phase, and the more biomass produced during this phase. Hence, *Azolla* selection has to consider not only $P_M$ but also $M$.

**AZOLLA COMPOSITION AS AFFECTED BY POPULATION DENSITY AND STRAIN**

The main characteristics influencing *Azolla* value as green manure are $P_M$, $M$, N content, N derived from $N_2$, cell wall composition, and C-N ratio. When *Azolla* is considered as food, other characteristics such as essential amino acids, lipids, and digestible carbohydrate contents are also important.

Preliminary results (2) have shown that *Azolla* composition is profoundly influenced by population density. Many data from the literature also suggest species or even subspecies differences, even though precise information about the growth phase at the sampling time is generally missing (5, 9).

Seven of the strains, whose growth curves had been analyzed previously, have been cultivated again under identical conditions to obtain samples corresponding to their four growth phases for duplicate analysis of their composition. The interpretation of the limited number of presently available results is complex and only general tendencies are shown here (Fig. 4).
3. *A. filiculoides* (ADUL-4-FI) experimental and modelized growth curves, and productivity curve according to the Gompertz model.

For total N (N_t) (Fig. 4A), no clear-cut influence of the growth phase appears, but great differences between strains are evident. Extreme mean contents in the dry matter are 3.5% for FI and 5.3% for CA and ME. When looking at cell wall-linked N (N_w) (Fig. 4B), whose release during the decomposition process is very slow, a linear increase with time tends to be the rule. Here, too, great differences appear between strains. The range actually varies from 3.8% of N_t (MI, 1st phase) to 40% (ME, 2d phase). The C-N ratio
4. *Azolla* chemical composition as affected by strain and population age: A) total N (N_t); B) cell wall-linked N (N_w); C) C:N ratio; D) amino acid content; E) calculated digestibility (CD); F) lignin content.
Table 1. Essential amino acid content (% dry matter) of seven *Azolla* species during their linear growth phase. Recommended values for pigs at their most demanding growth stage, according to Blum (1), are presented. Means in a row followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>65-MI</th>
<th>82-Or</th>
<th>4-Fl</th>
<th>7-Pi</th>
<th>7-Or</th>
<th>12-Or</th>
<th>13-ME</th>
<th>82-ME</th>
<th>53-ME</th>
<th>8-CA</th>
<th>65-MI</th>
<th>8-CB</th>
<th>53-ME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For comparison, recommended values pigs at their most demanding growth stage, according to Blum (1), are presented.*
(Fig. 4C), which also affects decomposition rate, remains roughly constant for a given strain but its value varies from ±7 for MI to ±13 for FI. As expected, lignin evolution (Fig. 4F) tends to parallel N\textsubscript{w} evolution. Its concentration usually remains relatively low during the linear phase. Strain differences are considerable. The highest lignin contents attained were 35.5% of dry matter (ME, 2d phase) and 1.8% of dry matter (MI, 1st phase).

The linear growth phase is characterized by low lignin and N\textsubscript{w} concentrations while N\textsubscript{t} and C:N values do not change profoundly with time. Knowing that productivity is maximal during the linear growth phase, burying \textit{Azolla} during the linear growth phase seems justified if rapid decomposition is wanted.

Lignin content is also one of the main factors affecting the nutritive value of \textit{Azolla}. This is clearly shown in Figure 4E, which represents the calculated digestibility (CD) (13). Digestibility clearly decreases with the development stage of \textit{Azolla} populations, and great species differences appear.

Another important factor to consider when \textit{Azolla} is considered as food is its amino acid content, which is maximum during phases 2 and 3 (Fig. 4D). Again, important species differences appear.

Such species differences also appear when essential amino acid composition is considered. Table 1 presents results corresponding to the linear growth phase, which are similar to those from phase 3 and better than those corresponding to phases 1 and 4. If we compare the composition of the seven \textit{Azolla} strains with recommended food composition for pigs at their most demanding growth stage (1), strain 65-MI is the best, with only a deficiency in sulfur-containing amino acids. Strain 4-FI is the poorest.

**PRODUCTIVITY IN THE FIELD**

Recently 85 \textit{Azolla} strains were introduced at the Richard Toll West Africa Rice Development Association (WARDA) research station in Senegal to compare their productivities in the field throughout the year. Thirty strains did not adapt to the local conditions and were discarded or died. Among those that died were the three \textit{A. nilotica} strains, two \textit{A. rubra} strains, and one \textit{A. mexicana} strain.

Growth curves of the 55 remaining strains have been measured using the method described by Van Hove et al (12) and the limits of their linear growth phases calculated. Since then, each strain has been permanently maintained within the limits of its linear growth phase. Biomass is collected weekly, then weighed, dried, and stored for chemical analysis.

Figure 5 shows mean productivities for each strain after 20 wk. Analysis of the correlation between the evolution of these productivities and climate is under way.
5. Mean daily productivity in the field of 79 *Azolla* strains in 20 wk, 13 Aug-31 Dec 1984. Strains noted as having negative productivities are those that did not grow during the period.
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DISCUSSION

KANNAIYAN: How do you distinguish your term maximum productivity from relative growth rate?

VAN HOVE: Relative growth rate (RGR) is the biomass produced per unit of biomass and per unit of time (generally expressed as g/g per day). It is maximal, and constant, during the exponential growth phase, then decreases progressively. Maximum productivity is the maximum biomass produced per unit of time. It gives a better estimate of the real potential of Azolla than RGR.
Environmental requirements for successful *Azolla* growth

T.A. LUMPKIS
Department of Agronomy and Soils
Washington State University
Pullman, Washington, 99164-6420, USA

*Azolla* is traditionally grown under cool, wet conditions. The plant prefers a placid water surface, temperatures between 20 and 35 °C, water pH of 4-7 and rich in all essential plant nutrients except N, solution salt content <0.3%, exposure to >25% full sunlight, long daylength, and freedom from competitors, insects, and diseases. Efforts to expand its use in the humid tropics have met with limited success and a host of environmental problems. High temperatures and humidity stimulate insects and diseases that attack *Azolla*. Algal blooms compete for nutrients and cause a change in pH and poor water circulation. Areas dependent on monsoon rains rarely have water for multiplying *Azolla* before the rice growing season and usually suffer from intermittent droughts, which desiccate intercropped *Azolla*. Excessive rainfall and typhoons can cause flooding, which can wash away the entire *Azolla* crop. Water is usually deficient in P and the applications of phosphate fertilizer and possibly other nutrients such as Fe, Mo, and K are required for the *Azolla* crop.

*Azolla* is an aquatic fern which has potential for expanded use as a green manure for rice. In placid water where available N is low, *Azolla* has a competitive advantage over other floating plants because of its symbiotic relationship with N-fixing endophytic cyanobacteria called *Anabaena azollae*. The *Anabaena* can supply the entire N requirement of the *Azolla* even at growth rates of about 35%/d. In turn, under suitable environmental conditions, *Azolla* can supply the entire N requirement for a high-yielding rice crop (50-100 kg N) in 10-20 d. For example, an *Azolla* mat weighing 10 t/ha and increasing its biomass at 20%/d, (relative growth rate [RGR] of 0.2) could fix up to 4.8 kg N/d, assuming a 6% dry weight and a N content of 4% dry weight, i.e., 10,000 kg fresh wt × 0.2 RGR × 0.06 dry wt × 0.04 N dry wt.

*Azolla* is also more cold tolerant than most other aquatic plants. This tolerance allows *Azolla* to flourish during cool seasons with little competition from other plants and damage from pests. Chinese (30) and Vietnamese (46) farmers recognized *Azolla*’s potential and have utilized it for centuries as a cool-season green manure. In China, *Azolla* is grown in the south during winter and cultivation advances north to central China with the coming of
spring to cover an area estimated to be more than 680,000 ha. In northern Vietnam, \textit{Azolla} is primarily grown during winter and was reported to cover an area of 700,000 in 1978 (7).

Outside of China and Vietnam, very few rice producing areas in the world have a wet-cool season for growing \textit{Azolla} before the rice season. Usually water is not available or temperatures are very high before rice is transplanted, such as in the months before the monsoon in South and Southeast Asia.

Even if environmental conditions are favorable, \textit{Azolla} is usually difficult to grow. For field multiplication of \textit{Azolla}, farmers will need 1-5 t of \textit{Azolla}/ha as planting material. This massive amount of plant material will be expensive and must come from one of three basic sources or combinations of these sources as illustrated in Figure 1. Because large-scale use of spores (12, 13, 14, 18, 47, 56) is not yet possible, farmers must either keep a nursery throughout the off-season or buy \textit{Azolla} plant material from people who grow \textit{Azolla} for sale. People who produce \textit{Azolla} planting material for sale may also grow \textit{Azolla} throughout the year as fodder for pigs, ducks, or fish. This combined type of system may be most practical for the tropics and should be encouraged by government programs. Fodder producers can multiply their \textit{Azolla} stocks and sell to farmers as the rice/\textit{Azolla} season approaches.

A planting level of 1-5 t/ha is necessary to adequately exploit the available water and sunlight. From this level, the \textit{Azolla} is multiplied in the field as a monocrop or intercrop to attain a biomass of 10-80 t. From this level a single or multiple soil incorporations are carried out so that \textit{Azolla} N can become available to a following or standing rice crop. The level of \textit{Azolla} biomass varies according to cropping intensity and environment. Biomass is kept at a lower density under intense cropping to keep \textit{Azolla} at its highest rate of productivity on a unit area basis (10). Only lower levels of biomass (10-30 t/ha) are currently possible under hot humid conditions because of insect and disease problems.

1. The three basic methods of maintaining \textit{Azolla} planting material during off-seasons. These methods can be combined. About 3 wk must be allowed for germination of spores and development to dividing plants.
International (15) and national institutions are currently selecting varieties and developing management practices that will allow Azolla to become an important green manure for rice farming systems in the tropics. Azolla cultivation in China and Vietnam is generally limited to cool seasons because hot humid weather brings a host of environmental problems to an Azolla crop (26). These problems are so devastating that most farmers will not attempt summer cultivation of Azolla in paddy fields. I describe the environmental requirements for cultivation in hot humid conditions and review research articles about environmental constraints. I will expand on previous reviews of Azolla literature (4, 27, 30, 33).

ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

Climate, especially the climatic variables that affect Azolla growth, is difficult to manipulate. To determine the climatic variables and interactions that most influence Azolla growth, climatic variables were regressed on the RGR of Azolla species (28) that had been grown in a year-round pot experiment (2). The correlation analysis of data recorded during hot humid weather indicated that temperature and humidity had a negative effect on growth rate and solar radiation had a positive influence.

Although it may be possible to develop management practices that give some degree of control over these variables (52), the environment in which Azolla lives encompasses many other interacting factors. For example, Azolla’s susceptibility to fungal pathogens probably interacts with high temperature and humidity. Algal blooms not only compete with Azolla for nutrients but also cause a change of pH in the paddy water which can result in loss of nutrients from solution. They also restrict water movement which can result in high water temperatures lethal to Azolla. A true picture of Azolla’s environmental requirements cannot be given by describing individual requirements in isolation. Often the interactions or indirect effects of factors are more important than the direct effect. Unfortunately very little research has been conducted on the interactions of environmental factors.

Environmental factors

Water. The lack of good control and availability of water in rice growing areas is the primary constraint to the spread of Azolla use. As a delicate aquatic plant, Azolla can survive only for a few minutes on a dry surface under the tropical sun, and for a few days on paddy soil that dries during intermittent rains. Some varieties can survive indefinitely on moist, shaded mud, but will not multiply to any useful extent without a water surface on which to spread. Thus, without good water control and availability, Azolla multiplication may not succeed.

The need for water extends beyond the growing season and remains important to a limited extent throughout the year. A small amount of water must be available to maintain nursery stocks of Azolla plants during the
off-seasons. A larger amount of water will be needed for the multiplication of this nursery before wide-scale field multiplication begins as the rice season approaches. Unfortunately, a large quantity of water is usually not available for growing Azolla just before the rice crop is planted.

The source for water is also important. Like rice, Azolla grows better during dry seasons when irrigation water is available. If water comes from precipitation, additional problems may occur. A rice farmer on the east coast of India, Vietnam, and China, or anywhere in the Philippines can have his entire Azolla crop washed away by a typhoon. Farmers in a monsoon area can suffer the same fate from excessive rainfall, which causes flooding. With the exception of a few areas such as South Cotabato in the Philippines (48), most farmers will need to invest considerable effort to produce an Azolla crop. This investment can be completely lost due to flooding or a mild drought.

Light. The growth rate of Azolla has been reported to saturate at 25-50% of full sunlight (2, 44), and is not inhibited by full sunlight as long as other factors are not limiting (3). As an intercrop under rice, the growth rate of Azolla will begin to decline as the developing rice canopy reduces light quantity and quality below that necessary to saturate growth rate. The rice canopy will start influencing growth about 2-3 wk after transplanting and will stop growth in most Azolla species at 45 d after transplanting, depending on such factors as rice maturation period, leaf area index, weather, paddy water fertility, etc.

An aspect of shading which is of possible interest but has not been studied is the intracrop shading of Azolla as it becomes crowded. As in other crops, crowding probably results in competition for light, nutrients, etc. It is difficult to take measurements within the Azolla canopy because of the smallness of Azolla, but it is not impossible. Competition can be measured indirectly through RGR. Further research could help optimize productivity of biomass and accumulated N if more information was available about intracrop competition.

Daylength is another important aspect of light. Growth rate has been shown to positively correlate to daylength (28) and continues to increase up to continuous illumination (37). Higher latitudes, such as central China, will have a longer daylength during the late spring and early summer Azolla-growing season than the tropics which have an almost uniform daylength all year-round. Azolla growth rate is higher in higher latitudes than in the tropics.

Temperature. Temperature is probably the most important limiting environmental factor in Azolla cultivation. It also is very difficult to manipulate. Its direct effects are not as serious as its indirect influences. For example, certain Azolla varieties can grow at temperatures of 40 °C or higher (22, 49) and some management practices can prevent paddy water temperatures from exceeding 40 °C in most cases if water is available.

The most serious problem with temperature is its stimulating effect on Azolla pests, e.g., insects, pathogenic fungi, and free-living algae. The optimum temperature for most Azolla species is within the range of 20-35 °C. At higher temperatures in this range and above, the generation time for
insects (57) and the growth rate of fungi greatly increase. Insects, particularly lepidoptera (32) and diptera (43), can destroy an Azolla crop if pesticides such as carbofuran or BHC are not used (30). Often, insects will sample a small part of a plant and then move on to another. The resulting injury makes the plant highly susceptible to various fungi which attack Azolla (1, 41, 45) and can greatly magnify the insect damage under hot-humid conditions.

Because high temperatures are not a direct limitation, Azolla has an excellent potential for successful cultivation in irrigated deserts where humidity is relatively low and alternate host plants for insects are limited. Azolla does very well on the northern border of Senegal in West Africa (Van Hove, pers. comm.) and can probably do well in the traditional rice areas of Mali with good water control.

Mineral nutrition. Because Azolla is an aquatic plant, essential elements must be available in the water for Azolla to survive. Azolla requires all essential plant elements plus Mo or Co for N fixation (4, 29, 51). However, most paddy water does not contain an adequate balance of essential elements for successful Azolla cultivation.

Phosphorus has been the most common limiting element for Azolla growth (31). The threshold concentration of P in Azolla tissue is probably about 0.2-0.3% on a dry wt basis (42; Sombath Somphone, pers. comm.). P stressed plants are usually smaller, pink to red, less vigorous, and have a low concentration of total N. Under severe stress, the plants become highly compact and dark red, and often develop very long curled roots.

P deficiency can be overcome by applying P fertilizer. Highly soluble forms such as triple superphosphate or phosphoric acid are effective. In some situations, deficient plants may be able to extract sufficient P from the paddy soil if the water level can be reduced to the point where Azolla roots can touch the soil.

Perhaps the most efficient way to use P fertilizer is to take advantage of Azolla's ability to use up P luxuriously. Azolla requires a minimum concentration of about 0.2-0.3% P on a dry wt basis for a normal N concentration of 3.0-4.0%. This equals a N:P weight ratio of 10-20 N for each P. Thus, 1 unit of P as fertilizer could result in 10-20 units of plant N at 100% uptake efficiency. But 100% uptake efficiency is impossible in the field because of losses of P from fixation, leaching, runoff, erosion, etc. Uptake efficiency can be significantly improved by preloading Azolla in phosphorus-rich nursery beds. Preloaded Azolla should be able to accumulate P up to its maximum luxury consumption level of 1.0-1.6% (30). After preloading, the plants can be placed in P-deficient fields for further multiplication. Even if no P is available in the paddy water, the Azolla plants should be able to increase their biomass 3-8 times (1.0/0.3 to 1.6/0.2) on stored P before reaching their threshold level for P. Plants should not be allowed to drop below the threshold level of P or their N yield will be reduced.

Other essential elements have been reported to be absent in paddy water. Applications of K have been found to be necessary on light soils in Vietnam and China (24, 25, 46). Liu et al (23) compared the K absorption ability of
Azolla and rice. They believe that Azolla is more efficient at K uptake and can be a K source for rice in K-deficient soils.

Some micronutrients have been reported to be deficient in paddy water. Adding small amounts of Mo salts stimulated growth in paddy fields in Korea and Vietnam (19, 46). Fe was reported to be deficient in California (39) and Australia (34). Adding 1.5 kg Fe/ha eliminated the deficiency in California.

Nitrogen can also be necessary to maintain Azolla under stressed conditions. Zhang (54) and Chen and Li (6) found that N fertilizer along with P and K were effective in maintaining Azolla during summer. Zhang and others (16, 20, 36, 53) found that combined N can adversely affect Azolla under good growing conditions. This adverse effect probably results from the beneficial effect of combined N on nonnitrogen-fixing organisms which compete with Azolla, such as weeds and algae.

Water quality. Several other aspects of water quality have been studied. Pollution from sewage (40) and herbicides (30) is of little concern to developing countries, but may be important as Azolla cultivation is attempted. Other factors are known to limit Azolla use. pH is perhaps the most important in developing countries. Optimum growth of Azolla in culture solution is in pH range of 4.5-7, but Azolla can survive in pH 3.5-10 (2, 35, 37, 50) if all essential elements are available.

The most important concern about pH is the availability of essential elements in the paddy water. The pH of most acid and alkaline soils changes toward a range of 6-7 a few weeks after flooding (38). However, some acid soils — acid sulfate and Histosols — which are low in organic matter or active Fe or high in sulfate, may not reach a pH of 6.0 even after months of submergence (8). These acid soils can create toxic levels of Al and Fe, and P deficiencies in the paddy water. Saline soils often have a pH greater than 7 and may be deficient in P, Zn, and Cu.

Chinese researchers (9, 17) recommended that water for Azolla cultivation should contain no more than 0.3% salt. Higher salt concentrations decreased plant N (9), and more salt increased plant N (17). Haller et al (11) found that A. caroliniana growth ceased when the concentration reached 1.3%. Salinity or alkalinity may be a problem in ricefields located along the coast (55) and in poorly drained irrigated deserts, such as in areas of Pakistan (5).

CONCLUSIONS

Azolla can be found throughout the world growing under a wide range of environmental conditions. However, conditions for survival in nature can be much more variable than those necessary for successful field cultivation, where growth rate and N accumulation are important. In China and Vietnam, wide-scale field cultivation normally occurs during winter and spring when conditions are cool and wet. Azolla cultivation is rarely attempted during hot-humid conditions because 1) more important crops occupy the paddy
fields, 2) insects and diseases are very difficult to control, and 3) paddy water must be managed to prevent temperatures of 45°C or higher. These same problems exist in the tropics and are often compounded by monsoon weather of extreme wet and dry seasons.

The following questions about cultivation should be considered before *Azolla* is recommended to farmers:

- Does the cropping system allow the growing of *Azolla* as a monocrop or intercrop, and is water readily available?
- How can some *Azolla* be maintained during off-season and how can it be multiplied and distributed before large-scale field cultivation?
- Is the water rich in essential plant elements, especially P, to support rapid growth of *Azolla*?
- Is the water neutral to mildly acidic in pH and does it contain less than 0.3% salt?
- Will it be necessary to frequently use pesticides for insect control? and
- Will *Azolla* cultivation improve the economic situation of the farmer?
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**DISCUSSION**

CRASWELL: Are data available on the total area of *Azolla* used in farmer fields in various rice-growing countries? If not, some effort should be made to collect such data so that the trends in *Azolla* use in various countries can be monitored.

LUMPKIN: I have not seen precise data on the area of *Azolla* cultivation in any country. Reports from both China and Vietnam are highly variable. Perhaps remote sensing could be used for regions where *Azolla* use is concentrated.

MOCHIDA: Is it possible to use *Azolla* as feed for snails, which are used as food of humans? How shall we resolve the schistosomiasis disease where *Azolla* is used in rice fields, for example, in Leyte or South Cotabato, in the Philippines? Do you have any comments?

LUMPKIN: I have not seen any literature reporting the acceptability of *Azolla* to snails which are used as human food. Perhaps a coordinated snail eradication program with clonitralide could be effective. A concentration of 0.5 ppm in paddy water solution has been reported to be effective. All efforts should be used to eliminate detrimental environmental consequences of such a program.

DE WAHA: What method do you use to establish equations permitting correlation between productivity and climatic data?

LUMPKIN: We use a main frame computer software called SAS. Within SAS we use SYSREG and STEPWISE programs.
USE OF AZOLLA
IN VARIOUS REGIONS
The Azolla program of the Philippines

B.B. MABBAYAD
Department of Agronomy
University of the Philippines at Los Baños
College, Laguna, Philippines

The rising cost of fossil fuel-based N fertilizers and the immense need to increase food supply prompted the Philippines to launch a research-oriented National Azolla Action Program (NAAP) and an extension-oriented Unified Azolla Program (UAP). The program is to reduce rice farmers’ dependence on inorganic N fertilizers by promoting the use of Azolla for wetland rice. The strategy involved establishment of a National Inoculum Center (NIC) at the University of the Philippines at Los Baños (UPLB) and subcenters in selected agricultural institutions; establishment of Regional Propagation Centers (RPCs), Provincial Propagation Centers (PPCs), and Community nurseries; and manpower training and an extension campaign. Experimental results indicate the potential of Azolla as an alternative source of N for rice and as an animal feed. Much remains to be done in the promotion of Azolla use. Technical constraints identified are: uncertainty of water supply, insects and diseases, high temperature, inadequate P level, and dispersal of sporophyte materials.

THE NATIONAL AZOLLA PROGRAM

The University of the Philippines at Los Baños (UPLB) and the Ministry of Agriculture and Food (MAF) in July 1982 launched a research and extension Azolla Program. The program was designed primarily to promote the utilization of Azolla as an alternative source of N for wetland irrigated rice culture.

The National Azolla Action Program (NAAP) has two broad objectives:

1. To reduce by 50% inorganic N fertilizer use in wetland irrigated rice areas suitable for Azolla culture; and
2. To reduce the dependence of rice farmers on inorganic N fertilizers.

To achieve the goals of the program, four major thrusts were considered crucial:

1. establishment of a National Inoculum Center (NIC) at UPLB and subcenters in selected agricultural institutions (Fig. 1). The center and subcenters serve as sources of pure inoculum of superior Azolla strains. They also conduct short-term research in support of the Action Program;
1. The National Inoculum Center (UPLB) and subcenters in the Philippines.

2. establishment of Regional Propagation Centers (RPCs) in the 12 administrative regions of the country to serve as initial sources of inoculum for distribution to provincial and community nurseries;

3. preparation of an area operation plan for each region to identify, classify, and prioritize wetland rice growing areas suitable for Azolla growth; and

4. training and the production of information and extension materials.

**Strengthening the *Azolla* Extension Program**

To accelerate the utilization of *Azolla* as a green manure for wetland rice, an extension program on *Azolla* was created in July 1984. The program is administered and implemented directly by the MAF. Initially, the program
aims to operate a minimum of 68 provincial propagation centers and 3,000 community nurseries to meet the inoculum requirements of a target area of 300,000 ha under the Masagana 99 rice program (7).

**Redirection of the National *Azolla* Action Program**

With the creation of the *Azolla* extension program, the activities of the NAAP were realigned, emphasizing:

- conduct of short-term research in support of the extension program;
- preparation of prototype communication materials and training modules, and conducting training for trainers; and
- technology packaging and technology performance evaluation.

For better coordination of both programs, NIC researchers and scientists are members of the various working committees of the *Azolla* extension program.

**STATUS OF THE PROGRAM**

**Action and extension program**

These are the achievements made since the program started in 1982:

1. The MAF has established 12 RPCs (Fig. 2).
2. Twelve teams of 4 persons each from the 12 MAF regional offices were trained at the NIC (5).
3. Technicians and farmers were trained by MAF personnel in the various regions of the country.
4. Based on the MAF report of Region XI, 6,500 ha of wetland rice in South Cotabato, Philippines, now utilize *Azolla* as a green manure.

**Research program**

Current NAAP research aims to solve immediate problems encountered in the Action Program. Research activities of the NIC and subcenters focus on biology and culture, *Azolla* utilization, and economics of *Azolla* use. Some experimental results are summarized below.

*Sporulation and biomass production.* NAAP researchers and scientists are studying *Azolla* dispersal by spores. Based on the results, 22 strains of *Azolla* were sporulated in the greenhouse in La Trinidad, Benguet (6). Intensity of sporulation (sporulation index) ranged from 5 to 100%. In the field, 5 strains were sporulated from September to March with sporulation index ranging from 17 to 85%.

Preliminary tests conducted by the NAAP Biology and Cultural research team showed that *A. microphylla* 418 reproduced sexually for 119 d producing 1 sporophyte daily. Another strain, *A. caroliniana* 302, reproduced sexually for 92 d producing 1 sporophyte daily. This test used dried sporulating *Azolla* materials grown in the greenhouse. These findings underscore the possibility of developing improved strains suited to the environments in the country.
Studies on biomass production showed that the average net production of four strains \([A. \text{ microphylla 417, A. caroliana, A. pinnata (Bangkok), and A. pinnata (Australia)}]\) was low during the hot months (Apr-May). Figure 3 shows the fluctuation of biomass production during the year in Los Baños, (4, unpubl.). Among the strains tested, \(A. \text{ microphylla}\) produced the highest net biomass, averaging 1.2 kg/m² per wk from Sep to Mar and 0.85 kg/m² per wk from Apr to Aug.

As green manure. Some studies on the utilization of \(Azolla\) as a green manure for wetland rice have been conducted. In field trials in six successive croppings, \(Azolla\) applied as a green manure could supply at least half of the N
3. Net biomass production of 4 strains of Azolla, Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines. Source: (4). Experimental condition: ph – 6.4; available P (Brav No. 2) – 16.1 ppm; pond size – 84 m² with sampling area of 2 m² for each strain. Water depth maintained at 10 cm and free flowing. Phosphorus applied every 2 wk at 1 kg ai/ha.

Boonjung (3) showed that Azolla may reduce applied N loss from the floodwater (Table 2). Plots inoculated with Azolla soon after basal application of 60 kg N/ha, either incorporated (T₇) or unincorporated (T₅), gave higher requirement for rice (Table 1). On the average, Azolla biomass (1.5 kg/m²) incorporated 27 d after transplanting (DT) can supply about 31.2 kg N/ha.
Table 1. Grain yield of transplanted rice as affected by different combinations of Azolla and inorganic N application, UPLB, 1982-55.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>First crop (t/ha)</th>
<th>Second crop (t/ha)</th>
<th>Third crop (t/ha)</th>
<th>Fourth crop (t/ha)</th>
<th>Fifth crop (t/ha)</th>
<th>Sixth crop (t/ha)</th>
<th>Mean (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variety used: UPLRi-4 (1st and 2d crops), IR36 (3d, 4th, and 5th crops), IR60 (6th crop). <sup>a</sup>Treatment 1, 90-30-30 (-Azolla); 2, 45-30-30 (+ Azolla incorporated at 27 DT); 3, 0-30-30 (+ Azolla incorporated at 1 DBT), 4, 0-30-30 (+ Azolla incorporated at 27 DT). DBT = days before transplanting, DT = days after transplanting. Source: (4).

Table 2. Grain yield of IR58 as affected by Azolla and inorganic N application. 1984 dry season, UPLB, Philippines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Yield&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Control (no N and Azolla)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Azolla topdressed (incorporated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Azolla topdressed (unincorporated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60 kg N/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; + T&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60 kg N/ha (BI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;7&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; + T&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;8&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60 kg N/ha (10 DT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;9&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; + T&lt;sub&gt;8&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>30 kg N/ha (BU) + 30 kg N/ha (PI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;11&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; + T&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>30 kg N/ha (BI) + 30 kg N/ha (PI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;13&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; + T&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;14&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>60 kg N/ha (PI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;15&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; + T&lt;sub&gt;14&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Means followed by a common letter on a column are not significantly different at 5% level using DMRT. BU = basal application 1 d before transplanting and unincorporated, BI = basal application 1 d before transplanting and incorporated, PI = topdressed at panicle initiation, DT = days after transplanting. Source: Boonjung and Mabbayad, unpubl. data.

Yield than topdressing with 60 kg N/ha (T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>12</sub>) and topdressing with 60 kg N/ha at panicle initiation (T<sub>14</sub>). This could be due to reduced ammonia volatilization from the floodwater as a result of lower windspeed at the floodwater surface. At low windspeed, gas phase resistance dominates and reduces ammonia volatilization. The other reason may be the reduction of algal growth through competition with the developing cover of Azolla, which depressed the increase of midday pH (8) and consequently ammonia loss (Fig. 4).

Animal feed. The potential of Azolla as an animal feed has been studied. Preliminary tests showed that Azolla meal can be used up to 10% in swine starter ration, 20% in swine grower ration, and 15% in broiler ration without significantly affecting the performance of the test animals (1).
Egg production and egg size were not significantly affected when fresh *Azolla* was fed to mallard ducks up to 20% of the feed ration (2).

**PROSPECTS AND PROBLEMS**

Much remains to be done in promoting *Azolla* use in tropical rice production. Some of the technical constraints are uncertainty of water supply, insects and diseases, high temperature, P level, acidity, salinity, and dispersal and handling of the materials. Research is directed toward solutions to these problems.
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DISCUSSION

ESKEW: You mentioned that Azolla use has been adopted on 84,000 ha in the Philippines. What is the percentage yield increase observed in farmers’ fields?

MABBAYAD: There are no data available at the moment. However, our data from field experiments indicated that yield obtained from plots fertilized with 90 kg N/ha was comparable to those from plots fertilized with half as much N plus Azolla applied basally and at 21 DT.

HU: From your data, it appears that topdressing and incorporating do not differ much. Does topdressing with Azolla seem more feasible because of labor savings?

MABBAYAD: Our data indicate that topdressing without incorporation is as good as topdressing with incorporation. I do not know the exact reasons for that. It is difficult to separate the effect on weed control from the effect of Azolla as a nutrient source per se.

DIARA: Did you experience insect attacks and, if so, what were your control measures?

MABBAYAD: Yes. We controlled them with monocrotophos or carbofuran. Webworm and caseworm occur sporadically.
Seem cake *Azadirachta indica* stimulated the growth and nitrogenase activity of *Azolla*, and stimulated the activity of the ammonia-assimilating enzyme GDH, although it suppressed the activity of the enzymes GOGAT and GS at higher concentrations. Butachlor applied as a spray to *Azolla* and urea at 25-50 ppm inhibited GDH, GS, and GOGAT activity. Growth reduction was also noted with the butachlor treatment. A nursery technique for large-scale production of *Azolla* in the field was developed using applications of fresh cattle dung and superphosphate. Fertilizer N along with *Azolla* inoculation as a dual crop at 200 g/m² increased rice yield of IR20. *Azolla* inoculation as a dual crop with split applications of P significantly increased rice grain yield. *Parthenium* applied at 10 t/ha and *Azolla* inoculation as a dual crop at 200 g/m² gave even higher yields. Highest yields were achieved with neem-coated prilled urea applied with *Azolla* inoculation. Neem-coated urea and urea supergranules increased N uptake in rice. Fertilizer N with *Azolla* and blue-green algae (BGA) inoculation increased grain yield. *Azolla* inoculum proportionately decreased weed growth and increased grain yield. Both *Sesbania* and *Colocasia* intercropped with *Azolla* in the summer support *Azolla* growth. Mud pots with soil extract solutions are suitable for maintaining *Azolla* in summer. Black rot disease of *Azolla* caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* was serious in *A. pinnata*, *A. nilotica* was highly susceptible, but *A. pinnata*, *A. caroliniana*, and *A. pinnata* (Bangkok) were tolerant. The systemic fungicide carbendazim applied at 50 ppm reduced disease severity. The granular insecticides aldicarb and carbofuran have a low level of residue accumulation in *Azolla*.

*Azolla* use for wetland rice culture is increasing in several rice growing regions in India. The introduction of high yielding rice varieties has revolutionized rice production technology. But the increasing cost of fertilizers, particularly nitrogen, and the widening gap between supply and demand of nitrogen in developing countries have placed heavy burden on farmers. Thus, N₂ fixation and conservation by *Azolla* would be an ideal biological system for increasing rice yield under low-cost rice production technology.
Biological nitrogen fixation through *Azolla-Anabaena* complex is considered a potential biological system for increasing rice yield at comparatively low cost. Watanabe et al (16) established the potential ability of *Azolla* *N*₂ fixation at about 1.1 kg N/ha per d.

Singh (14) recorded a 13-33% increase in yield over the control when 5-15 t *Azolla* fresh wt/ha was incorporated. Govindarajan et al (1) recorded a 13.5% yield increase over control when *Azolla* was dual cropped with IR20. The inoculation of *Azolla* at 2 t/ha as a dual crop yielded as much as 25 kg fertilizer N/ha (8). Mani et al (11) found that applying 30 kg N/ha with 5 t *Azolla* fresh wt/ha yielded as much as 60 kg N/ha. Kannaiyan et al (9) established positive rice crop response with *Azolla* inoculation at four sites—Coimbatore, Aliyarnagar, Ambasamudram, and Tirurkkuppm. The effective utilization of *Azolla* for rice production in India is well documented (4, 14).

*Azolla pinnata* is commonly grown in India. *Azolla* production and utilization is popular among rice farmers in Tamil Nadu and Karnataka and *Azolla* technology is gaining importance in other states.

**NITROGEN FIXATION**

**Effect of neem cake on nitrogen fixation**

We conducted an in vitro experiment using neem cake at 25, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 500, 750, and 1000 ppm levels. Fresh wt of *Azolla* and nitrogenase activity were assessed on the 14th day. Increase in the concentration of neem cake significantly increased biomass yield of *Azolla*. Neem cake at all levels increased nitrogenase activity (Table 1). Kannaiyan et al (8) observed increased growth and low pest incidence in *Azolla* due to neem cake application. Neem cake stimulates *Azolla* by encouraging multiplication and nitrogenase activity, and combating insect pests and diseases of *Azolla*. In another experiment, neem cake applied to *Azolla* markedly stimulated glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) activity at 200 and 250 kg/ha (Table 2).

**Table 1. Effect of neem cake on the growth of and N₂ fixation in *Azolla*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neem cake (ppm)</th>
<th>Biomass (g/container)</th>
<th>Increase over control (%)</th>
<th>Nitrogenase activity (nmoles C₂N₄/ g dry wt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>57.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>63.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>63.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>87.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>162.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>187.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>251.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>193.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>170.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil extract control</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>52.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water control</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Effect of neem cake on ammonia-assimilating enzymes of *Azolla*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seem cake (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Ammonia-assimilating enzyme per mg of protein</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>GDH  3.00</td>
<td>GOGAT 422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Effect of fertilizer N (urea) on the growth and ammonia-assimilating enzymes of *Azolla*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urea (ppm)</th>
<th>Mean <em>Azolla</em> wt (g)</th>
<th>Decrease over control (%)</th>
<th>Ammonia-assimilating enzyme per mg of protein</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>GDH  6.26</td>
<td>GOGAT 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>–2.1</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>–3.0</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>–6.4</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>–8.5</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>–12.8</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>–14.9</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>–14.9</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>–25.5</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>–34.9</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>–39.6</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect of fertilizer N on ammonia-assimilating enzymes**

The influence of urea on the growth and ammonia-assimilating enzymes of *Azolla* was studied in a pot culture experiment with urea concentrations of 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 45, and 50 ppm. The *Azolla* inoculation level was 2 g/pot. *Azolla* samples were drawn after 24 h and an enzyme extract was prepared to estimate the ammonia-assimilating enzymes. *Azolla* biomass yield was also recorded on the 10th day.

Ammonia-assimilating enzymes were not inhibited by fertilizer N up to the 20 ppm level, but inhibition was severe from the 25 to 50 ppm levels (Table 3). Glutamine synthetase (GS) activity was significantly more inhibited than GDH activity at higher levels of urea concentration.

**Effect of butachlor on ammonia-assimilating enzymes**

We conducted a pot experiment to determine the effect of butachlor on the growth and ammonia-assimilating enzymes of *Azolla*. Mud pots filled with 1 kg of ricefield soil and 4 liters of water were used. An initial inoculum level of *Azolla* at 15 g/pot was added. Butachlor 50% EC at 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 175, and 200 ppm concentrations was sprayed over the *Azolla* with a hand
Table 4. Effect of butachlor on growth and ammonia-assimilating enzymes of *Azolla*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Butachlor (ppm)</th>
<th>Mean Azolla wt (g)</th>
<th>Decrease over control (%)</th>
<th>Ammonia-assimilating enzyme per mg of protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GDH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>–2.2</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>–4.4</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>–8.7</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>–13.0</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>–21.7</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>–30.4</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>–39.1</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>–45.6</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sprayer. *Azolla* samples were drawn on the 3rd day and ammonia-assimilating enzymes GDH, GS, and glutamate synthase (GOGAT) were estimated. *Azolla* biomass fresh wt was recorded on the 10th day after inoculation. *Azolla* growth decreased gradually with increased concentrations of butachlor. Reduction in *Azolla* growth was observed at higher doses of butachlor (Table 4). In general the ammonia-assimilating enzymes are considerably inhibited at higher concentrations.

**AZOLLA AS BIOFERTILIZER**

*Azolla* nursery

Farmers can easily multiply *Azolla* in the field by following a simple nursery method. The field selected for the nursery is first thoroughly prepared and leveled. The field is divided into 20- × 2-m plots with bunds and irrigation canals, and the plots are flooded to a depth of 10 cm. Ten kg of fresh cattle dung mixed in 20 liters of water is sprinkled on each plot, which is then inoculated with 8 kg *Azolla* fresh wt. Superphosphate (100 g) is topdressed in 3 split doses at 4-d intervals. Furadan G at 100 g/plot is applied 7 d after inoculation for insect pest control. *Azolla* is harvested 15 d after inoculation and introduced into the main field as the primary source of inoculum. From 40 to 55 kg *Azolla* fresh wt is obtained from each plot. The *Azolla* nursery is grown while rice nursery is raised. Adding cow dung to the flooded rice soil system increases the growth of *Azolla* and nitrogenase activity (12). Cow dung and cattleshed water increased the growth of *Azolla* during winter (6).

*Azolla* manuring for rice

Dual cropping *Azolla* with rice at 300 g/m² increased rice grain yield 26% (4). Fertilizer N and *Azolla* dual cropped at 200 g/m² with IR20 and incorporated once at tillering and again at maximum tillering increased grain yield significantly (Table 5). In another field study, *Azolla* applied as green manure and dual cropped was compared with locally available aquatic weeds and *Parthenium*. Applying *Parthenium* as green manure at 10 t/ha and dual
Table 5. Effect of Azolla on rice yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nitrogen (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Grain yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>With Azolla</th>
<th>Without Azolla</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Comparative effect of Azolla and certain green manures on rice grain yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment (^a)</th>
<th>Grain yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Increase over control (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 kg N/ha</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azolla (DC) as 200 g/m²</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azolla (GM) at 10 t/ha</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parthenium (GM) at 10 t/ha</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemna (GM) at 10 t/ha</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hyacinth (GM) at 10 t/ha</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ipomoea (GM) at 10 t/ha</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice straw at 10 t/ha</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)DC = dual crop, GM = green manure.

Table 7. Effect of different grades of urea and Azolla as a dual crop (DC) on rice grain yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment (^a)</th>
<th>Grain yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Increase over control (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azolla DC</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prilled urea at 60 kg N/ha</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea supergranules at 60 kg K/ha</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neem-coated urea at 60 kg K/ha</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prilled urea + Azolla DC</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea supergranules + Azolla DC</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neem-coated urea + Azolla DC</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>79.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)DC = dual crop.

cropping Azolla gave higher yields (Table 6). Parthenium application gave maximum tiller production and panicle number followed by Azolla dual cropped.

Rice yield and N uptake by rice when dual cropped with Azolla in combination with different grades of urea (prilled, supergranules, and neem-coated) were compared. Neem-coated urea combined with Azolla gave the highest grain yield. Of the three forms of urea tested, urea supergranules and neem-coated urea gave highest grain yield (Table 7). A similar trend was noticed in grain filling, 1,000-grain wt, plant height, panicle number, tiller number, and N uptake.
Table 8. Effect of blue-green algae (BGA) and \textit{Azolla} on rice grain yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Grain yield (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 kg N/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninoculated control</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGA</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Azolla}</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGA + \textit{Azolla}</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Effect of \textit{Azolla} inoculation on weed suppression in wetland rice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>\textit{Azolla} inoculum (g/m²)</th>
<th>Fresh weed Wt (kg/7.5-m² plot)</th>
<th>Reduction over control (%)</th>
<th>Grain yield (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 kg N/ha alone</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninoculated control</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Echinochloa glabrescens, \textit{E. colona}, \textit{E. stagnina}, and \textit{E. crus-galli}.

Combined inoculation of BGA and \textit{Azolla} with fertilizer N significantly increased grain yield of CO-41 (Table 8).

Under tropical conditions in India, biologically fixed nitrogen from \textit{Azolla} can be most effectively transferred to rice by dual cropping \textit{Azolla} with rice after transplanting and incorporating it when the \textit{Azolla} covers the field as a thick mat. \textit{Azolla} can supply about 25-30 kg N/ha per incorporation (2, 4, 15). Nitrogen input can be increased further by growing the remaining \textit{Azolla} from the first incorporation, so a second incorporation may be made at maximum tillering or panicle initiation. These agronomic approaches have resulted in rice yields equivalent to the yield obtained with 30-40 kg N/ha (5).

WEED CONTROL BY \textit{AZOLLA}

During samba 1981-82, rice variety CO-43 was inoculated with \textit{Azolla} at 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400, 450, and 500 g/m² 7 d after transplanting (DT). Weeds were allowed to grow in all treatments and weeding was at 40 DT. The weed flora consisting of \textit{Echinochloa glabrescens}, \textit{E. stagnina}, \textit{E. crus-galli}, and \textit{E. colonum} were observed in the plots. Fresh wt of \textit{Echinochloa} sp. in each treatment was recorded. Increase in \textit{Azolla} inoculum decreased weed growth and increased grain yield (Table 9). Janiya and Moody (3) found that \textit{Azolla} reduced total weed wt 79.1% 50 DT. Lumpkin and Plucknett (10) also reported that \textit{Azolla} suppressed weeds in wetland rice.
Table 10. Effect of Sesbania and Colocasia as an intercrop on Azolla growth in summer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Azolla biomass yield (kg/plot)</th>
<th>Increase over control (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colocasia intercrop</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesbania intercrop</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Effect of size of mud pots on growth of Azolla in soil extract and water in summer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pot size (liter)</th>
<th>Mean Azolla growth in water (g)</th>
<th>Mean Azolla growth in soil extract (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AZOLLA MAINTENANCE IN SUMMER

Azolla is primarily grown as a winter green manure, but fronds must be maintained over summer to reestablish Azolla in ricefields at the beginning of the rice crop season. Pest control methods are available, but the lack of heat-tolerant cultivars and effective heat avoidance measures results in low productivity or death in summer, particularly when water temperature is high.

No large-scale methods for using Azolla spores as seeding material are currently known. Maintaining and storing vegetative frond materials during the off-season are a problem for rice growers. If Azolla strains tolerant of temperate and tropical rice growing environments could be identified, production costs could be reduced.

In a May 1984 field study Colocasia esculenta and Sesbania speciosa were planted at 1.2 × 0.6 m spacing in 10-m² plots. Twelve plants/plot were maintained to provide shade to Azolla, which was inoculated at 200 g/m². Fresh cattle dung at 2.5 t/ha, 12 kg P/ha, and 25 kg furadan/ha were added to each plot. On the 14th day Azolla fresh wt was recorded. Sesbania and Colocasia supported Azolla growth in summer (Table 10). The shade obtained from Sesbania and Colocasia increased Azolla growth in summer.

In another study, mud pots of 2-, 3-, 5-, 8-, and 10-liter capacity were used to maintain Azolla. Superphosphate at 5 ppm for water and 10 ppm for soil extract was added to the pots. Carbendazim and carbofuran at 20 ppm each were also added to control insect and disease pests. Fresh Azolla (20 g) was added to the pots, which were kept under sunlight. Azolla growth was determined on the 10th day by weighing the Azolla biomass (Table 11). Maintaining Azolla in mud pots during summer supported Azolla growth.
Table 12. Black rot incidence in different strains of Azolla.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Azolla culture</th>
<th>Disease incidence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>A. pinnata</em> NE 13</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. pinnata</em> NE 16</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. caroliniana</em></td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. filiculoides</em></td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. nilotica</em></td>
<td>71.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. microphylla</em></td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. pinnata</em> NE 6 (Bangkok)</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13. Control of black rot disease of Azolla.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbendazim (ppm)</th>
<th>Mean Azolla wt (g)</th>
<th>Increase over inoculated Azolla (%)</th>
<th>Increase over control (%)</th>
<th>Cost of carbendazim (Rs/ha)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>130.1</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>138.1</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>148.1</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*US$1 = Rs10.60.

CONTROL OF BLACK ROT DISEASE IN AZOLLA

When *Azolla* plants reach maximum growth in the field, they decay in patches. Fungal pathogens attack the middle portion of the fronds first and then spread gradually to the branches. Complete rotting of plants occurs as black patches then the plants decay. The incidence of fungal diseases was reported by Sasi et al (13). The occurrence of black rot caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* was reported by Kannaiyan (4) who noticed that the disease was more severe when the fronds were attacked by snails.

The pathogens *R. solani*, *Fusarium* sp., *Sclerotium* sp., and *Rhizopus* sp. were isolated from diseased *Azolla* plants. *R. solani* was severe on *A. pinnata*. Different cultures of *Azolla* were inoculated with the *R. solani* and their disease tolerance was evaluated. Black rot occurred least on *A. caroliniana* and *A. pinnata* (Bangkok). The disease was severe on *A. nilotica* (Table 12).

*Azolla* fronds were inoculated with *R. solani* and then grown in mud pots. Increasing concentrations of carbendazim (10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 ppm) significantly reduced the disease incidence (Table 13). Kannaiyan et al (9) tested various fungicides and found that benomyl at 0.1% and carbendazim at 0.2% inhibited the growth of *R. solani*. Neem cake at 500 kg/ha significantly controlled black rot (5).

PESTICIDE RESIDUE IS AZOLLA

The effect of granular pesticides on *Azolla* and their accumulation in *A. pinnata* was studied. *Azolla* was grown in 1-m² microplots in the field and
Table 14. Pesticide residues in *Azolla*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide</th>
<th>Residue accumulation in <em>Azolla</em> (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phorate</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinalphos</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbofuran</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHC</td>
<td>7.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldicarb</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* ND = not detectable.

the granular pesticides phorate, quinalphos, carbofuran, BHC, and aldicarb were applied at 1 g/m². Twenty grams of *Azolla* samples were collected after 1 d and on the 7th day and analyzed for pesticide residues. The results are shown in Table 14. Residue accumulation was less than 2 ppm in all samples drawn on the 7th day. Carbofuran is commonly used in nurseries to control insect pests of *Azolla*. Carbofuran residue was lowest on *Azolla* samples taken on the 7th day.
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**DISCUSSION**

**MOCHIDA:** Do you have any data on the seasonal abundance of *Azolla* diseases?

**KANNAIYAN** Black rot disease caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* is more prevalent in summer because of the high temperature.

**ALI:** You have shown that *Sesbania* can be used for *Azolla* canopy. What species of *Sesbania* was used and can it withstand prolonged flooding?

**KANNAIYAN** I used *Sesbania speciosa*. It grows well in waterlogged soil.

**KULASOORIYA:** Were BGA and *Azolla* inoculated together and if so, why? Would it not have been better to have allowed BGA to grow and then inoculated *Azolla* after BGA incorporation?

**KANNAIYAN** BGA and *Azolla* were inoculated together. BGA was inoculated as soil-based inoculum at 10 kg BGA/ha; *Azolla* was used as fresh inoculum at 200 g/m². Although BGA and *Azolla* are ecologically different, good establishment was noted. Rice crop response to BGA has been well established in India.

**LADHA:** How do you explain rice yield increase with *Lemna*, which does not fix N₂? Heterotrophically fixed N₂ associated with *Lemna* cannot explain the yield increase.

**KANNAIYAN** Some N₂-fixing bacteria are present in *Lemna* roots. Biomass produced by *Lemna* almost equals that produced by *Azolla*. During decomposition, N or other micronutrients might be available to the rice crop.

**ESKEW:** Could the soil disturbance involved in incorporating *Lemna* account for the yield increase observed? Watanabe has reported this effect. Should not such controls be included in experimental design?

**KANNAIYAN** Soil disturbance during incorporation of *Lemna* might have some effect. Based on our experiment, it is evident that the application of *Lemna* increased grain yield. We do not know the mechanism, but N present in the plant or other micronutrients might become available during decomposition. As you suggest, it would be better to have a soil disturbance control.
The price ratio of fertilizer to rice is relatively high in Thailand, so Thai farmers cannot afford to apply mineral N fertilizer at the levels recommended by the government. Azolla is a suitable N source for rice because of the relatively short time required to obtain a substantial N supply — as much as 3 kg N/ha per day — and because of Azolla’s tolerance for acidity, low soil fertility, and high temperature. Phosphorus is the most important nutrient for Azolla multiplication. Two or three applications of phosphate to the growing Azolla crop result in a thick mat and high Azolla fresh weight. One crop of Azolla before or after transplanting and either incorporated into the soil or allowed to dry on the soil surface yielded N almost equivalent to 30 kg N mineral fertilizer/ha. Farmers in northern and eastern Thailand are poor, therefore, it is not practical for them to apply superphosphate to the Azolla crop. Instead, monoammonium phosphate or diammonium phosphate fertilizer can be used to cultivate Azolla. Rice yields are similar to those achieved when superphosphate is used to fertilize the Azolla crop. Besides providing a N source for rice, Azolla applied over time improves soil fertility, particularly of the sandy soils of northern and eastern Thailand.

Planting modern rice varieties, fertilizer use, and pest and disease control characterize rice culture in Thailand. Studies show that fertilization can dramatically increase rice yield. Thai farmers, however, cannot afford to apply chemical fertilizers in the quantities recommended by the government because the ratio of price of rice to commercial fertilizers is very low. Therefore, Thai scientists are interested in using biofertilizers.

STUDIES ON AZOLLA USE

Azolla is a suitable source of N for rice in Thailand because 1) it can provide a substantial amount of N in a short time — as much as 3 kg N/ha per day, and 2) it is tolerant of high pH and high temperature. Therefore, it can grow on low-fertility soils. Azolla is widely used in Thailand as a green manure for rice.
Azolla use in rice production has been studied in Thailand since 1976. Previous studies showed that Azolla grow in the sandy, low-fertility ricefields of northeastern Thailand. Growing Azolla 20 d before rice transplanting or 1 wk after transplanting results in high rice production, the same as when chemical N fertilizer is applied (2). In rainfed areas, rice farmers cannot sow Azolla before transplanting because of the short time there is standing water in the field. A practical method for Azolla utilization in rainfed areas is to sow Azolla in the field after rice transplanting and let it die off until N is released without incorporation. This method is more desirable for increasing rice yield in rainfed areas (3).

Azolla requires P for vigorous growth. Split applications of phosphate fertilizer two or three times during its growth cycle are recommended. Azolla investigations in Thailand can be summarized as follows:

1. Azolla will not grow unless phosphate fertilizer is applied at seeding.
2. Twenty-five kg P$_{2}$O$_{5}$/ha is necessary for Azolla culture in the ricefield. Split applications of phosphate at 7-10 d intervals are important, 2 applications in coarse soil and 3 in clay or acid sulfate soils.
3. Compound fertilizers such as 11-52-0 (monoammonium phosphate [MAP]) and 18-46-0 (diammonium phosphate [DAP]) can be used for Azolla culture with rice, and give Azolla yield similar to that achieved by applying 25 kg P$_{2}$O$_{5}$ superphosphate fertilizer/ha.
4. At inoculation rates of 312.5-625 kg Azolla fresh wt/ha, Azolla yields of 12.5-25 t/ha are achieved.
5. Neither the growing of Azolla before transplanting and incorporating into soil at transplanting, nor posttransplanting of Azolla and incorporating or nonincorporating, showed significant differences in rice yield. A single crop of Azolla before or after transplanting gave rice yield equivalent to that from applying 30 kg N/ha.
6. Use of Azolla as green manure over time, particularly on low-fertility and sandy soils of northeastern Thailand, increases organic matter content and gives yields equivalent to those realized from N fertilizer.

Table 1. Azolla growth as affected by phosphate application and its effect on rice yield at Ubon Ratchathane Province, 1977.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatmenta</th>
<th>Fertilizer N-P$<em>{2}$O$</em>{5}$-K$_{2}$O applied at transplanting (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Growth of fresh Azolla (t/ha)</th>
<th>Rice yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Panicles/hill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. No Azolla</td>
<td>0 - 30 - 25</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.6 c</td>
<td>7.2 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. No Azolla</td>
<td>19 - 30 - 25</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.8 c</td>
<td>8.5 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. No Azolla</td>
<td>38 - 30 - 25</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.9 bc</td>
<td>9.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. With Azolla</td>
<td>0 - 30 - 25</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.9 bc</td>
<td>8.5 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. With Azolla</td>
<td>0 - 30 - 25</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>3.5 a</td>
<td>9.4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. With Azolla</td>
<td>0 - 30 - 25</td>
<td>18.92</td>
<td>3.4 a</td>
<td>10.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. With Azolla</td>
<td>0 - 30 - 25</td>
<td>18.61</td>
<td>2.6 c</td>
<td>7.0 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a In treatments 4, 5, 6 Azolla was plowed into soil before transplanting. In treatments 6 and 7 K fertilizer was split 3 times at 5-d intervals. Azolla applied at seeding time.
AZOLLA NURSERIES

*Azolla* was maintained for 1 yr in 6 nursery ponds in rice experiment stations and 3 nursery ponds in northeast Thailand. At Sakon-Nakorn Rice Experiment Station, the nursery pond can produce enough *Azolla* for irrigated paddy fields. In 1982, it produced 15.5 t *Azolla* fresh wt/ha in the dry season and 31 t/ha in the wet season for Nam-Unn irrigated fields.

A preliminary study on the effect of *Azolla* on rice yield was conducted at Ubon Ratchathanee in 1977. *Azolla* was inoculated 3 wk before transplanting. Rice yield was higher in the plot in which *Azolla* was incorporated before transplanting than in plots that received chemical N (Table 1) (2).

In rainfed areas, rice farmers cannot sow *Azolla* before transplanting because of the short time water is kept standing in the field. Posttransplanting culture of *Azolla* and not incorporating it into the soil gave higher rice yield

### Table 2. Effect of posttransplanting and split phosphorus application for *Azolla* cultivation on rice yield, Ubon Ratchathanee Province. 1978.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Fertilizer (N-P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;-K&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O) (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Rice yield (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. No <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>0 - 25 - 25</td>
<td>1.2 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. No <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>37.5 - 25 - 25</td>
<td>2.3 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. No <em>Azolla</em>, incorporation simulated</td>
<td>37.5 - 25 - 25</td>
<td>2.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <em>Azolla</em>, posttransplanting culture, not incorporated; P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; not split</td>
<td>0 - 25 - 25</td>
<td>1.9 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <em>Azolla</em>, posttransplanting culture, not incorporated; P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; split</td>
<td>0 - 25 - 25</td>
<td>3.1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. <em>Azolla</em>, posttransplanting culture, incorporated; P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; not split</td>
<td>0 - 25 - 25</td>
<td>1.4 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. <em>Azolla</em>, posttransplanting culture, incorporated; P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; split</td>
<td>0 - 25 - 25</td>
<td>1.7 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. <em>Azolla</em>, pretransplanting culture, incorporated; P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; split</td>
<td>0 - 25 - 25</td>
<td>2.9 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Effect of monoammonium phosphate (MAP) and diammonium phosphate (DAP) at different rates on *Azolla* growth as green manure for rice production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th><em>Azolla</em> fresh wt (kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Rice yield (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Sakon-Nakorn</em></td>
<td><em>Pimai</em></td>
<td><em>Sakon-Nakorn</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Check</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <em>Azolla</em> + SP 18.75 kg P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;/ha</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.85 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <em>Azolla</em> + SP 37.5 kg P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;/ha</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.07 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <em>Azolla</em> + SP 56.25 kg P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;/ha</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.95 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <em>Azolla</em> + MAP 18.75 kg P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;/ha</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.54 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. <em>Azolla</em> + MAP 37.5 kg P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;/ha</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.53 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. <em>Azolla</em> + MAP 56.25 kg B&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;/ha</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>2.66 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. <em>Azolla</em> + DAP 18.75 kg P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;/ha</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>2.22 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. <em>Azolla</em> + DAP 37.5 kg P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;/ha</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.49 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. <em>Azolla</em> + DAP 56.25 kg P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;/ha</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.59 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> In treatments 2-10, 25 kg KCl/ha applied in addition to P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> levels indicated. SP (superphosphate fertilizer split 3 times at 7-d interval; MAP applied at *Azolla* inoculation; DAP applied at *Azolla* inoculation.
Table 4. Long time use of *Azolla* as green manure in ricefields at Pimai\(^a\), northeastern Thailand (1979-83).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Rice yield (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. No <em>Azolla</em> + no fertilizer</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. No <em>Azolla</em> + chemical fertilizer(^b)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <em>Azolla</em> culture before rice transplanting</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <em>Azolla</em> culture after rice transplanting</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Soil properties pH 5.2, O.M. 1.15%, sandy clay loam, CEC 12 meq/100 g. Total P, 80 ppm.

*Azolla* cultivation with superphosphate is not practical in Thailand because phosphorus fertilizer is scanty and expensive. The use of MAP 11-52-0 and DAP 18-46-0 for *Azolla* cultivation was studied for promoting the use of MAP, DAP, and ammonium chloride from domestic chemical fertilizer production (1). The experiment at Sakon-Nakorn and Pimai indicated that *Azolla* fresh weight and rice yield increased when MAP or DAP was applied in plots (Table 3). The results at Sakon-Nakorn were not significant but indicated that *Azolla* and rice yields increased when MAP and DAP were applied.

Table 4 gives data on 5 years’ trials on *Azolla* utilization compared with nitrogen chemical fertilizer. At the third to fifth year, use of *Azolla* before or after transplanting gave high rice yields. It was shown that *Azolla* can improve soil fertility, particularly in low-fertility soils like those in northeastern Thailand.
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Three species of Azolla occur in several areas in Brazil, Azolla caroliniana, A. filiculoides, and A. microphylla. The growth and N-supplying potential of Azolla for irrigated rice have been studied at five sites. Relative growth rates of 0.25-0.30 g/g per d are possible in ricefields. Azolla produced the equivalent of 20-60 kg N/ha mineral fertilizer when incorporated as green manure before sowing, and 5-100 kg N/ha when intercropped. High temperature and solar radiation, nutrient deficiency, and insect pests are the main factors affecting Azolla growth. Potential uses and limitations for Azolla utilization in Brazil are discussed.

Rice is a staple food in Brazil, with per capita consumption of 45 kg/yr. The production of about 9 million t rough rice/yr almost meets the demand. Paddy rice accounts for more than 30% of total production (1), with an average yield of more than 3.7 t/ha (Comissão de Financiamento da Produção, unpubl.).

N input to the system is necessary for profitable yields, and, in the amounts required, tends to be the most expensive commercial product used in rice production. Azolla occurs in several sites throughout Brazil. In previous experiments, introduction of Azolla gave yield increases equivalent to those obtained with 15-100 kg N/ha or more. It appears that Azolla could become a source of N for paddy rice production in Brazil.

Basic research with Azolla in Brazil was begun in 1977 by the Centro de Energia Nuclear na Agricultura (Piracicaba, Sao Paulo). Field trials were initiated by the Empresa Catarinense de Pesquisa Agropecuaria S.A. (Itajai, Santa Catarina) in 1981, Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Arroz e Feijão (Goiania, Goias) in 1982, and Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuaria de Minas Gerais (Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais) in 1983. Azolla has been cultivated on Belmonte Farm (Rolandia, Parana) since 1981.

This paper summarizes observations from surveys and synthesizes results of experiments at different sites.
The occurrence of *Azolla* in Brazil was first cited in 1979 by Sehem (9) in Santa Catarina State and by Junk (4) in the Amazon Basin. In 1981 Lorenzi (6) reported *Azolla* in several other Brazilian states. *Azolla* species collected in eight states are shown in Figure 1. The Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Arroz e Feijão maintains a collection of 28 Brazilian and 29 foreign *Azolla* strains.

In Brazil *Azolla* is commonly found on the surface of lakes formed by rivers, on ponds, swamps, and paddy rice soils. Common names include almiscar-vegetal, samambaia-aquatica, murere-rendado, umbar-vegetal, musgoda-agua, and tapete-da-agua (6).

In the north, northeast, and middle west regions, *Azolla* frequently occurs naturally during the dry season when rainfall is low and average temperature is above 22 °C. In the southern and southeastern regions *Azolla* is
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1. *Azolla* species collected in Brazil.
found mainly during spring, when temperatures rise and rainfall is not yet abundant. Generally, *Azolla* appears among other aquatic plants, such as *Lemna* sp., *Pistia* sp., *Salvinia* sp., *Eichhornia* sp., *Oryza perennis*, and *Paspalum repens*, which protect it from turbulence, drifting, and high solar radiation. In several regions, *Azolla* is frequently found in paddy rice and farmers report better plant development.

**AZOLLA GROWTH**

In Brazil *Azolla* reaches relative growth rates (RGR) of 0.25 g/g per d in the field and up to 0.3 g/g per d in nurseries. Main growth-limiting factors are high temperature and solar radiation, and nutrient deficiencies.

**Mineral nutrition**

The main limiting element in *Azolla* nutrition in the field is P, which is frequently deficient in Brazilian soils (5). On an Alfisol (Paleudalf) in northern Parana, weekly broadcasting of 2-4 kg P/ha is necessary to maintain the P content in the *Azolla*, while on hydromorphic soils in Goias, P must be applied once weekly at 6-9 kg P/ha or split into 2 applications of 2 kg P/ha. Uniform application of less than 2 kg P/ha is technically difficult. Ca, Mg, and some micronutrients might become deficient after longer periods of field cultivation. Nutrient deficiency is less pronounced with shading, with low infiltration rates, and with a water level lower than 5 cm, especially on more fertile soil.

**Climatic factors**

*Temperature.* In the tropics, temperature in *Azolla* cover rises to 40°C in full sunlight. Accumulation of C and N per unit area are reduced mainly due to high temperatures. *A. filiculoides* will not grow well in the field in the summer north of the southern and southeastern regions. In the southern region, frost can damage *Azolla*. However, *A. filiculoides*, *A. caroliniana*, and *A. microphylla* have shown tolerance for -2°C night frost (3).

*Solar radiation.* Maximum light intensities occur in the rainy season, whereas maximum total radiation per day occurs in the dry season in the middle west, northeast, and northern regions. Observations suggest that *Azolla* growth becomes more dense in tropical climates where radiation is higher.

**Insect parasites and diseases**

*Azolla* is attacked by several insect pests, mainly in the warm season. Noldin and Ramos (7) reported *Nymphula*, *Chironomidae*, and *Molluscae* (*Pomacea* sp., *Marisca* sp.) feeding on *Azolla* in Santa Catarina. In north Parana, *Aphideae* and two *Diptera* species, probably *Stratiomyidae* and *Chironomidae*, were found. *Diptera*, probably *Chironomidae*, was also noted in Goias. Larvae of *Coleoptera* were observed as predators of small *Diptera*. *Molluscae*, frog larvae, and fish feed on *Azolla*. Monocrotophos at 0.3 liter/ha, with standing water
during the first week, efficiently controls pests for 2-3 wk. Decis (pyrethroid) at 0.5 liter commercial product/ha gave fair control in the first trials. Fungal attack was detected only in the greenhouse, mainly on *A. nilotica* and *A. rubra*.

**USE IN RICE PRODUCTION**

**Species for rice cultivation**
If well managed, indigenous species *A. caroliniana* and *A. microphylla* show RGR of 0.2-0.3 g/g per d throughout the year under subtropical and tropical conditions, and release N quickly to the rice crop. Their application will be more effective as an intercrop, unless longer cultivation before preplanting incorporation takes place. In tropical climates, their growth rates and N fixation will be enhanced by shading compared to free cultivation. *A. filiculoides* is especially suited for preplanting incorporation because of its high C and N accumulation per unit area in subtropical winter and spring, and its slower N release due to large C:N ratio. An average RGR of 0.15-0.20 g/g per d can be expected.

**Effect on rice: preplanting monocrop**
Research by Purcino (unpubl.) in Minas Gerais showed that incorporating 180 g *A. caroliniana* dry wt/m² with 3.7% N supplied the equivalent of 50 kg N/ha mineral fertilizer to rice (Fig. 2). In north Parana, incorporating 240 g *A. filiculoides* dry wt/m² monocrop cover with 2.5% N yielded 7.7 t/ha (Table 1), equivalent to application of 60 kg N/ha. In Goias, incorporating 120 g *A. caroliniana* dry wt/m² with 3.0% N resulted in 20 kg N/ha to rice (3). Several incorporations of *A. caroliniana* and *A. microphylla* are estimated to supply at least 30-60 kg N/ha to rice.

In the greenhouse, $^{32}$P was transferred from *Azolla* to the rice plant. Incorporating *Azolla* not only supplied P and N to rice, but also increased the mobilization of soil P (7), suggesting that other nutrients incorporated with *Azolla* should also be more readily available to rice. P application on *Azolla* incorporated before rice sowing is almost as efficient for rice growth as basal P application to rice.

**Effect on rice: intercropping**
In trials developed in Minas Gerais (Fig. 2), Goias (Fig. 3), and Parana (Table 1), intercropping without incorporation supplied the equivalent of 5, 20, and 100 kg N/ha mineral fertilizer, respectively. In combination with monocrop, incorporation gave yields higher than those obtained with 100 kg N/ha (Table 1). There is a positive interaction between rice and *Azolla* intercrop development. Rice shading at tillering enhances *Azolla* development and N-liberation, whereas if soil is very low in N, intercropping will not furnish N to rice unless it is incorporated. *Azolla* intercrop also reduces weed infestation.
Table 1. Effect of *Azolla*, N applied as urea, and different soil preparation and sowing systems on rice grain yield (t/ha), variety CICA-9. Mean of 2 replications. Average plot size: 150 m². Belmonte Farm, Rolândia, Parana (3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Grain yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>Scheffe Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocrop (<em>A. filiculoides</em>)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercrop (<em>A. microphylla</em>)</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocrop + intercrop 50 kg N/ha</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocrop + intercrop 100 kg N/ha</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V. (%)</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A = direct sowing, B = drill sowing into tilled soil, C = regerminated seed broadcast into puddled soil, D = transplanting into puddled soil. *b* Urea, broadcast.

**Field management**

*Azolla* field cultivation in Brazil will require four management practices:

1. Constant water level of 2-5 cm depth, which demands good soil leveling. On soil with infiltration rates higher than 20 mm/d, which are common in middle west fields, a constant water level is difficult to maintain; *Azolla* desiccates in less than 1 d after deposition on the soil surface.

2. P application at least weekly, to maintain P content of the *Azolla* constant during several cycles of multiplication. On well-leveled areas,
3. Grain yield of rice variety IAC-899 as affected by *Azolla filiculoides* intercrop and ammonium sulfate. Mean of 7 replications, Goiania, Goias State.

where a water level lower than 5 cm depth can be established, applications may be less frequent.

3. Density management during multiplication, consisting of inoculation of *Azolla* at 0.2-0.5 kg fresh wt/m² and transferring the *Azolla* when it reaches densities of 2-3 kg fresh wt/m² in subtropical conditions and 1-2 kg fresh wt/m² in tropical conditions.

4. Inoculation of pest-free *Azolla*, to delay pest population development during field multiplication. Pest control by conventional methods seems to be economical only in nurseries.

**Techniques and management practices**

Although labor in Brazil is relatively inexpensive, labor input in *Azolla* cultivation must be reduced because labor is not always readily available. Therefore, implements for *Azolla* cultivation are being introduced.

In *Azolla* cultivation, its transfer for inoculation is the most time-consuming operation, except fertilizing. That can be simplified by transferring *Azolla* with irrigation water. Well-nourished ferns do not suffer much damage, and losses are almost nil in clean trapezoidal irrigation channels. Other channels and even siphons might be used. *A. filiculoides* supports 9 mm water column pressure without serious injury (3).

**OTHER USES**

*Azolla* grown in fallow fields or on ponds and lakes can be used as feed for livestock, poultry, and fish, and as a source of anthocyanin. It can also be used
as green manure for vegetables (Leite, pers. comm.). *Azolla* has also been studied as an indicator of water pollution and as a depollutant.

**LIMITATIONS**

In Rio Grande do Sul and in some bigger irrigation systems, field size varies from 3 ha to more than 20 ha. In the smaller fields, more than 60% of irrigation water is drawn by pumps, making monocropping uneconomical unless N fertilizer could be largely replaced by biological N₂ fixation. In these areas, new large-scale technology would have to be developed. In other states, average paddy size is less than 1 ha, and water is largely drawn by gravity. In the middle west region, water availability before rice cultivation is low due to the previous dry season, and soils have high infiltration rates, which increases water demand and leaching of nutrients by submersion.

Farms attempting to cultivate *Azolla* have to make trainable labor forces available for nursery management and integrated pest control. Furthermore, administration time is required to assess *Azolla* production and coordinate it with the rice planting schedule. The success of *Azolla* application in Brazilian rice production will depend on the number of farms that can carry out such intensive on-farm management.

**CONCLUSION**

The potential of *Azolla* to supply N to rice with adequate management has been assessed by field trials in tropical and subtropical climates. Future *Azolla* research should concentrate on development of efficient field management methods that can be used by farmers. Main points to be studied are efficiency of P utilization, integrated pest control, strain screening for heat tolerance, and pest resistance.

Variations in *Azolla* N contribution to rice in experiments were mainly due to management practices. Handbooks on *Azolla* nursery cultivation, management practices, and application must be developed. Many farmers have considerable interest in *Azolla*. Introduction of *Azolla* into rice production in pilot projects should be encouraged so that farmers, extension workers, and researchers can participate in developing or adapting cultivation methods and evaluate *Azolla’s* actual potential for agricultural utilization.
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DISCUSSION

KANNAIYAN: When do you inoculate Azolla in the intercropping system and when do you incorporate it?

FIORE: I inoculate Azolla in intercropping 40 d after sowing. I do not incorporate Azolla; it grows with the rice until harvest.

VAN HOVE: How did you measure relative growth rate?

FIORE: I measured Azolla fresh wt/m² at inoculation and just before incorporation, that is, 30-40 d after inoculation.

MOCHIDA: What kinds of pyrethroids do you use for pest control?

FIORE: Decis insecticide.
The potential of *Azolla* as a biofertilizer for rice has been examined by field growth observations at several sites within the dry, intermediate, and wet zones of Sri Lanka. More systematic studies on the growth, N$_2$ fixation, and availability of *Azolla* N were conducted by regularly monitoring fresh weight biomass by acetylene reduction activity (ARA) measurements, and the use of $^{15}$N-labeled material. The effect of *Azolla* on rice yield compared to that of urea was evaluated over three crop seasons. Growth measurements showed that *Azolla* doubling time was 3.8-4.8 d, nitrogenase activity was high, and N derived from fixation ranged from 50 to 60%. *Azolla* increased rice yields 14% and reduced weed growth 34% in broadcast seeded rice, increased yield 22% and reduced weed growth 52% in transplanted rice, and increased yield 47% and reduced weed growth 43% in row-planted rice. Dual culture of *Azolla* with two incorporations in the rice cultivation cycle gave rice yields equivalent to applying 55-85 kg N/ha urea. Field-grown rice recovered N from soil-incorporated fresh *Azolla* more efficiently than it did from soil-incorporated urea.

*Azolla* has not been used traditionally as a green manure for rice in Sri Lanka and has remained a mere botanical curiosity until recently. Research on *Azolla* in relation to rice culture began in the mid-1970s and a preliminary investigation revealed it could increase rice yield. However, whether *Azolla* could withstand the high light intensities and high temperatures in the dry zones of Sri Lanka, where rice is predominant, had to be examined before field application. An *Azolla pinnata* strain from Peradeniya could tolerate high light and temperatures as long as P nutrition was adequate (3). These laboratory experiments were later confirmed by field trials, which showed that four strains of *A. pinnata* could grow rapidly in the dry zone of southern Sri Lanka and exhibit high nitrogenase activity during growth (4). A more recent study showed that adding 5-7 kg P/ha per wk could increase *Azolla* biomass 20-fold and that N content of the *Azolla* increased linearly with the addition of P (2).
Further experiments have been conducted to:

- examine the ability of *Azolla* to grow in ricefields in several sites in Sri Lanka,
- measure and quantify N\(_2\) fixation by field-grown *Azolla*,
- study the availability of *Azolla* N to rice in comparison to urea N, and
- assess the effect of *Azolla* incorporation on rice yield.

This paper will deal primarily with these experiments.

**AZOLLA EXPERIMENTS**

An *Azolla* Bank, consisting of different strains of *Azolla*, collected locally and abroad, is maintained in soil-water culture at the Central Agricultural Research Institute of the Department of Agriculture in Gannoruwa, Peradeniya, as an essential component of the *Azolla* research program. Material from this collection is available for research on *Azolla* in Sri Lanka.

The ability of *Azolla pinnata* to colonize ricefields under natural conditions was examined by inoculating *A. pinnata* into flooded fields and observing its growth. *Azolla* grew successfully in all the localities examined, which are situated in the wet, intermediate, and dry zones of Sri Lanka (Fig. 1). A more accurate assessment of growth and N\(_2\) fixation was done at Ambalantota in southern Sri Lanka, where the terrain is undulating to flat, the rice soils are of the low humic gley type, and the 75% expectancy of annual rainfall is <500 mm.

A replicated field trial was conducted using 5-m\(^2\) plots, arranged in a randomized complete block design, to compare the growth of two local strains of *A. pinnata* with two exotic strains. Each plot was inoculated with 180 g of *Azolla* fresh wt/m\(^2\) (1.8 t/ha) together with 6 kg triple superphosphate (TSP)/kg *Azolla* fresh wt, and 1 kg of carbofuran (3% ai)/kg *Azolla* fresh wt. TSP powder at 3 g/m\(^2\) was broadcast over *Azolla* every 5 d and 0.5 g carbofuran/m\(^2\) was added at the initial sign of any pest attack. *Azolla* fresh wt from each plot was measured every 3 d. Biomass measurements were stopped after 15 d, because most of the plots had complete *Azolla* cover by that time.

Acetylene reduction activity (ARA) measurements were done on these 15-d cultures using transparent plastic baby feeding bottles to which were attached PVC tubes closed with subaseal stoppers. Eight bottles were placed in each plot and incubated with 20% acetylene in air for 20 min. This short incubation time was adopted to minimize the effect of temperature increases within the incubation bottles. Two-ml aliquot gas samples, stored in vacutainers, were analyzed for ethylene by gas chromatography.

The rate of *Azolla* growth was also monitored in a large (200 m\(^2\)) field in which 10 kg inoculum (fresh wt) increased 50-fold in 3 wk, a doubling time of 3.8 d.

**Quantitative assessment of N\(_2\) fixation**

N\(_2\) fixation was assessed in 1-m\(^2\) microplots lined with plastic sheets and bunded by 25-cm levees. The \(^{15}\)N-dilution method (1) was adopted, with
1. Sites in Sri Lanka where Azolla growth has been observed.

*A. pinnata* and *A. microphylla* as the N\(_2\)-fixing test plants, and *Salvinia* sp. and *Lemna major* as the nonfixing reference plants. The experiment was carried out in monoculture as well as in dual culture with rice, transplanted at 15 × 15 cm. After land preparation and flooding to a level of 5 cm with irrigation water, \(^{15}\)N-labeled urea [11.3% atomic emissivity (a.e.)], was added to give a concentration of 40 ppm N. Each 1-m\(^2\) microplot was subdivided into 4 equal quadrants using pieces of bamboo floated on the floodwater. Five g (fresh wt) of each plant type was added to each subplot as inoculum. In this manner, the test and the reference plants were kept immersed in the same solution containing \(^{15}\)N, but effectively separated from one another. The plants were harvested after 6 wk, dried, the dry weights recorded, and then powdered. Aliquot samples of this material were analyzed for \(^{15}\)N enrichment by emission spectrometry at the International Atomic Energy Agency laboratories in Seibersdorf, Austria.
Availability of *Azolla* N to rice

The availability of *Azolla* N to rice was investigated in 1-m² microplots lined with plastic sheets and bunded by 25-cm levees. *Azolla*, prelabeled by growing it with $^{15}$N-labeled urea (11.3 a.e.), was incorporated 2 and 6 wk after transplanting. The availability of *Azolla* N was compared with that of urea N by having a treatment with $^{15}$N-labeled urea (5% a.e.). Each treatment was replicated 4 times and arranged in a randomized complete block design.

**Effect of *Azolla* on rice yield**

The effect of *Azolla* on the yield of broadcast seeded, transplanted, and row-planted rice was examined at Peradeniya in a replicated field experiment using 4- × 3-m plots. In this experiment *Azolla* was inoculated at 100 g fresh wt/m², 20 d after broadcasting and 2 d after transplanting 18-d-old rice seedlings. No fertilizers were applied. The *Azolla* cover was incorporated only once (30 d after inoculation) during crop growth.

Weed suppression by *Azolla* under different rice planting patterns was assessed at Peradeniya and at Ambalantota by recording the weed biomasses 30 d after *Azolla* inoculation. The dry weights of weeds removed from 20 randomly selected 1-m² areas per plot were recorded.

At Ambalantota, a comprehensive field trial was conducted for three consecutive seasons to evaluate the effect of *Azolla* on rice yield in comparison to urea fertilizer. This experiment was conducted in 4- × 4-m plots that had the following treatments.

1. Rice with 0 fertilizer,
2. Rice + P and K + 0 kg N/ha,
3. Rice + P and K + 20 kg N/ha,
4. Rice + P and K + 40 kg N/ha,
5. Rice + P and K + 60 kg N/ha,
6. Rice + P and K + 80 kg N/ha,
7. Rice + P and K + 100 kg N/ha,
8. Rice + P and K + 10 kg N/ha + *Azolla* (Peradeniya),
9. Rice + P and K + 10 kg N/ha + *Azolla* (Debokkawa), and
10. Rice + P and K + 10 kg N/ha (Bangkok).

The rice variety used was AT-16 (105 d duration).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>Azolla</em> collection</th>
<th><em>Azolla</em> fresh wt$^a$ (g/plot)</th>
<th>ARA$^b$ (µmol C₂H₄/g fresh wt per h)</th>
<th>N₂ fixation (kg N/ha per d)$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debokkawa</td>
<td>8000 ± 54</td>
<td>2.59 ± 1.50</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangkok</td>
<td>7892 ± 72</td>
<td>2.44 ± 1.36</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>7600 ± 124</td>
<td>1.82 ± 1.18</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peradeniya</td>
<td>7125 ± 712</td>
<td>2.41 ± 1.36</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Mean of four replications. $^b$Mean of 8 samples incubated with 20% acetylene from 1330 to 1430 CST, under 90 klx at 34-37 °C. $^c$N₂ : C₂H₄ = 1:4.
Each treatment was replicated 4 times and arranged in a randomized complete block design. P was applied as TSP and K was applied as muriate of potash at levels recommended for AT-16. N was provided as urea with 1 basal application (10 kg N/ha) to all the treatments, except treatments 1 and 2. This was followed by 2 topdressings given to treatments 3 to 7 at 2 and 6 wk after transplanting. Eighteen-day-old seedlings were transplanted at 20 × 20 cm spacing and Azolla was inoculated at 100 g fresh wt/m², 3 d after transplanting.

**Experiments in farmers’ fields**

A preliminary nonreplicated experiment was conducted in farmers’ fields at three sites in which the effect of Azolla incorporation before and after transplanting was compared with the recommended fertilizer applications.

**RESULTS**

The more systematic investigations on the growth of Azolla showed that in the dry zone of southern Sri Lanka, A. pinnata could grow rapidly in monoculture, achieving doubling times of 4.8-3.8 d. The results on the estimation of the growth of and N₂ fixation by the four strains of A. pinnata tested are shown in Table 1. The biomasses attained in 15 d by the 4 strains did not differ significantly from one another and ARA ranged from 1.82 to 2.59 µM/g fresh wt per h. Thus, A. pinnata was capable of rapid growth and high nitrogenase activity, even at 90 klx and temperatures between 34 and 37ºC.

Successful growth of Azolla was also observed at all other sites tested, showing the wide adaptability of this plant to the humid tropical conditions in Sri Lanka. However, pest attacks were observed at all sites, especially during the hot, dry season.

N₂ fixation measured by the ¹⁵N-dilution technique (Table 2) shows that N derived from fixation ranges from 50 to 66% and that there is no difference in N₂ fixation by Azolla under monoculture or dual culture. The quantities of N fixed under these conditions ranged from 8.5 to 11.1 kg/ha (Table 3).

Results presented in Table 4 show that from the 53 kg N/ha applied as fresh Azolla, nearly 30% went into the panicles and 13% into the straw, giving a total nitrogen recovery of 43%. In the case of the 80 kg N/ha given as urea, only 28% was recovered in the panicles and 9% in the straw, a total of 37%. The efficiency of N utilization from Azolla is higher than from urea (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference plant</th>
<th>Monoculture</th>
<th>Dual culture with rice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. microphylla</td>
<td>A. pinnata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salvinia sp.</em></td>
<td>54 ± 11</td>
<td>50 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lemna major</em></td>
<td>56 ± 3</td>
<td>53 ± 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means of four replications.
Table 3. Quantity of nitrogen fixed by 2 species of *Azolla* grown in a ricefield for 42 d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference plant</th>
<th>Test plant</th>
<th>Monoculture</th>
<th>Dual culture with rice</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dry wt (kg/ha)</td>
<td>N yield (kg/ha)</td>
<td>Ndff&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (kg/ha)</td>
<td>Dry wt (kg/ha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salvinia sp.</strong></td>
<td>A. <em>pinnata</em></td>
<td>579 ± 102</td>
<td>18.1 ± 7</td>
<td>8.5 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. <em>microphylla</em></td>
<td>649 ± 89</td>
<td>17.5 ± 2</td>
<td>9.3 ± .8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lemna major</strong></td>
<td>A. <em>pinnata</em></td>
<td>579 ± 102</td>
<td>18.1 ± 7</td>
<td>9.1 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. <em>microphylla</em></td>
<td>649 ± 89</td>
<td>17.5 ± 2</td>
<td>9.4 ± .8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Ndff = nitrogen in Azolla biomass derived from fixation.

Table 4. Recovery of nitrogen from *Azolla* and urea by field-grown rice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fertilizer source</th>
<th>Plant part</th>
<th>Dry matter yield (kg/ha)</th>
<th>N yield (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Ndff&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
<th>N recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Azolla</strong> (53.28 kg/ha)</td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>1293 ± 158.63</td>
<td>9.57 ± 0.92</td>
<td>73.63 ± 8.26</td>
<td>13.21 ± 1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panicle</td>
<td>2060 ± 390</td>
<td>24.31 ± 5.02</td>
<td>66.50 ± 7.55</td>
<td>29.87 ± 3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3352.75 ± 532.35</td>
<td>33.88 ± 5.58</td>
<td>43.09 ± 3.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urea</strong> (79.63 kg/ha)</td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>1358 ± 250</td>
<td>13.14 ± 2.76</td>
<td>54.75 ± 5.74</td>
<td>8.98 ± 1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panicle</td>
<td>2639 ± 274</td>
<td>40.48 ± 4.67</td>
<td>55.25 ± 5.25</td>
<td>27.90 ± 1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3397 ± 408.8</td>
<td>53.62 ± 5.75</td>
<td>36.83 ± 1.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> % N in rice derived from fertilizer (either *Azolla* or urea). 5.5 kg N/ha urea together with 54.6 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha and 18.5 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha were added as basal dressing to all treatments. <sup>15</sup>N-labeled, fresh *Azolla* was incorporated at 21.3 t/ha and 18.5 t/ha and <sup>15</sup>N-labeled urea was incorporated at 92.6 kg/ha and 80.5 kg/ha, 2 and 6 wk after transplanting rice.

Table 5. Grain yield and straw yield of rice grown in three planting patterns in the wet zone of Peradeniya.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planting pattern</th>
<th>Grain yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Increase (%)</th>
<th>Straw yield (t/ha)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplanted, 20 × cm spacing</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Mean of 4 replications.

The effect of *Azolla* on rice yield under different planting patterns is given in Table 5. Yield increased 14% for broadcast seeded rice, 22% for transplanted, and 47% for avenue planted. The yield values per hectare, however, are low, which reflects the low fertility of these atypical fields at Peradeniya.

Weed growth suppression by *Azolla* ranges from 34 to 53% (Table 6).

The natural fertility of the fields at Ambalantota was high because they produced 3.74–3.98 t/ha without fertilizer. P and K fertilizers increased yields only slightly, but there is a linear relation to N fertilizer response up to 100 kg
Table 6. Effect of Azolla on weed growth, Sri Lanka.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planting pattern</th>
<th>Peradeniya</th>
<th></th>
<th>Ambalantota</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weed growth (g/plot)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Weed suppression (%)</td>
<td>Weed growth (g/plot)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Weed suppression (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without Azolla</td>
<td>With Azolla</td>
<td>Without Azolla</td>
<td>With Azolla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadcast seeded</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplanted at random</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplanted in avenues</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplanted, 20 × 20 cm spacing</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>n.d. = not determined. <sup>b</sup>Dry weight of weeds at 30 d after transplanting rice.

2. Estimated linear relationship between grain yield and chemical fertilizer (urea) N levels for three consecutive seasons. Broken lines indicate the performance of the Azolla incorporated treatments in terms of kg N/ha added as urea.

N/ha. The performance of Azolla compared to urea is very encouraging (Fig. 2) and the effects of Azolla can be interpreted as equivalent to yields that would have been obtained from 55-84 kg N/ha as urea.
CONCLUSION

Azolla growth and N₂ fixation in field plots in the predominantly rice growing dry zones of southern Sri Lanka compare favorably to those reported from other Asian countries.

Investigations on the effect of Azolla on rice grain yield have consistently given positive results. This demonstrates that where successful Azolla growth can be achieved, it will have a beneficial effect on rice. Even the preliminary trials in farmers’ fields have given encouraging results. Yields obtained by Azolla incorporations before and after transplanting did not differ significantly from those obtained with recommended fertilizer applications.

Results on weed suppression by Azolla demonstrate that the positive effect of Azolla on rice is not limited to N₂ fixation. There are other factors such as buildup of organic matter resulting in improved soil texture, cation exchange capacity, moisture retention, etc., by which Azolla use could benefit the crop. Our investigations on such factors, however, are not conclusive.

Similarly, certain constraints that may limit the widespread use of Azolla in Sri Lanka became apparent during these investigations. Wherever Azolla growth was attempted, pest attacks were encountered. Although common pesticides could control these pests, their use might not be economical and could lead to environmental pollution. Another common observation was the limited P availability in the floodwater. Further investigations are needed to develop management practices to preclude the need for frequent P applications, which would be a disincentive to farmers. Another factor of paramount importance is the availability of controllable water. Even with tank irrigation in Sri Lanka, water supply is not always controllable. Farmers find it difficult to have standing water when they need it to grow Azolla, to drain it when they have to incorporate the Azolla, and reimpound it for subsequent regrowth.

In Sri Lanka limited research has been done on Azolla and Azolla is not given high priority in the national rice research programs. Nevertheless, the widespread natural occurrence and the positive results obtained from research done so far indicate that Azolla has a good potential as a biofertilizer for rice in Sri Lanka.
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DISCUSSION

WATANABE: I suspect that *Azolla* inhibits the growth of direct-seeded rice. How do you manage under this condition?

KULASOORIYA: It depends on the time of *Azolla* inoculation. If *Azolla* is added when rice seedlings are too small, rice growth can be inhibited, but if the seedlings are properly established rice growth is not inhibited.

KANNAIYAN: Would you say that the yield increase in double row planting is due to spacing or to *Azolla*?

KULASOORIYA: We had control plots under the same planting pattern (Table 5). The yield increase percentages shown are those over the control. Therefore the yield increases recorded are due to *Azolla*.

CRASWELL: Are you aware of a research program being developed in Sri Lanka to introduce an insect for biological control of *Salvinia*? If this insect also attacks *Azolla*, the problems of *Azolla* cultivation in your country could become even more serious than indicated in your paper.

KULASOORIYA: I am aware of this project. Right now these experiments are conducted under controlled conditions inside laboratories. Once these insects are released, and if they start attacking *Azolla* as well, it would be a problem for large-scale *Azolla* cultivation.

LADHA: Do you know if the N uptake patterns of *Lemma*, *Salvinia*, and *Azolla* are the same?

KULASOORIYA: We have not measured this.
Utilization of \textit{Azolla} in agricultural production in Guangdong Province, China

ZHANG ZHUANG-TA, KE YU-SI, LING DE-QUAN, DUAN BING-YUAN, AND LIU XI-LIAN*
Soil and Fertilizer Institute
Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

China has a long history of culturing and using \textit{Azolla} (1, 5). Traditionally, the vegetative mass of \textit{Azolla} has been applied to conserve the seedlings for propagation. Main problems in this method are that under high or low temperature, the growth of vegetative mass is limited, insect and disease damage is severe, and preservation of seedlings over winter or summer is difficult. Systematic studies on the effective utilization and ways to consistently grow \textit{Azolla} to control main insect pests and to sexually reproduce \textit{Azolla} began in the 1960s. This paper reports the results of such studies.

\textbf{EFFECTIVE UTILIZATION OF AZOLLA}

Incorporating \textit{Azolla} into winter-fallowed paddy fields as the basic manure for early rice culture is a main way of utilizing \textit{Azolla} as a fertilizer. \textit{Azolla} used as basic manure in rice culture can greatly increase yield. In Kwangtong Province, applying 22.5-37.5 t \textit{Azolla} /ha increased yield 585-795 kg/ha. The average rate of yield increase is 9.6-13%.

Used as basic manure on rice seedling beds, \textit{Azolla} can improve the quality of seedlings (Table 1). After transplanting, the rice seedlings grow and tiller quickly, and the rate of seedling establishment is higher.

\textit{Azolla} contains N, P, and K. N is the main rice yield increasing factor (2). N content of fresh \textit{Azolla} is generally about 0.25% (varying with species, climate, and propagating technique). Twenty-five to thirty tons \textit{Azolla} fresh wt/ha can supply 62.5-75.0 kg N/ha to rice plants. After incorporation, decomposed \textit{Azolla} contributes nutrients to the growth and development of rice plants, promoting tillering and enhancing the growth rate of panicles. The general increase in number of grain per panicle is about 5 grains; 1,000-grain weight increases by 1 or 2 g.

\textit{Azolla} cultivation can improve soils and enhance their fertility. The lignin content of \textit{Azolla} is relatively high (6). Up to 39% of its dry matter can be converted into soil organic matter. Its conversion rate is higher than that of

*Deceased
milk vetch *Astragalus sinicus* L. and rice straws. After many years’ cultivation of *Azolla*, bearing strength, density, and water infiltration decrease. Porosity increases, the topsoil is built up, and moisture-holding capacity improves (7).

*Azolla* fed to pigs increases pig weight significantly. Growing pigs fed with *Azolla* gained 26.2 g/d more than those fed only with concentrated feed, a 5.2% weight increase. Starter pigs fed with *Azolla* gained 28.4 g/d more than those that did not receive *Azolla*, a 9.4% weight increase. On the average 97.4 kg fresh wt *Azolla* produces 1 kg live weight in pigs.

*Azolla* can be used as a green forage for geese. The daily weight gain of geese fed with *Azolla* is close to that of geese fed with vegetable.

The weight of grass carp fed with *Azolla* is 22.8% more than that of fish fed only concentrated feed. Every 31.5 kg *Azolla* fresh wt increases the weight of grass carp 1 kg, equal to the forage coefficient of common fish fed with green forage.

There is no negative effect on the quality of fish. Chemical analysis showed that the content of many amino acids such as lysine, cystine, methionine, and glutamic acid in fish fed with *Azolla* is about the same as that of fish fed with concentrated feed or green forage.

The factors increasing the weight gain of pigs, geese, and fish fed with *Azolla* are mainly attributed to the many kinds of nutrients contained in *Azolla*. *Azolla* contains a large proportion of crude protein, crude fat, Ca, and P. Crude protein content reaches 25%, more than that of the green forage crops, such as sweet potato shoot, water hyacinth, and water lettuce (Table 2).

### Table 1. Effect of *Azolla* as basic manure on quality of rice seedlings on seedline beds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>Azolla</em> applied (t/ha)</th>
<th>Seedling ht (cm)</th>
<th>Leaves (no.)</th>
<th>Leaf width (mm)</th>
<th>Roots (no.)</th>
<th>Pseudostem width (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Agriculture Bureau of Xin Hui County, Kwangtong Province, 1981.

### Table 2. Nutrient content of *Azolla* and of some green forage crops.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Crude Protein</th>
<th>Crude fat</th>
<th>Fiber</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water hyacinth</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water lettuce</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet potato shoot</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk vetch</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clover</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Soil and Fertilizer Research Institute of Kwangtong Agricultural Science Academy, 1983.
Table 3. Amino acid contents of Azolla and some green forage crops.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Azolla</th>
<th>Water hyacinth</th>
<th>Water lettuce</th>
<th>Sweet potato shoot</th>
<th>Milk vetch</th>
<th>Clover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>6.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartic acid</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>10.77</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>10.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serine</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic acid</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>10.93</td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>11.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amino acid content is also high (Table 3). Lysine content is 0.42% more than that of concentrated feed composed of rough rice, maize, and bran.

RECURRENCE AND CONTROL OF MAIN AZOLLA INSECT PESTS

Control of insect pests is the key to propagating Azolla successfully. There are three main injurious insects of Azolla in Kwangtong Province: Azolla midges (Diptera, Chironomidae), Azolla snout moth, and Azolla grey snout moth. All three can damage Azolla severely, but the most dangerous is the Azolla midge (3).

Azolla midges include four species: two-banded midge Polypedilum iuinoense Hauber, brown midge Tendipes attenuatae Wather, green midge T. riparius Meigen, and yellow midge Cricotopus sp. The two-banded midge is the most populous species causing the most serious damage to Azolla (4).

The time of peak outbreak varies with each of the four midges. The brown midge occurs from April to May and from July to August; the green midge in May and early to mid-August; the yellow midge in April; and the two-banded midge from March to May and again in October. The two-banded midge breeds more than 15 generations a year. In summer and autumn, it needs 14-20 d for a generation, while in winter and spring, 25-30 d are needed.

The injurious habits of larvae vary greatly in the four midges. The larvae of brown, green, and yellow midges like to crowd under water and usually damage underwater parts of Azolla. Most larvae of the two-banded midge adhere to the Azolla body and damage the entire plant. After incubation beneath Azolla, the larvae climb to the young buds and chew the young leaves. After emergence, the adults mate in the present light.
Table 4. Six conventional insecticides and a bioinsecticide effective as a spray against the two Pyralid sp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Diluted times (w/v)</th>
<th>Mortality (%)</th>
<th>Target pest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fenthion (MPP, Baycid)</td>
<td>50%EC</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>Snout moth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartap (Padan)</td>
<td>50%SP</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>Grey snout moth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus thuringiensis var. galleriae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grey snout moth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenitrothion (Sumithion)</td>
<td>50%EC</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>Grey snout moth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion (Malathon)</td>
<td>50WEC</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>Grey snout moth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorphon (dipterex)</td>
<td>90%SP</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>Grey snout moth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosmet (PMP)</td>
<td>25%WP</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>Snout moth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Soil and Fertilizer Research Institute of Kwantong Agricultural Science Academy, 1976.

Besides insecticide application, drainage, alternate drainage and irrigation, wet-field or thin-layer water cultivation, and reduced application of organic manure, especially N-rich manure, effectively suppress the emergence and development of midges.

Spraying fenthion 50% EC of fenitrothion 50% SP dilute water solutions (1:800) showed some effect on the midge larvae. Carbofuran achieved more than 90% mortality of midge larvae when applied at rates of 37.5-52.5 kg 3% G or 0.112-1.575 kg ai/ha.

The Azolla snout moth produces 12 generations a year in Kwangtong Province; its populations are high from May to August. The Azolla grey snout moth produces 14 generations a year; its population is high from June to September. The larval stages of the Azolla snout moth and the grey snout moth are long, and the larvae damage Azolla severely.

The living habits and damage caused by Azolla snout moth and the grey snout moth are principally the same. The larvae frequently damage Azolla at dusk, before dawn, on cloudy days, or postrainy days. Emergence, mating, and egg laying of adults are usually in the twilight, at midnight, or before dawn. Therefore, insecticides should be applied elastically in the young stages of larvae or in the prepupal stage. The best result is obtained if various insecticides are applied alternately at dusk.

Table 4 shows six conventional insecticides and one bioinsecticide effective against the Azolla grey snout moth.
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WARDA has Azolla research projects in the semiarid Sahelian zone and in the humid tropic zone, primarily in its research stations in Senegal and Sierra Leone. Only one strain has been selected and multiplied for rice cultivation trials at each station. In northern Senegal, where farmers apply N at high rates, 120 kg N/ha, up to 50% of the mineral N can be supplied by Azolla N. In the mangrove swamps of Sierra Leone, Azolla N can completely replace mineral N at the recommended rate of 40 kg N/ha. Azolla has also been successful in weed control in irrigated rice. Typical results obtained from the two stations are presented. In both Senegal and Sierra Leone, there are difficult problems related to introducing the use of Azolla in farmers’ fields. These problems were first evaluated in the Senegal River delta and valley where the multiple use of Azolla is being tested. Suggestions on the alternative uses of Azolla under these conditions are discussed.

The West Africa Rice Development Association (WARDA) is a regional organization of 16 member countries. Its main objective is to increase, quantitatively and qualitatively, rice production in all member countries to attain self-sufficiency as soon as possible. However, the often unfavorable climate during the past few years has caused production fluctuations and crop failures. In 1980 WARDA began a research program on Azolla and its possible application to rice cropping. The trials were conducted simultaneously in Richard Toll Fanaye Station (Senegal) under a semiarid climate and in Rokupr (Sierra Leone) under a wet humid climate. Only in the humid zone was Azolla (A. pinnata var. pinnata) found in nature, but local farmers did not
realize its potential in their fields. Some typical results obtained at the two stations are presented before discussing the major problems of introducing *Azolla* at the farm level under West African conditions.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Adaptive trials and selection of strains**

Eighty *Azolla* strains, representing all the species, have been introduced at the Richard Toll research station. In the beginning, only qualitative observations were made through simple visual inspection. Those resulted in the selection of one strain of *Azolla pinnata* var. *imbricata*, of Indian origin, in Richard Toll/Fanaye, and of another strain of *Azolla pinnata* var. *pinnata* of local origin in Rokupr. These strains were retained for all trials in ricefields until recently (5).

**AMOUNT OF INOCULUM AND GROWTH OF AZOLLA IN RICEFIELDS**

In preliminary trials, *Azolla*, initially inoculated at 0.2 kg/m², covered the ricefield completely in 15-20 d. Presently, to get a quicker cover, the amount of inoculum has been increased to 0.5 kg/m². Based on International Rice Research Institute recommendation (1), P is applied in split applications of 5 kg P₂O₅/ha, every 5 d.

**EFFECT OF AZOLLA ON RICE YIELDS**

In Fanaye, 1 trial was conducted in the hot dry season using the rice variety Srimalaysia, transplanted at 20 × 20 cm spacing. The treatments, with 3 replication, were 1) control (0 N), 2) incorporation of 1 or 2 *Azolla* crops before transplanting, 3) incorporation of 2 *Azolla* crops before and 2 *Azolla* crops after transplanting, and 4) application of 30, 60, and 120 kg N/ha as urea alone or in combination with *Azolla* incorporated before transplanting. In Rokupr, a trial was conducted during the rainy season using variety Rok 5 in an associated mangrove swamp. The effect of 40 t *Azolla* fresh wt/ha, incorporated entirely 2 wk before transplanting, was compared to the recommended dose of 40 kg N/ha as urea and the control. Incorporation was by foot in Fanaye and with the traditional hoe in Rokupr.

**EFFECT OF AZOLLA ON WEED DEVELOPMENT**

One trial was conducted in the humid season in Fanaye, to assess the weed-suppressing capacity of an *Azolla* cover associated with rice compared to more traditional weeding methods. The rice variety Srimalaysia was transplanted at 25 × 25 cm spacing. All treatments received NPK application at 120, 60, and 60 kg/ha. *Azolla* was inoculated at 0.5 kg/m² 5 d after transplanting. Treatments included 1 manual weeding 3 wk after transplanting, 2 manual
weedings 3 and 6 wk after transplanting, and 1 application of bentazon at 8 liters/ha 15 d after transplanting. Each treatment, including the control plot, was replicated once with *Azolla* covering the floodwater. Weed dry wt/m² was measured 25 and 45 d after transplanting.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

With an *Azolla* inoculum at 0.5 kg/m², an *Azolla* monocrop will cover the floodwater in 7-15 d, depending on the season and the site. The strain used in Richard Toll/Fanaye produced more when grown as a monocrop than when grown with rice, notwithstanding the high light intensities usual in the region. When dual cropped with rice, *Azolla* decreases proportionately to the rice canopy development. More information on the depressive effect of high light intensities on *Azolla* growth is needed. Table 1 shows the results of a fertilization trial in Fanaye. The *Azolla*-urea combinations yielded systematically better than *Azolla* alone. One incorporated *Azolla* monocrop is equivalent to 30 kg N/ha as mineral fertilizer. Two *Azolla* crops incorporated after transplanting are insufficient to make up for the lack of mineral N at the critical stages of the growth cycle of the rice plant, but probably have a positive residual effect on the next crop. The results obtained in Rokupr (Table 2) show that 2 crops of *Azolla* yield as much as the recommended dose of 40 kg N/ha split incorporation; 1 before and 1 after transplanting is perhaps more favorable. The positive effect of an *Azolla* crop on weed suppression has been investigated (2, 4). Results of the Fanaye trials (Table 3) confirm those observations. Except for chemical weed control, the *Azolla* crop always increases yield compared to treatments without *Azolla*. The extra yield of 1.7 t/ha is itself a significant demonstration of weed control without manual weeding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Grain yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Increase over control t/ha</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60 kg N (urea)/ha + 2 <em>Azolla</em> crops BT</td>
<td>8.0 a</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 kg N (urea)/ha</td>
<td>7.2 a</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two <em>Azolla</em> crops BT + 2 <em>Azolla</em> crops AT</td>
<td>5.9 b</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 kg N (urea)/ha</td>
<td>5.6 bc</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 kg N (urea)/ha + 1 <em>Azolla</em> crop BT</td>
<td>4.8 cd</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two <em>Azolla</em> crops BT</td>
<td>4.5 cd</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 kg N (urea)/ha</td>
<td>4.4 d</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One <em>Azolla</em> crop BT</td>
<td>3.9 d</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Azolla</em> as topdressing</td>
<td>3.9 d</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (without N)</td>
<td>2.7 e</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BT = incorporated before rice transplanting, AT = incorporated after rice transplanting.*
Table 2. Effects of incorporated *Azolla* and mineral nitrogen on the yield of rice variety ROK 5 in an associated mangrove swamp in Rokupr, Sierra Leone, 1983.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Panicle per plant</th>
<th>Mean grain yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>Increase over control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (without N)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 kg N (urea)/ha</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 t <em>Azolla</em> fresh wt/ha BT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 t <em>Azolla</em> fresh wt/ha BT + 20 t AT</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BT = incorporated before rice transplanting, AT = incorporated after rice transplanting.*

Table 3. Effects of weeding method and *Azolla* cropping on the weed development and yield of the rice variety Srimalaysia. 1983 humid season, Fanaye, Senegal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Weed dry wt (g/m²)</th>
<th>Grain yield* (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 DT</td>
<td>45 DT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Control (without weeding)</td>
<td>129.3</td>
<td>213.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Manual weeding</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 wk after transplanting</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Manual weeding</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 and 6 wk after transplanting</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Basagran P1 at 8 liters/ha 15 d after transplanting(DT)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Treatment 1 + <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Treatment 2 + <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Treatment 3 + <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Treatment 4 + <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT.*

*AZOLLA ADOPTION BY WEST AFRICAN FARMERS*

*Azolla* introduction trials in farmers’ fields have been mainly initiated in the delta area and on the left bank in the middle valley of the Senegal River.

**Socioeconomic context**

Most rice cropping in the Senegal River delta takes place in large irrigation systems where most of the mechanization and chemical inputs are supplied by a national development company, which subsidizes these services. With some exceptions, most of the rice in the middle valley is grown in smaller village irrigation systems developed by the farmers themselves with a minimum of technical and material assistance. Village farmers are much more involved in the cropping system than farmers in the larger systems, who are reluctant to change their cultural methods when the change requires labor.
Main constraints to Azolla adoption by Sahelian farmers

All water for rice and Azolla cropping has to be pumped from the river. One hectare consumes roughly 100 m$^3$/d at a price of US$0.01/m$^3$. Assuming that 1 ha of Azolla grown during 15 d replaces about 30 kg N/ha, this gives a price of about $0.50/kg N. That cost does not reflect additional labor involved in producing Azolla, which could easily double that cost. Even after the recent price increases of chemical fertilizers, the nonsubsidized prices for N on the local market is only about $0.92/kg. Even if prices were competitive, water is available only 1 or 2 wk before optimal rice sowing days. It seems unrealistic now to adopt the traditional Vietnamese or Chinese technique of growing one Azolla crop before the rice crop in the delta and the middle valley of the Senegal River (3, 4). Two dams under construction on the Senegal River could, however, change the situation when fresh water would be available in quantities required at the proper time.

Trial strategies for introducing Azolla

Azolla production and use have been worked out to minimize dependence on expensive pumped water.

Dual cropping Azolla with rice. Dual cropping Azolla with rice can be implemented easily where rice is transplanted and water is available to continuously flood the fields. That condition is, however, an exception in the region. In most large systems in which water is generally assured, farmers direct-seed pregerminated seeds and spray herbicides 3 wk after sowing (WS) on the drained field. Azolla could be inoculated only after reflooding the field. The beneficial effects of intercropped Azolla in this system could only be residual or serve as weed control for the current crop. Information about residual effects of Azolla is not yet well documented. For weed suppression, a quick cover is needed and a massive inoculation is required. In the village irrigation systems, transplanting is widely practiced, but soils are more permeable and water is more limited. Most of the areas are without standing water for several days, especially during the first weeks of cropping. This intermittent flooding is incompatible with Azolla cropping. This situation requires taking advantage of other techniques such as composting or growing Azolla in natural reservoirs or areas flooded by waste or drain water.

Azolla cropping out of the ricefields. Near most of the rice areas, there are natural depressions flooded by rainwater during the short humid season or by the drain water of the larger systems. All of these bodies of water could be inoculated with Azolla, which could be composted, and used fresh or dried or as fodder. Some constraints have been experienced in early trials. The wind accumulates the Azolla cover in corners. Some aquatic weeds such as Eichhornia sp. were successfully used as fences to counteract effects of wind action. In large systems Azolla grows successfully in drains, which are well protected by dikes. Algae, Eichhornia sp., Nymphaea sp., and Marsilea quadrifolia are the most common weeds competing with Azolla. When composting is done, the weeds will be harvested first. Growing Azolla out of
the ricefields means that the green manure must be harvested regularly to maintain optimum growing conditions (6). We have tried to locate simple harvesting tools that are readily available at the village level. On large water bodies, 15-mm-mesh wire nets (4 m long, 1 m wide) can be manipulated efficiently by 2 persons. *Azolla* introduction has been tried recently in other West African countries where the socioeconomic context, soils, climate, and technology level are fundamentally different.
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DISCUSSION

KANNAIYAN: The *Azolla* strain that you use seems to be more adaptable at high temperature. Do you get any disease problems in the *Azolla pinnata* strain that you use?

DIARA: We have not experienced any disease problems, probably because of the dry climate in the North of Senegal. I noticed some fungus attack in Sierra Leone, but not much. I do not know if it will spread.
Use and importance of 
Azolla-Anabaena
in industrial countries

H.W. SCHARPENSEEL AND K. KNUTH
Department of Soil Science
University of Hamburg
Allendeplatz 2, D-2000, Hamburg 13
Federal Republic of Germany

Azolla work in major industrial countries is briefly described. The discussion is limited to descriptions of major project areas and working groups. Work in the authors’ own institute is described in somewhat more detail, but that does not constitute an expression of the relative importance of their work compared to that in other industrial countries. General investigations described include chemical constitution in relation to time of harvest, type of growth medium, doubling time under different regimes, and effect of different growth media on harvest time. Specific studies on mass production of Azolla in various concentrations of sewage solution, pot and field experiments with Azolla compost, and the suitability of Azolla and Azolla hay for animal feed are also discussed.

According to the map prepared by Lumpkin and Plucknett (18; also in [13]), Azolla ecotypes found in present-day industrial countries belong preferentially to the species A. filiculoides, A. caroliniana, and in the western U.S., A. mexicana. In the more tropical and subtropical industrial countries such as Japan, Korea, Australia, and India, A. pinnata is the dominant species. Lumpkin and Plucknett (18) have compiled a complete record of the worldwide distribution of Azolla species based on literature primarily from botanists and ecologists.

Although Azolla was described (9,22) nearly 150 yr ago, it is only in the past 20 yr that the morphology, genetics, and biochemistry of the diazotrophic Azolla-Anabaena system have been meticulously studied. The numerous contributions of G.A. Peters and his coworkers (e.g., 24) of the Kettering Research Laboratory, Yellow Springs, Ohio, are representative of such studies. Beginning in the mid-1970s, I. Watanabe’s research at the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) in Los Baños, Philippines, turned attention to the practical use of Azolla. Lumpkin and Plucknett (18,9)
provided a complete overview of the state of the art as well as base literature so badly needed in the early 1980s. In 1983, Khan (13) published a practical illustrated primer on Azolla production and utilization in agriculture. Roger and Reynaud (31) characterized A. africana, which was later determined part of the species A. pinnata (17). All of these efforts were directed toward assessing the potential of Azolla as a N source in rice culture. There have been many approaches and pioneering trials on the utilization of Azolla for various purposes. They have been described in detail by Lumpkin and Plucknett (18,19). The following applications of Azolla have been suggested or explored.

- producing compost with a rich, more constantly releasable supply of plant available N;
- timing Azolla mats to control weeds (The Azolla blanket must be sufficiently developed to suppress weed growth, but limited enough to avoid damaging rice seedlings.);
- extracting P from eutrophicated water, with Azolla green manure or compost as a by-product;
- utilizing nutrients of sewage solutions to grow Azolla with green manure or compost as a by-product;
- absorbing heavy metals from polluted media;
- testing the degree of contamination of wet soil or water by environmental chemicals;
- narrowing the C:N ratio in park lakes to achieve better decomposition of leaf and needle droppings from park trees;
- feeding livestock, poultry, and fish;
- inhibiting mosquito proliferation; and
- including Azolla in human diets.

USA

Most Azolla studies, including those related to submerged soils and rice culture and to other applications, have probably been reported from U.S. institutions, especially the University of California, Davis. An overview of Azolla is given by Rains and Talley (30), other uses of Azolla by Lumpkin and Plucknett (18, 19), Azolla for human nutrition by Buckingham et al (7), Azolla in Tilapia fish culture by Lasher (16), Azolla for silage and taro production by some working groups at the University of Hawaii, as cited by Lumpkin and Plucknett (19), Azolla for weed control by Talley et al (37), and Azolla for mosquito control by Smith (34). Environmental assessment has been done by Keeney (12) and Shaumuyan (33). Azolla in genetic engineering is dealt with by Lamborg (14) and the physiological/biochemical aspects of Azolla utilization are reviewed by Peters et al, cited by Lumpkin and Plucknett (19). At the 1984 American Society of Agronomy Conference, Reddy reported N$_2$ fixation rates obtained by Azolla cultured in waste waters of Florida. The rational use of: Azolla-Anabaena for N nutrition of rice plants in submerged soils has been thoroughly reviewed and investigated (8, 10, 23, 29, 30, 35, 36, 37).
United Kingdom
In the United Kingdom, Azolla studies have been approached from a rather fundamental viewpoint such as Postgate’s work on Azolla association (26) and Azolla physiology (27); La Rue’s deliberations on chemical and biological nitrogen fixation (15); Steward’s research in Dundee University, Witty’s isotope dilution techniques on the contribution of fixed N to the rice nutritional system; and Lee’s enzyme work, for example, on glutamine synthetase in Azolla (all cited by K.E. Giller, Rothamsted, Dept. of Soil Microbiology, 1984, pers. comm.) Marten’s (20) Azolla work is geared to energy conservation.

Japan
Azolla culture is rarely practiced in Japan because it is uneconomical. This includes Azolla as a N source for rice production, as an animal feed, or as an antipollutant — Eichhornia is preferred and considered more effective (M. Yatazawa, Faculty of Agriculture, Chikusa, Nagoya, Japan, 1984, pers. comm.). Comparative studies on plant production in space stations show that the Azolla pinnata-Anabaena system is more efficient than any of the other tested diazotrophs such as free-living blue-green algae or soybean or groundnut Rhizobium (38).

France
Azolla has long been known in France because it is ubiquitous in Vietnamese rivers, lakes, ponds, and ricefields. Ecological studies regarding Azolla africana, which according to Lebrun (17) must be considered a variety of Azolla pinnata, have been carried out by Roger and Reynaud (31). At present Azolla apparently is not being directly used or studied in France (R. Fauck, 1984, pers. comm.).

The Netherlands
In The Netherlands basic physiologic and soil/plant biochemical studies, especially of A. pinnata, have been conducted since the early 1970s (6). A general study of Azolla occurrence in The Netherlands was done by Pieterse et al (25). The N fertilizer effect is not considered of special importance and Azolla is considered a weed in the polder ditches, blocking the flow of water and depriving it of O₂. Ackermans (1), describing N₂ fixation in non-leguminous systems, concentrated his work on the acetylene reduction activity of Azolla in polder ditches.

Austria
As a consequence of recommendations by a consultants’ meeting in 1982, the Agricultural Biotechnical Laboratory of the FAO/International Atomic Energy Agency in Vienna-Seibersdorf has done ¹⁵N-labeled studies with A. caroliniana and A. pinnata to determine the availability of Azolla N to rice and to compare N₂ fixation by Azolla to nondiazotrophic aquatic plants such as Salvinia and Lemna. Field experiments in Hungary showed 80-90% total N
was supplied by fixation as well as a superior N use efficiency of *Azolla* N compared with urea N.

**South Africa**

N\(_2\) fixed by summer blooms of *Anabaena circinalis* in a low N impoundment of Rietvlei dam was measured by Ashton (2). N\(_2\) fixed by 3 summer blooms amounted to 1.2–24.5 t and the annual contribution of fixed N\(_2\), compared with total annual N\(_2\) inflow, varied between 1.4 and 46.5\%. Ammonification and nitrification seem to cause production of large amounts of inorganic N from organic material. The extent of Ndff increases with low N\(_2\) concentration and high water temperature.

**Germany**

A variety of *A. filiculoides* from the German Democratic Republic has promising cold tolerance and is being studied in the IRRI *Azolla* program.

At the Soils Institute of Hamburg University, another *A. filiculoides* and an *A. caroliniana* variety have been investigated in 10 \(\times\) 45 mm basins of an abandoned sewage plant and in the field. Because the *A. filiculoides* ecotype proved superior in mass production, constraint resistance, and overwintering, it has been chosen for many experiments.

**Effect of harvest time on chemical concentration.** *Azolla* mats harvested monthly between July and November from the same pond decreased slightly in mass. Although the cations (Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), K\(^+\)) of aqueous extracts showed a slight increase in meq/kg, Na decreased from July to November (Table 1). Anions, such as PO\(_4^{3-}\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), Cl\(^-\), C\(_2\)O\(_4\)H\(_2\), or unidentified fatty acids decreased, but NO\(_3^-\) did not show a distinct trend (Table 2). Carbon content

### Table 1. Cation concentrations in aqueous extracts of *Azolla* samples harvested at different periods in 1981.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harvest period</th>
<th>Ca (g/kg)</th>
<th>Mg (g/kg)</th>
<th>K (g/kg)</th>
<th>Na (g/kg)</th>
<th>Total Cation (meq/kg)</th>
<th>Ca (%)</th>
<th>Mg (%)</th>
<th>K (%)</th>
<th>Na (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1344.41</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>56.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>1405.97</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>55.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>1248.90</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1249.50</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>942.06</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Anion concentration in aqueous extracts of *Azolla* samples harvested at different periods in 1981 (FA peak of undifferentiated fatty acids, cumulatively presented by anion chromatograph).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harvest period</th>
<th>NO(_3^-) (mmol/kg)</th>
<th>PO(_4^{3-}) (mmol/kg)</th>
<th>SO(_4^{2-}) (mmol/kg)</th>
<th>Cl(^-) (mmol/kg)</th>
<th>C(_2)O(_4)H(_2) (mm)</th>
<th>FA (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>581.37</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>570.94</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>465.92</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>369.60</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
increased from July to November, while N and P contents slightly decreased (Table 3). Correspondingly, C:N and C:P ratios increased toward November, while N:P ratios were more erratic. The same holds true for the water-soluble percentage of total N and P. Proline content, regarded as a possible stress indicator, increased sharply from July to October. Total concentrations of metallic elements, including the heavy metals, showed no steady trend, except for Na, which decreased markedly from July to November (Table 4).

**Effect of nutrient solution on chemical concentration.** A N-free modified Hoagland solution, Watanabe N-free nutrient solution (pH 5.6, diluted 1:1 with water), rainwater + 50 ppm P, and rainwater + 10%, 20%, or 25% sewage solution were compared for their effect on chemical concentration in cultured *A. filiculoides*. Water-soluble cations showed erratic individual concentrations (Table 5). Water-soluble anions, such as NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, and PO$_4^{3-}$, were lower

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harvest month</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
<th>Soluble N (% of total N)</th>
<th>Total P (%)</th>
<th>Soluble P (% of total P)</th>
<th>Total C (%)</th>
<th>S:P</th>
<th>C:N</th>
<th>C:P</th>
<th>Proline (µg/g dry wt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>120.5</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>136.4</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>138.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harvest month</th>
<th>Na (ppm)</th>
<th>K (ppm)</th>
<th>Ca (ppm)</th>
<th>Fe (ppm)</th>
<th>Cu (ppm)</th>
<th>Mn (ppm)</th>
<th>Zn (ppm)</th>
<th>Cr (ppm)</th>
<th>Ni (ppm)</th>
<th>Cd (ppm)</th>
<th>Pb (ppm)</th>
<th>Hg (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>17188</td>
<td>14583</td>
<td>9844</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>1448</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>15825</td>
<td>18008</td>
<td>6166</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>14635</td>
<td>13551</td>
<td>9052</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>14891</td>
<td>15387</td>
<td>7098</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>11983</td>
<td>15251</td>
<td>10240</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment medium</th>
<th>Cation (g/kg)</th>
<th>Total Cation (meq/kg)</th>
<th>Cation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-free modified Hoagland solution</td>
<td>0.50 1.26 18.4 7.2</td>
<td>912.35</td>
<td>2.7 11.4 51.6 34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient-solution n. Watanabe (1:1) pH 5.6</td>
<td>0.47 1.41 22.3 7.5</td>
<td>1035.98</td>
<td>2.3 11.2 55.0 31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater + 50 ppm P Azolla plus <em>Lemna</em></td>
<td>1.26 1.82 27.7 6.5</td>
<td>1203.73</td>
<td>5.2 12.4 58.9 23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 10% sewage solution</td>
<td>0.98 1.66 7.7 11.8</td>
<td>895.65</td>
<td>5.5 15.2 22.0 57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 20% sewage solution</td>
<td>0.63 1.04 6.2 16.5</td>
<td>993.25</td>
<td>3.2 8.6 16.0 72.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 25% sewage solution</td>
<td>1.07 3.08 9.7 9.5</td>
<td>968.06</td>
<td>5.5 26.2 25.6 42.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AZOLLA UTILIZATION

in dilute sewage solution media than in ordinary nutrient solutions. High Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), K\(^{+}\), NO\(_3^-\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), and PO\(_4^{3-}\) values are associated with Azolla plus Lemna mats (Table 6). Total C, N, and P concentrations as well as C:N, C:P, and N:P ratios were systematically lower in P, C:P and N:P were higher in sewage solutions (Table 7). The percentage of water-soluble P compared with total P decreased at higher sewage levels. Proline content was extremely low in the Azolla/Lemna culture, indicating that Azolla/Lemna was least affected by P deficiency. Total concentrations of K, Ca, and Fe were characteristically low in Azolla grown in sewage solution (Table 8). For all other metallic elements, including the heavy metals, the concentration pattern is erratic. Azolla plus Lemna had the highest concentrations of K, Ca, Mn, Zn, and Ni.

**Mass production in different growth media.** Mass production of *A. filiculoides* and mass doubling period were monitored with different sewage

---

### Table 6. Anion concentration in aqueous extracts of *Azolla* samples harvested in November from different basins, with and without sewage. FA = peak of undifferentiated lower fatty acids cumulatively indicated by anion chromatograph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment medium</th>
<th>Anion (g/kg)</th>
<th>Total (mmol/kg)</th>
<th>C=O=H(_2) (mm)</th>
<th>FA (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO(_3^-)</td>
<td>PO(_4^{3-})</td>
<td>SO(_4^{2-})</td>
<td>Cl(^-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-free modified Hoagland solution</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient solution according to Watanabe (1:1) pH 5.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater + 50 ppm P Azolla plus Lemna solution</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 10% sewage solution</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 20% sewage solution</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 25% sewage solution</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 7. Total C, N, and P, water-soluble N and P concentrations, and proline content of harvested *Azolla* samples, with and without sewage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment medium</th>
<th>Total N (% of total N)</th>
<th>Soluble N (% of total N)</th>
<th>Total P (% of total P)</th>
<th>Soluble P (% of total P)</th>
<th>Total C</th>
<th>N:P</th>
<th>C:N</th>
<th>C:P</th>
<th>Proline (µg/g dry wt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-free modified Hoagland solution</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient solution acc. to Watanabe (1:1), pH 5.6</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater + 50 ppm P Azolla plus Lemna solution</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 10% sewage solution</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>252.7</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 20% sewage solution</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>222.9</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 25% sewage solution</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>255.3</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
concentrations in large (45 × 5 m) concrete basins, and with Watanabe nutrient solution in small (220 × 120 cm) plastic basins. Doubling period was 3.75-4 d in Watanabe nutrient solution and 7.5-8.25 d in sewage concentrations (Table 9). The longer doubling time in sewage concentrations was mainly due to overaging of the plants and delayed harvest. In other tests in 220 × 120 cm basins, doubling period was 3.8-4.8 d from June to August, but rose to 7 d in September with shorter daylength and lower temperature (Table 10).

**Perennial production in sewage solution.** Two concrete basins 45 × 10 m and one 20 × 5 m were filled to about 30 cm depth with rainwater, which was adjusted with filtered sewage solution to about 20-25% concentration. *Azolla* plants were inoculated 28 Jul at 300 g/basin. Plants in one basin that was cleaned after harvesting *Azolla* the previous year did not proliferate and had to

### Table 8. Total element concentrations, including heavy metals, in harvested *Azolla* samples, with and without sewage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment medium</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Hg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-free modified Hoagland solution</td>
<td>7416</td>
<td>16952</td>
<td>4556</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient solution according to Watanabe (1:1), pH 5.6</td>
<td>8820</td>
<td>24991</td>
<td>2548</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater + 50 ppm P, <em>Azolla</em> plus <em>Lemna</em></td>
<td>6452</td>
<td>26345</td>
<td>14248</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 10% sewage solution</td>
<td>11568</td>
<td>6610</td>
<td>5674</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 20% sewage solution</td>
<td>15884</td>
<td>5295</td>
<td>7201</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater plus 25% sewage solution</td>
<td>8240</td>
<td>8789</td>
<td>6098</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9. *Azolla* growth in concrete and plastic basins with dilute sewage solution or Watanabe nutrient solution (N-free).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth medium</th>
<th><em>Azolla</em> input (kg fresh wt) in 5 × 45-m basin</th>
<th>Harvested <em>Azolla</em> (kg fresh wt)</th>
<th>Growth period (d)</th>
<th>Doubling period (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3% sewage</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>890.80</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% sewage</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>1049.70</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5% sewage</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>1081.80</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25% sewage</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>1178.30</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watanabe nutrient solution in smaller plastic basins</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same system</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10. Doubling period of *Azolla filiculoides* under Hamburg climate, 1982.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of inoculation</th>
<th>Growth period (d)</th>
<th><em>Azolla</em> inoculated (d)</th>
<th><em>Azolla</em> harvested (g)</th>
<th>Doubling period (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 Jul</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1362</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Jul</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Jul</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1873</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Jul</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Jul</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Aug</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Sep</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Sep</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. *Azolla* production in sewage solution and as a perennial system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin size</th>
<th><em>Azolla</em> inoculated (g)</th>
<th><em>Azolla</em> harvested&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (kg)</th>
<th>C:N</th>
<th>N:P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 × 5 m</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>378.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 × 10 m</td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td>1757.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 × 10 m</td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td>929.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Harvested on 29 Sep.

be reinoculated on 18 Aug. In the two other basins, however, a new *Azolla* generation grew from overwintering spores, which shows that even under the Hamburg climate (53°30’N) natural reproduction can be achieved. Harvested amounts and chemical characteristics are given in Table 11.

**Growth response to increasing concentrations of sewage solution.** *Azolla* growth response to increasing concentrations of sewage solution was monitored over four harvests during the annual growth period in 1983. The individual growth medium was added only once and *Azolla* was inoculated in equal amounts. *Azolla* growth in the control (low N nutrient solution) began slowly, but yielded highest at the fourth harvest (Table 12). *Azolla* growth in the high sewage concentrations was very poor at the first two harvests, but improved in the last two (October and November). Yields from the low concentration sewage solutions declined, probably because of lack of nutrients. A sewage solution concentration of 20% seems optimal for yield performance.

**Azolla as a N source vs mineral fertilizer.** The N effect of mineral fertilizer was compared with that of *Azolla* compost on salad plants grown in Mitscherlich pots with soil of Dystrochrept, Bv-horizon, 0.03% N. The pots were adequately supplied with P, K, and minor elements. The amounts of added fertilizer N or *Azolla* compost were not identical. Plants in pots with mineral N developed quickly and yielded highest in the first harvest, but there was no yield advantage in the second harvest (Table 13). In the second harvest, plants in pots with high grade N compost cuttings yielded higher. At the third harvest all three treatments with *Azolla* plus mineral N yielded more than the treatment with fertilizer N alone.
Table 12. *Azolla* yields at different sewage concentrations over four harvests, showing relative yields for each harvest, total yields, and total yields for each treatment as a percentage of the control yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sewage concentration (%)</th>
<th>18 Aug 83</th>
<th>15 Sep 83</th>
<th>13 Oct 83</th>
<th>11 Nov 83</th>
<th>Total yield (g)</th>
<th>% of control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control, low-N nutrient solution</td>
<td>Yield (g)</td>
<td>% of highest yield</td>
<td>Yield (g)</td>
<td>% of highest yield</td>
<td>Yield (g)</td>
<td>% of highest yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3225</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5330</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2128</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2776</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1552</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>dead</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>dead</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2370</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13. Comparative yields of salad plants fertilized with mineral N and *Azolla* compost N in a pot experiment, showing relative yields for each harvest, total yields, and total yields for each treatment as a percentage of the control yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Harvest date</th>
<th>Yields</th>
<th>% of highest yield</th>
<th>Yields</th>
<th>% of highest yield</th>
<th>Yields</th>
<th>% of highest yield</th>
<th>Total Yields</th>
<th>% of control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4 Jul 83</td>
<td>21 g</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19 g</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>19 g</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>59 g</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 g Leunasalpeter</td>
<td>31 Oct 83</td>
<td>156 g</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>75 g</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>25 g</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>256 g</td>
<td>434%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 g <em>Azolla</em> compost, ground</td>
<td>12 Dec 83</td>
<td>53 g</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>63 g</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>30 g</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>146 g</td>
<td>247%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 g <em>Azolla</em> compost, ground</td>
<td></td>
<td>79 g</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>80 g</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>38 g</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>197 g</td>
<td>334%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 g <em>Azolla</em> compost, cuttings</td>
<td></td>
<td>92 g</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>133 g</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>49 g</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>274 g</td>
<td>464%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14. Effect of *Azolla* as N source on cabbage, as compared with Kalkammonsalpeter (NH$_4$NO$_3$ + CaCO$_3$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment (equal N amounts)</th>
<th>Av wt of marketable heads of cabbage $^a$ (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control, without N fertilizer</td>
<td>1087.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalkammonsalpeter (NH$_4$NO$_3$ + CaCO$_3$) 150 g/4-m$^2$ plot</td>
<td>1336.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Azolla</em> compost (<em>Azolla</em> growth on 1% sewage solution), 815 g dry matter/4-m$^2$ plot</td>
<td>1200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Azolla</em> compost (<em>Azolla</em> growth in Watanabe nutrient solution), 1399 g dry matter/4-m$^2$ plot</td>
<td>1275.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Azolla/Lemna</em> compost (<em>Azolla</em> + <em>Lemna</em> growth in Watanabe nutrient solution) 774 g dry matter/4-m$^2$ plot</td>
<td>1154.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Calculated from 16 randomly harvested heads of cabbage.

Field experiments were conducted on the basis of equal N fertilization in an alluvial soil (Fluvent) of the Elbe River (Hamburger Gartenbau Versuchstation Ochsenwerder) with cabbage as the experimental plant. Because the soil still had 0.2% residual N, a moderate effect of fertilization remained—about 30% in the mineral fertilizer plots, about 20% in the *Azolla* compost plots, and about 15% in the *Azolla/Lemna* compost plots (Table 14).

**Earthworm population in *Azolla* compost.** Properly moistened *Azolla* compost diluted with sawdust was placed in 3 dark plastic bowls each supplied with 20 *Lumbricididae* individuals. Compost samples were analyzed for C, N, and P after 6 and 12 mo. After 6 mo the number of *Lumbricididae* had increased only about 10%. Apparently the conversion of *Azolla* into compost proceeds quickly and the material in the process of composting is not a favorable growth medium to support dynamic multiplication of earthworms. P and N contents of the earthworm-populated compost compared with earthworm-free controls were erratic. Research on *Azolla* compost is at an early stage and basic characterization of the process is required.
Feeding value of A. filiculoides and use of Azolla as fodder. Feeding value of Azolla based on digestibility and ways of handling and preserving Azolla are key issues. Because of Azolla’s high moisture content (about 95%), complicated transport and storage are impracticable. Feeding trials with fresh Azolla, requiring transport of the forage from Hamburg to the Institute of Animal Nutrition, Stuttgart-Hohenheim, illustrated the problem. The Azolla forage molded and animals refused to eat it in commensurate rations (K.H. Menke, Institute of Animal Nutrition, University of Hohenheim, FRG, pers. comm.) The same thing happened to Azolla sundried in the Philippines and sent to Hohenheim by D. Haws of IRRI. Figure 1 shows an attempt to produce Azolla hay on tripod supports. The quality of Azolla hay was unsatisfactory due to excessive drying required because of low water permeability due to the waxy cuticula. In agreement with Buckingham’s analysis (7), the amino acid composition of Azolla protein is well balanced with relatively high lysine, methionine, and cystine concentrations (Table 15). However, the high and promising crude protein content is of low digestibility (10-14%) (32). Total digestibility measured by the gas forming method amounted to only 50% (Table 16).

Affinity for heavy metals. The affinity of Azolla for individual heavy metals offered in the nutrient solution indicates that Cu, Ni, and Zn, in the same order of intensity, are incorporated much faster than Pb, Mn, and Hg.
Table 15. Amino acid composition of the *Azolla* protein (g/16 g N).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Wentorf sample, Aug</th>
<th>% Variance</th>
<th>Wentorf sample, Nov</th>
<th>% Variance</th>
<th>Bergedorf sample, Nov</th>
<th>% Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cystine(^a)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartic acid</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine(^a)</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serine</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic acid</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline</td>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>4.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>7.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thyrosine</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NH(_3)</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86.91</td>
<td>87.03</td>
<td>85.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Cystine is determined as cysteic acid, methionine as methioninsulfon.

Table 16. Feeding value of *Azolla*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Wentorf sample, Aug</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Wentorf sample, Nov</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Bergedorf sample, Nov</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Wentorf mixed sample</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ash, minerals</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.61</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In vitro investigations*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wentorf sample, Aug</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Wentorf sample, Nov</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Bergedorf sample, Nov</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas formation (m(_1)/24 h)(^a)</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestibility of organic matter (%)(^a)</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy turnover (mJ/kg per kg dry matter)(^a)</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch units/kg dry matter(^a)</td>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein decomposition in 8 h (% RDN)(^b)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein decomposition in 12 h</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein decomposition in 16 h</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein decomposition in 24 h</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepsin solubility of undecomposed protein (%)(^c)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Determination and calculation of feed values according to Menke et al (21).
\(^b\) According to Raab (28).
\(^c\) According to Jilg (11), 48 h in pepsin-HCl-solution (0.4 g pepsin/l; 0.075 N HCl; 40°C).

(Fig. 2). Because Cu is toxic to algal development when it surpasses its optimum concentration as a nutritional trace element (maximum 2.0 ppm Cu) in the nutrient solution, the *Azolla* plants begin to deteriorate and have no
intact *Anabaena* symbiont. Plants with different levels of the other heavy metals appeared healthy over the entire concentration range. The tests were carried out with 10 g *Azolla* suspended in 36 × 24 cm plastic basins filled with N-free Hoagland solution and the heavy metal in acetate form.
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Use of *Azolla* as a decontaminant in sewage treatment
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Two *Azolla* species were used to treat waste water. Because of *Azolla*'s N\textsubscript{2}-fixing ability, the efficient removal of P from the waste water would be expected even after the N is consumed. The best growth, 2.5 d doubling time for *Azolla japonica*, and highest nutrient removal were achieved when the P level was higher than the N level. A significant removal of ammonium was observed during the first 3 d after transfer. The P absorption capacity of *Azolla* in the secondary treated effluent did not differ from that observed in a synthetic culture medium. Nutrient removal capacities of *Azolla* by batch culture were lower for N and higher for P than the values reported for other aquatic plants. Subsequent analyses of crude protein content and amino acid composition proved that *Azolla* plants may be a good biomass source of animal feed.

Eutrophication, the natural aging process that occurs in lakes and streams, has been accelerated by the excess of nutrient elements, particularly N and P forms discharged into streams from sewage treatment plants. Current research in pollution control has been directed toward removing these nutrients in treatment systems, thereby slowing the rate of eutrophication.

Nutrient removal processes, generally classified as tertiary treatment, consist basically of chemico-biological, chemico-physical, biochemical, and biological systems. These systems have been improved to the point that almost complete removal of nutrients is possible. However, the treatment cost is increasingly high.

Recently, various aquatic plants have been proposed to remove N and P. Among the plants used are *Eichhornia* (2), *Lemna* (4), *Spirodelia* (10), *Nasturtium* (12), and *Ipomoea* (5). The average ratio of N to P in plants is 10:1. Thus, a favorable uptake of N and P by aquatic plants will be observed in a culture solution containing N and P at a ratio of about 10:1 (14). Nutrient concentration, however, varies widely with waste water treatment plants. In most cases of waste water treatment by aquatic plants, P nutrient will remain after N removal.
We tried _Azolla_ as a decontaminant of waste water because it grows by fixing atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}, and P removal can be expected even after the N is consumed.

On the other hand, _Azolla_ plants have been used traditionally as a green manure in Vietnam (3) and China (7). _Azolla_ cultured in waste water can be harvested for use as green manure for rice, further reducing waste water treatment cost. This could be an additional benefit of _Azolla_ use in sewage treatment.

**GROWTH IN THE SYNTHETIC NUTRIENT SOLUTION**

Two species, _Azolla imbricata_ and _A. japonica_, which are widely distributed in Japan, were used. Five g each of fresh _Azolla_ were inoculated into trays (21.7 × 15.6 × 8.4 cm, surface area = 340 cm\textsuperscript{2}) containing 1 liter of medium and cultured in a growth cabinet. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 6.5 at which both species grew best (6).

The growth and the nutrient absorption patterns under different combinations of ammonium and P concentration are shown in Figure 1. The day/night temperature was 27/18 °C and the light intensity was 15 klx with 16 h photoperiods.

The growth patterns of the two species appeared similar. The best growth and the highest absorption capacity of nutrients were observed where the P concentration (31 ppm) was higher than that of ammonium (18 ppm) (Fig. 1d).

![Graphs showing growth and nutrient absorption patterns](image)

Both species absorb ammonium gradually through the nutrient solution. The absorption capacity at an optimum growth phase was estimated to be 2 mg N/tray per d at low N levels (Fig. 1c, d), and to be 5-6 mg N/tray per d at high N levels (Fig. 1a, b). Azolla can absorb P completely at low concentration levels (Fig. 1b, c) during 6 d of growth. About 30-40% of P in high concentrations remained after 9 d although the daily absorption of 1.5-2.0 mg P/tray per d was a little higher than that in low concentration level (Fig. 1a, d). These absorption patterns were all low compared with that of N-free medium.

The growth rate and the nutrient absorption patterns of *Azolla* grown at a comparatively low (15-22°C) and high (22-30°C) temperature were examined. At temperatures from 15 to 22°C, growth declined rapidly in *A. imbricata*, to about half that of *A. japonica*. No significant difference in ammonium absorption capacity at high concentration levels was found. In P absorption, a somewhat slower pattern was observed in *A. imbricata*. After 9 d of growth, about 60% of P was absorbed by *A. imbricata* and 80% was absorbed by *A. japonica*. In contrast, a higher growth rate and nutrient absorption capacity were found in *A. imbricata* than in *A. japonica* at 22-30 °C. The results were consistent with the different optimum temperature of each species (6).

GROWTH IN TREATED EFFLUENT

Secondary treated effluent of a domestic sewage treatment plant was sampled and used as nutrient after it was diluted with water. The temperature was 18-27 °C and other conditions, except the pH of the medium which was not adjusted, were the same as the preceding experiment. The initial pH of the medium (7.1-7.6) declined gradually to about 5.0 after the culture. This decline was not so large compared with that in the synthetic nutrient solution. In an initial medium containing more than 200 ppm ammonium, the growth of *Azolla*, especially *A. imbricata*, was extremely poor and red pigmentation appeared in the fronds within a few days of transfer.

The growth patterns and the removal of nutrients in the secondary treated effluent are shown in Figure 2. Ammonium concentration in the effluent decreased as A>B>C. The best growth and the highest removal of nutrients were obtained when the P level was higher than the N level (Fig. 2c) as in Figure 1. In the case of c (NH₄-N = 10 ppm, P = 13.5 ppm), the growth progressed geometrically, and the doubling time of 2.5 d in *A. japonica* was the same as in the N-free medium. The growing pattern at high levels of N and P (Fig. 2a) was also close to that of the synthetic solution. Nutrient removal from the treated effluent was favorable and a high ammonium uptake was observed during the first 3 d after transfer. Ammonia may evolve from alkaline waters with pH 7.5 or higher that contain ammonium. We confirmed that about 20-30% ammonium in the waste water was volatilized during the first 3 d. The net average absorption capacity was estimated to be 5.5-7.0 mg N/tray per d. P was completely removed within 6 d. The absorption rate was 2-3 mg P/tray per d, similar to that of N-free medium.
2. Growth of *Azolla japonica* and decrease of N and P in secondary treated effluent.

Comparisons of the growth and nutrient removal were made among *Azolla* inocula of 5, 15, 20 g per tray. The samples corresponded to 25, 50, 100%, coverage of the surface of nutrient solution. The sample fully covered with *Azolla* had the highest harvest and nutrient removal (Fig. 3c), but the removal patterns did not parallel the amount of initial inoculum. This implies the existence of an optimum density of *Azolla* inocula for the most effective nutrient removal.

Matsumoto (10) examined the absorption capacities of various aquatic plants and compared them in batch culture with the total surface area covered with plants. We compared the absorption capacity of several plants including *Azolla* covering the total water surface, in a manner similar to Matsumoto (Table 1). This experiment was done outdoors using big trays 70 × 100 × 12 cm containing 30 liters of the secondary effluent. The absorption capacity

### Table 1. Absorption capacity of N and P by some aquatic plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Absorption capacity (mg/m² per d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Spirodea polyrhiza</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>260-278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lemna paucicostata</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>245-268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eichhornia crassipes</em>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>265-280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Azolla japonica</em></td>
<td>155-250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>By Matsumoto (10).
of *Azolla* for P was higher than for N. N absorption capacity, however, varied widely depending on culture conditions.

An apparatus was devised to culture *Azolla* in continuously flowing waste water (Fig. 4). The apparatus consists of a series of 4.6 liter-capacity trays. Waste water is pumped to the top tray and then flows by gravity to the bottom tray where the effluent is sampled. At a flow rate of 15.5 ml/min, about 65% N and 25% P were removed. Removal rate, however, especially that for P, declined with increasing flow rate. Only 7% of P was removed at 62.2 ml/min.

**CRUDE PROTEIN AND AMINO ACID CONTENTS**

The harvested *Azolla* plants cultured in waste water will be used as green manure (8), animal feed, and bio-fuels. There are a number of reports on the use of *Azolla* as animal feed (11), and as fish feed (1). In view of these considerations, a dried sample of *Azolla* was hydrolyzed (13) to compare its crude protein and amino acid content to those of various other plants. The *Azolla* plants cultured in the secondary treated effluent had a little higher crude protein (av 30 g/100 g dry wt). *Azolla* plants were comparatively high in crude protein compared to other plants (Table 2), suggesting that *Azolla* can be a good animal feed. Although methionine and histidine content in 10 essential amino acids were less than for other plants tested, total amino acids were high.

Makino (9) has suggested treating waste water by the simultaneous use of aquatic plants and fish. A search was made for aquatic plants with four characteristics: 1) rapid growth, 2) high nutrient absorption capacity, 3) ease of handling, and 4) potential for economic use. We propose a model for waste
Table 2. Amino acid composition in *Azolla* and some other plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Azolla&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Ipomoea&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Lemna&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Spinach&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serine</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23.26</td>
<td>31.41</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>*A. japonica* cultured in a secondary treated effluent. <sup>b</sup>By Hashimoto (5). <sup>c</sup>By Matsumoto (10). Only essential amino acids were compared.

Water treatment with *Azolla* and fish such as *Lebistes, Carassium, Cyprinus,* and *Tilapia* (Fig. 5). Two or three aquatic plants are promising candidates. *Azolla* and *Eichhornia* are prolific and, being free-floating, would be easier to harvest than a rooted aquatic.
The use of subtropical *Eichhornia* and temperate *Azolla* and *Nasturtium*, which are cold tolerant, is recommended. Further studies are needed to determine whether these procedures are of any practical value.
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DISCUSSION

KANNAIYAN: Could you tell us the amount of heavy-metal absorption by *Azolla*, *Eichhornia*, or *Lemma*?

SHIOMI: I did not determine heavy-metal absorption by *Azolla* because Azolla biomass is limited to the treatment of domestic waste. I don’t think that *Azolla* absorbs many metal ions. I have heard that Eichhornia does, so it would not be good for animal feed. I don’t know about Lemma, but I think it is more like Azolla.

XIE YING-XIAN: Can you give me some information about *Spirulina platensis* in Japan?

SHIOMI: I am not familiar with *Spirulina*. Many blue-green algae grow in Japan. However, salt concentration in Japanese lakes is not high. I do not think that *Spirulina* can survive in them. If you could cultivate *Spirulina* in Chinese lakes or ponds, it would be a good biomass.

LUMPKIN: Are agricultural wastes and domestic sewage safe for introducing into human food chain in Japan?

SHIOMI: In Japan those liquid wastes are discharged into rivers after primary and secondary treatment, principally by the activated sludge method. At present I do not think is is safe. Domestic waste, unlike industrial waste, has few heavy-metal ions, so *Azolla* plants cultured in secondary liquid waste would be a good animal feed.
Use of *Azolla* in Pakistan

S. A. LI AND K.A. MALIK
Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology
Jhang Road, P.O. Box 128
Faisalabad, Pakistan

*Azolla* has been used traditionally as green manure for rice production in Southeast Asia (2, 3, 10). *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis can fix N comparable to legumes and much more than free-living bacteria and blue-green algae (8). *Azolla* significantly increases rice yields and improves soil properties (4,5,6). Studies on *Azolla* in Pakistan cover its natural distribution, ecology, cultivation, pest control; N\(_2\) fixation, mineralization, and effect on rice yield.

Central Punjab was surveyed to study *Azolla* natural distribution and ecology. *Azolla pinnata* grows in stagnant or slow moving water at 11 places in the upper central Punjab; mostly in ditches and drains. It was found in only two ricefields, one of which was fallow. *Lemna minor, Hydrilla verticillata, Typha angustata, Ipomoea aquatica, Eichhornia sp., Leptochloa fusca,* and other grasses grow in the same habitat. Floodwater pH in these habitats ranged from 7.9 to 8.9 and electrical conductivity (EC\(_e\)) from 0.3 to 1.75 dS/m; however, *Azolla* grew well in pH up to 8.5 and EC\(_e\) below 1.3. Na:Ca (<10) promoted *Azolla* growth.

*Azolla* plants were abundant only in the cold months (Nov-Apr). *A. pinnata* growing naturally is, therefore, sensitive to high temperature, which prevails during rice season, making its use difficult. By growing native *Azolla* in cold water containing farmyard manure (FYM) and shading it from strong sunlight during hot days for about 3 yr, a heat-tolerant strain that can grow at temperatures prevailing in the Punjab was selected.

**AZOLLA CULTURE MEDIA**

To maintain the local *Azolla* strain under conditions resembling its natural habitat, four culture media (reconstituted according to the floodwater analysis in which *Azolla* growth was better) were tried (Table 1). Plastic tubs (30 cm diam, 15 cm deep) three-fourths filled with culture media were used for *Azolla* culture. Growth and N\(_2\) fixation of *Azolla* were compared to growth and N\(_2\) fixation in IRRI *Azolla* medium (11). More *Azolla* biomass and chlorophyll were harvested in IRRI *Azolla* medium adjusted to pH 6.5 than in the other media with pH 8.0. However, when pH of all these was adjusted to 8.0, the
Table 1. Ingredients of different culture media for *Azolla*.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Az. M.</th>
<th>BT</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>KB</th>
<th>KA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macronutrient (g/liter)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCl(_2)</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl(_2)·6H(_2)O</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO(_4)·6H(_2)O</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaHCO(_3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC(_1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)SO(_4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_2)CO(_3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCO(_3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH(_2)PO(_4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaH(_2)PO(_4)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Micronutrient (mg/liter)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Az. M. = IRRI *Azolla* medium; BT = Black tub floodwater; MD = Maridkey Drain floodwater; KB = Khori floodwater, site B; KA = Khori floodwater, site A.

common pH of floodwater of ricefields in Punjab, Khori floodwater site B (KB) medium was best (Fig. 1). Similarly, N\(_2\) fixation was highest for IRRI medium at pH 6.5, and for KB medium when pH of all the other media was set at 8.0 (Table 2).

So farmers could maintain *Azolla* culture, simple and less defined culture media were tried. Glazed pots 25 cm in diam containing 13 liters canal water were used for nursery maintenance. The water was amended with 2.0 mg Fe/liter, 10 mg P/liter, IRRI *Azolla* medium, trace elements as in IRRI *Azolla* medium, and 10 g air dried FYM/liter. Pots were inoculated with 3 g fresh *Azolla*/pot in the first week of April, and *Azolla* was harvested at 2-wk intervals; each pot was reinoculated with 3 g *Azolla*. By the last week of September, total fresh *Azolla* biomass harvested per pot (av of 3 replications) was 77 g for control (canal water), 113 g for Fe, 123 g for trace elements, 139 g for FYM + P, 164 g for FYM, 166 g for IRRI *Azolla* medium, 178 g for P, 205 g for Fe + P + FYM, 209 g for Fe + P, and 270 g for Fe + FYM. The study indicated that a small quantity of FYM or P added to canal water promoted better *Azolla* nursery maintenance on a small scale, and adding FeCl\(_3\) may further increase *Azolla* yield.

*Azolla* growth was compared in defined and less defined culture media. Plastic tubs (30 cm diam) three-fourths filled with culture solution were used. As little as 0.5% FYM, even at pH 8–9, gave maximum yield with minimum doubling time (Table 3). Because humic acids are one of the main components of FYM, the effect of adding humic acid to the nutrient culture media on
1. Yield of *Azolla* grown on different media of different pH.

### Table 2. Nitrogenase activity of *Azolla* grown in different nutrient media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>2 h</th>
<th>3 h</th>
<th>23 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Az. M.</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>21.12</td>
<td>38.34</td>
<td>126.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Az. M.</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>37.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KA</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KB</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.002</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>27.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>27.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>42.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Azolla* growth and N$_2$ fixation was studied. Glass beakers containing 400 ml nutrient media were used for *Azolla* cultivation and 30-mm-diam glass tubes were used for acetylene reduction assay (ARA). The glass tubes were inverted...
Table 3. Comparison of *Azolla* growth on defined and undefined medium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Inoculum (g)</th>
<th>Yield after 4 mo g</th>
<th>Doubling time (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KB</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Az. M.</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil + FYM <em>a</em></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYM <em>a</em></td>
<td>8.0-9.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* FYM = farmyard manure.

Table 4. Comparison of *Azolla pinnata* growth and nitrogenase activity on KB medium in presence of humic acid (HA), NH₄-N, and farmyard manure (FYM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Yield after 24 d (g)</th>
<th>Biomass increase over inoculum</th>
<th>Doubling time (d)</th>
<th>Nitrogenase activity (nmol C₂H₄/g fresh wt per h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (KB medium)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KB + 0.0175% HA</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 0.035% HA</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 0.052% HA</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KB + 14 ppm N</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 28 ppm N</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 42 ppm N</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYM 0.5%</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYM 0.5% + 5% soil</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in the beakers containing *Azolla* and the upper end was stoppered with a Suba seal for 10% C₂H₂ injection. After 2, 3, and 23 h incubation, gas samples were taken in 5-ml Vacutainer tubes and analyzed by gas chromatography for C₂H₄ assay. The effect of mineral N as ammonium sulfate was also observed. Results indicated that maximum growth and N₂ fixation occurred in 0.5% FYM + 5.0% soil added to distilled water (Table 4). The next best and comparable growth was observed in KB medium + 0.05% humic acid and 0.5% FYM, but nitrogenase activity was lower in the latter. Adding 14 ppm mineral N as (NH₄)₂SO₄ improved growth and nitrogenase activity, indicating the possibility of using *Azolla* with chemical N fertilizer at low concentrations.

The continuation of N₂ fixation by *Azolla* in the presence of mineral N in the culture medium has been reported by other workers (1, 7, 9, 12).

PEST CONTROL

Water snails *Lymnaea* sp. fed on *Azolla* during greenhouse cultivation. We used 4 insecticides in culture solution at 2.5, 3, 5, 10, and 30 ppm a.i. to control snails. The minimum concentration required to kill the snails in 24 h was 5 ppm chlorobenzilate and 30 ppm heptachlor, monocrotophos, and carbofuran. Minimum concentrations of all insecticides inhibited *Azolla* growth. Carbofuran was the least toxic to *Azolla* (Table 5), and effectively controlled water snails of family Planorbidae, small bivalve crustaceans Ostracoda, and *Nymphulus* sp. larvae.
Table 5. Effect of minimum concentrations of different insecticides required for killing snails, on *A. pinnata* in 1-liter plastic beakers containing 400 g soil and 400 ml canal water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>Concentration in flood water (ppm a.i.)</th>
<th><em>Azolla</em> biomass production g/beaker&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (fresh wt)</th>
<th>% of control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akar</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptachlor</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuvacron</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furadan</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Av of 3 replications.

**AZOLLA DECOMPOSITION**

**14C and 15N labeling of Azolla**

*A. pinnata* was grown in a growth room with a 30 ± 5°C temperature, 90% relative humidity (RH), and 9 klx light for 16 h a day. Pulse labeling of *Azolla* for 3 h was done twice at 2-d intervals in a 6-liter flask with 1 liter KB medium containing 0.05% humic acid and 5% (15 NH₄)₂SO₄. ¹⁴CO₂ was generated inside the flask by adding dilute lactic acid to Na₂ (¹⁴ CO₃) contained in a small beaker hung from the flask stopper. ¹⁴CO₂ was mixed inside the flask by pumping in and out with a two-way cadet pump. After 1 wk of incubation, the *Azolla* plants were harvested. A small amount was used to estimate its radioactivity by combusting it in a Packard Sample Oxidizer and measuring the activity in a Tricarb 3320 liquid scintillation counter. ¹⁵N abundance was estimated with a Mat GD 150 mass spectrometer.

**Azolla mineralization**

The double-labeled *Azolla* was added to soil for mineralization studies. The N mineralization pattern is given in Figure 2. NO₃-N reached peaked after 1 wk and rate remained almost constant during the next 2 wk in upland soil. Similarly, NH₄-N formation peaked by the first week, but decreased during the next 2 wk in lowland soil. The rapid nitrification which led to formation of more NO₃-N in upland soil probably resulted from less reduced conditions. The reverse was true for lowland soil. However, overall mineralization was more in upland than in lowland soils — in 7 d, about 50% *Azolla* N was mineralized in upland soil compared to 31% in lowland soil.

The rate of C release from *Azolla* was higher in upland than in lowland soil (Fig. 3). After 40 d, about 35% CO₂ was evolved from upland soil and 22% from lowland soil amended with *Azolla*, nearly half of the CO₂ evolved in the first 10 d of incubation.

Evolution of labeled C in CO₂ also showed higher decomposition rate in upland soil than in lowland soil (Fig. 4). The release of ¹⁴CO₂ reached maximum by the 10th day and about 68% ¹⁴CO₂ was evolved within the first 10 d of incubation.
CONTRIBUTION OF AZOLLA TO STABLE ORGANIC MATTER

During *Azolla* decomposition in soil, immobilization of NH$_4$ and NO$_3$-N took place along with mineralization. The C and N content estimated in humic and fulvic acid at the end of incubation are given in Table 6. More of these two organic acids were formed in soil amended with *Azolla* than in control, and more humic acids were formed in upland soil than in lowland soil. This indicates that *Azolla* increases the stable organic matter in soil.

EFFECT OF AZOLLA ON RICE YIELD

A pot study was conducted to determine the effect of *Azolla* on Basmati-370. The increases in grain yields were 37% for *Azolla* incorporation, 33% for *Azolla + Azolla* medium, 36% for *Azolla + Azolla* medium + 0.1% FYM, 2%

4. Evolution of $^{14}$CO$_2$ from soil after decomposition of uniformly labeled Azolla sp.
### Table 6. Determination of fluvic and humic acids in soil at two water-holding capacities with and without *A. pinnata*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water-holding capacity (%)</th>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Without <em>A. pinnata</em></th>
<th>With <em>A. pinnata</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C</em> content (µg/g soil dry wt)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Humic</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Fluvic</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Humic</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Fluvic</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>N</em> content (µg/g soil dry wt)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Humic</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Fluvic</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Humic</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Fluvic</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7. Effect of *Azolla* inoculation on rice yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Straw g/pot</th>
<th>Increase (%)</th>
<th>Grain g/pot</th>
<th>Increase (%)</th>
<th>Straw + grain g/pot</th>
<th>Increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19.47</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24.05</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Azolla</em> + Az. M.</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23.55</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Azolla</em> + Az. M. + FYM&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24.99</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 kg N/ha</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14.02</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>27.54</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 kg N/ha</td>
<td>16.34</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>33.26</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>FYM = farmyard manure.

for dead *Azolla* (equal to live inoculum), 50% for urea at 40 kg N/ha, and 82% for urea at 60 kg N/ha. The benefit of *Azolla* to the rice crop was equivalent to the addition of 40 kg N/ha (Table 7).
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**DISCUSSION**

ESKEW: Was your temperature-tolerant strain a local selection or imported from outside Pakistan?

**ALI:** It was a local selection from Pakistan.

KANNAIYAN: How do you use farmyard manure for growing Azolla? Is it used as solution or mixed with soil?

**ALI:** Farmyard manure was used as such and was added to water culture (without soil). Farmyard manure was added into pots containing only canal water (no soil).

SWATDEE: Fresh Azolla increased paddy yield better than dead Azolla. Why?

**ALI:** Because dead Azolla cannot multiply and it was added once to the inoculum, whereas live Azolla inoculum multiplied and was incorporated at different times during rice growth.
AGRONOMICAL ASPECT OF AZOLLA USE
The sporophytic cycle of *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. has been studied to produce an inoculum that is easier to handle and store in northern China. *A. filiculoides* requires 25-30 d at a temperature of 18-25°C and a light intensity of 1-1.5 klx for sporophytic determination. Biological N fixation of *A. filiculoides* in the field was determined by $^{15}$N dilution using *Lemna polyrhiza* as reference plants. About 40-60% of *Azolla* N is fixed from atmospheric N$_2$ with about 5 kg N/ha being fixed within several days. The availability of *Azolla* N to rice was estimated by incorporating $^{15}$N-labeled *Azolla* 2 wk before transplanting and unlabeled *Azolla* at panicle initiation. From transplanting to panicle initiation, rice plants derived 35-58% of their N from *Azolla* N. The dynamics of $^{15}$N abundance in soil, nitrate reductase activity, and chlorophyll content of rice plants are also discussed.

*Azolla* traditionally has been used as green manure for rice in China. The biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) carried out by *Azolla* is of major benefit to rice farmers in north China. The use of *Azolla* has expanded gradually. Today the rice sown acreage totals 2.33 million ha in the north, compared to 1.46 million ha in 1974. Recent energy and nitrogenous fertilizer costs have stimulated interest in *Azolla*.

In this paper we have focused our attention on the N$_2$-fixing rate and N$_2$ cycling of *Azolla* in relation to rice by using the $^{15}$N dilution technique.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Materials.* *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. was used for inoculation or incorporation in field and pot experiments, using *Lemna polyrhiza* L. as reference plants.

The rice strain Yuefu, of medium duration (120 d), was selected. Seedlings were transplanted about 3 wk after germination at a plant spacing of 20 cm.

*Field plots.* A rice field with typical paddy soils near our institute was chosen. The characteristics of a composite soil sample (pH 7.2) for 0-15 cm depth are shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Some characteristics of paddy soil in Beijing.ª

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Content (% soil dry wt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total N</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺ - N</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻ - N</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ªSampling date: 26 Apr, before using Azolla in paddy fields.

The plots for estimating BNF of Azolla were 1 m² and separated from each other with a painted steel sheet. The plots were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications.

The plots for measuring the efficiency of utilization of Azolla N and urea N were 0.5 × 0.5 m with 4 replications.

Azolla and urea were incorporated to a depth of 5 cm on the bottom of a furrow between the rows of rice plants.

Pot experiments. The utilization of Azolla N and urea N was studied in 50-cm-diam plastic pots containing 25 kg paddy soil.

Growth of ¹⁵N-labeled Azolla. A. filiculoides was grown in an 80 × 60 cm plastic pool filled with paddy soil suspension containing 55 ppm concentration of (¹⁵NH₄)₂SO₄ or CO(¹⁵NH₂)₂ (10% abundance) for 2 wk before inoculating or incorporating into the ricefield or pots.

Assay methods. Total N was determined by Kjeldahl method and abundance of ¹⁵N with a mass spectrometer (6). The leaves were assayed for nitrate reductase activity (NIR) by the method of Garrett (2) and for chlorophyll content by the method of Arnon (1).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) photographs of sporocarps were taken. The sporocarps were fixed with glutaraldehyde (2%) and osmic acid (1%). After dehydration, the sample was treated with iso-amylacetate, dried to the critical point, and coated with Au.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sporophytic cycle of A. filiculoides

It has been reported (4) that the A. filiculoides introduced to China from East Germany appears larger and morphologically distinct from other A. filiculoides. Inoculum production presently is confined to vegetative multiplication, which creates storage and transport problems. It is particularly important in northern China.
We have harvested the sporocarps (Fig. 1), combined them in culture, and followed the sexual cycle through the germination of new sporophytes. Each mature microsporocarp contains eight or more microsporangia (Fig. 2). The megaspore germinates into a female gametophyte (Fig. 3). Some stages
4. The young sporophyte of *A. filiculoides*.

Table 2. N\textsubscript{2} fixation by *A. filiculoides* Lam in paddy soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling date</th>
<th><em>L. polyrhiza</em> L (reference) (15\text{N}) at. excess (%)</th>
<th><em>A. filiculoides</em> (15\text{N}) at. excess (%)</th>
<th>Ndff (%)</th>
<th>Ndff (kg/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19 Jun</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>40.07</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Jun</td>
<td>1.670</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>58.63</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Jul</td>
<td>1.540</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>59.55</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Jul</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>48.40</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Jul</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>59.20</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in the development of the embryo into the young sporophyte are shown in Figure 4. Although we do not know what factors cause *A. filiculoides* to sporulate, we know that sporocarps were found only in summer at Beijing. The sporophyte germination requires about 25-30 d under temperatures 18-25°C and light intensity of 1-1.5 klx.

**Estimating BNF**

We used *Lemna polyrhiza* as reference plants by using \(15\text{N}\) dilution technique (3,5). *L. polyrhiza* (N content 1.17%) at 500 g fresh wt/m\(^2\) and 650 g *Azolla* (N content 3.25%) fresh wt/m\(^2\) were applied 35 d before sampling began. The results are shown in Table 2. In the field, N derived from fixation (Ndff) was about 40-60%. About 5 kg N/ha was fixed by *Azolla* within 5-6 d under optimum climatic conditions. The conditions that favor *Azolla* development and N\textsubscript{2} fixation were determined by comparing the N\textsubscript{2}-fixing rate over time. It has been reported (7) that urea is more toxic to *Azolla* than other N sources, so it might affect N\textsubscript{2} fixing rate in the field. The nitrate reductase activity and chlorophyll content in rice leaves did not differ significantly from either treatment. This field experiment was confirmed by pot experiments using same technique.
To measure the availability of Azolla N to rice, we incorporated $^{15}$N-labeled Azolla (30 kg N/ha) and urea at the bottom of the furrow. Azolla (N content 3.25%) at 160 g fresh wt/m$^2$ was incorporated 35 d before sampling began. An equivalent amount of urea N was applied to plots 20 d before sampling.

The results are shown in Figure 5 and Table 3. Although grain yield in the urea-treated plots was higher than that in Azolla-treated plots, the Ndff in
Nitrate reductase activity in rice leaves of Azolla treatment and urea treatment. Table 5. NH₄-N content in Azolla-treated and urea-treated paddy soils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling date</th>
<th>Incorporated Azolla (mg/100 g dry soil)</th>
<th>Applied urea (mg/100 g dry soil)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 May</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Jun</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Jul</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Jul</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Aug</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Sep</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Oct</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Comparison of availability of Azolla N and urea N to rice incorporated at panicle initiation; pot experiment, 25 kg soil/pot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>N content in rice (%)</th>
<th>¹⁵N at. excess (%)</th>
<th>Ndff</th>
<th>Ndff* (mg/pot)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azolla</td>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>Azolla</td>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>Azolla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Oct</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Oct</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Nov</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>1.545</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Nov</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Azolla-treated plots was high. The nitrate reductase activity and chlorophyll content in rice leaves of urea-treated plots were higher than those of Azolla-treated plots (Table 4, Fig. 6). The dynamics of NH₄-N content in paddy soil
of both treatments are shown in Table 5. Pot experiment results are shown in Table 6. All the results suggest that:

1. Urea N is taken up by rice more rapidly, but it might be lost from paddy soil, so the NH$_4^-$-N content resulting from urea treatment is almost the same as in the Azolla treatment.
2. The decomposition of Azolla is slow in northern China, even though Ndff is high.
3. Incorporating Azolla into paddy soils of northern China must be done considerably before transplanting and more Azolla biomass is needed.

REFERENCES CITED

Summary report of the *Azolla* program of the International Network on Soil Fertility and Fertilizer Evaluation for Rice

I. WATANABE

Soil Microbiology Department
International Rice Research Institute
P.O. Box 933, Manila, Philippines

The International Network on Soil Fertility and Fertilizer Evaluation for Rice since 1979 has conducted collaborative trials to determine the effect of incorporating *Azolla* on rice yield. In 1979 and 1980, 33 trials in 7 countries consisted of 9 common treatments. One crop of *Azolla*, before or after transplanting rice, increased rice grain yield equivalent to that obtained from 30 kg N/ha as urea or ammonium sulfate. In 1981 and 1982, 32 trials in 8 countries consisted of 8 common treatments. Thirty kg N/ha as urea + 2.0 kg *Azolla* fresh wt/m² incorporated before transplanting gave the same yield as that obtained from 60 kg N/ha as urea. Incorporating two crops of *Azolla*, one before and another after transplanting, did not give yields equal to those obtained from 60 kg N/ha as urea. The third set of trials, begun in 1983, consisted of eight common treatments. Because it was difficult to obtain 2.0 kg *Azolla* fresh wt/m² without bringing in *Azolla* from outside the test plots, only 1.5 kg *Azolla*/m² was incorporated along with 30 kg N/ha as urea. *Azolla* biomass was recorded in 58% of the trials. Rice yield increase per unit fresh wt *Azolla* was proportional to the effect of N fertilizer at each site. The average fresh weight of a single crop of *Azolla* was 1.5 kg/m² before transplanting and 1.1 kg/m² after transplanting.

INSFFER, initiated in 1976, is a collaboration program among participating national scientists, the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), and the International Fertilizer Development Center. Its main objective is to increase fertilizer use efficiency and to improve and maintain soil fertility. INSFFER activities consist of 1) collaborative research trials, 2) training, and 3) site visit tours.

Research trials are formulated and conducted by collaborating scientists. Therefore the trials are part of their national programs. Recognizing the need for trained persons to conduct INSFFER trials, INSFFER began training courses in 1979, and has conducted annual courses since then; 132 persons have participated in the courses. Trials using *Azolla* as a green manure for wetland rice were initiated in 1979. By 1984, the trials had been conducted at 37 sites in 10 countries (Table 1). All collaborators run the common treatments, but some add treatments, depending on their local situations.
Table 1. INSFFER *Azolla* experimental sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site no.</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Entry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Joydebpur, BRRI</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>80 81 82 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Bariari, Joydebpur</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Chowara, Comilla</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Yezin, Pyinmana Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>Burma</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Fuzhou-Fujian Academy of Agricultural Science</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Putien, Fujian</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>80 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cuttack, Indian Rice Research Institute</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>79 80 81 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Madurai, Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>79 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ludhiana, Punjab Agricultural University</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Chinsurah Rice Research Station</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>80 82 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Aliyanagar, Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>81 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Tiruruk-kuppam, Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Ambasamudram, Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>81 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>82 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Muara, CRI, Bogor</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>79 80 81 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Maros Research Institute of Food Crops</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Khumaltar, Lalitpur Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>79 80 81 82 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Binalonan, Pangasinan</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>81 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>San Juan, Pototan, Iloilo</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Tabaco, Albay</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Santa Barbara, Pangasinan</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>San Nicolas, Gapan, Nueva Ecija</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>ORSTOM. Dakar</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>80 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Richard-Toll, WARDA</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>81 82 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Gannoruwa, Peradeniya</td>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kuan Gut Rice Experiment Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>79 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pan Rice Experiment Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>79 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chumpae Rice Experiment Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>79 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Sampatong Rice Research Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>82 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Nakonsrithamarak Rice Research Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Ratchburi Rice Experimental Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rangsit Rice Experiment Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>79 81 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ubon Rice Experiment Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>79 80 81 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pimai Rice Experiment Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>79 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sakon-Nakorn Experiment Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>79 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Surin Rice Experiment Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>79 80 81 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Khon Kaen Experiment Station</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each year, IRRI collates and analyzes data from the trials and distributes them to collaborators and other requesting agencies. This paper summarizes 5 years of INSFFER *Azolla* trials.

**SUMMARY OF TRIALS**

**1979-80 trials**

*Rice yield.* In 1979, 14 trials were conducted in 5 countries. In 1980, 19 trials were conducted in 8 countries. Nine of the sites were common in each year.

Treatments and averages are shown in Table 2. Nine treatments were common. The trials showed that:

1. incorporating *Azolla* before or after transplanting rice gave yields equivalent to those obtained from 30 kg N/ha as chemical fertilizer;
Table 2. Results of the first (1979-80) *Azolla* trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment no.</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Av rice yield (t/ha) (index)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Control. No N, no <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>3.00 (100) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30 kg N/ha as urea or ammonium sulfate, in 3 split applications</td>
<td>3.65 (121) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60 kg N/ha as urea of ammonium sulfate, in 3 split applications</td>
<td>4.24 (141) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Azolla</em> incorporated before transplanting rice</td>
<td>3.73 (124) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Azolla</em> incorporated after transplanting rice</td>
<td>3.67 (122) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Azolla</em> inoculated after transplanting, but not incorporated</td>
<td>3.61 (120) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Combination of treatments 2 and 4</td>
<td>4.15 (138) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Combination of treatments 2 and 5</td>
<td>4.07 (135) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>Azolla</em> incorporated before and after transplanting rice</td>
<td>4.09 (136) a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard error (between sites) 0.05  
Standard error (within sites) 0.05

2. *Azolla* grown with rice as a dual culture without incorporation increased rice yield; and  
3. incorporating two crops of *Azolla* increased rice yields equivalent to those obtained from 60 kg N/ha.

In the first and second trials it was evident that incorporating one crop of *Azolla* was equal to 30 kg N/ha as urea or ammonium sulfate. *Azolla* biomass and rice increase. Fresh weight of *Azolla* was determined at 17 trials (Table 3). Average fresh weights of *Azolla* harvested before transplanting rice (treatments 4 and 7) were clearly higher than those of *Azolla* harvested after transplanting. Rice yield increase over treatment 2 was lower in treatments 7 and 8 (30 kg N/ha was applied along with *Azolla*), than in other treatments. Correlation coefficient between rice yield increase and *Azolla* biomass was not high, because the effects of *Azolla* on rice yield correlated with the effect of N fertilizer at each site. The higher the effect of N fertilizer, the higher the effect of *Azolla*. This relationship is clearly shown by the high correlation coefficient between rice yield increase per unit weight of *Azolla* and N fertilizer effect.

**1981-82 trials**

In April 1980, INSFFER scientists agreed to a new plan to compare ways of growing and incorporating *Azolla* at two plant spacings, and to determine if dual culture of rice and *Azolla* in wide row spacing is more effective in increasing *Azolla* biomass and rice yield than ordinary square spacing. A known amount of *Azolla* was incorporated to compare the effect of *Azolla* incorporation with topdressing.

There were 15 trials in 7 countries in 1981. In 1982, 17 trials in 8 countries were included. Experiments were conducted in both dry and wet seasons at some sites. Thirty-five trials were conducted, and at 10 sites the experiments were conducted in both years.

**Rice yields.** Treatments and average rice yields are shown in Table 4. Incorporating 2.0 kg *Azolla* fresh wt/m² before transplanting in addition to
Table 3. *Azolla* biomass and rice yield increase, 1979-80.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean of <em>Azolla</em> fresh wt (t/ha)</th>
<th>Mean of rice yield increase (t/ha)</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient between A and B</th>
<th>Mean of rice yield increase per unit weight of <em>Azolla</em> C (kg/t)</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient of C with N fertilizer effect&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mean of N fertilizer effects (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.53&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (15)</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.52&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.41&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (15)</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (17)</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.47&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.57&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (17)</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.56&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (15)</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.79&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Numbers in parenthesis are numbers of sites.  
<sup>b</sup> In treatments 4, 5, and 9, yield of treatment 1 was subtracted; in treatments 7 and 8, yield of treatments was subtracted.  
<sup>c</sup> N fertilizer effects for treatments 4, 5, and 6 are the difference between treatments 2 and 1; for treatments 7 and 8, the difference between 3 and 2; for treatment 9, the difference between treatments 3 and 1. * = significantly different at the 5% level, ** = significantly different at the 1% level.
Table 4. Results of second (1981–82) *Azolla* trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment no.</th>
<th>Plant spacing (cm)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Rice yield (t/ha) (index) n=35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 × 20</td>
<td>No N, no <em>Azolla</em></td>
<td>2.94 (100) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 × 20</td>
<td>60 kg N/ha. urea, 3 split applications</td>
<td>4.17 (141) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 × 40</td>
<td>Same as in treatment 2</td>
<td>4.20 (142) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20 × 20</td>
<td>2.0 kg <em>Azolla</em> fresh wt, incorporated before transplanting + 30 kg N/ha, 3 split applications</td>
<td>4.18 (142) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10 × 40</td>
<td>Same as in treatment 4</td>
<td>4.16 (141) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20 × 20</td>
<td><em>Azolla</em> grown before and after transplanting. After full cover, incorporated</td>
<td>3.96 (134) ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10 × 40</td>
<td>Same as in treatment 6</td>
<td>4.04 (137) ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10 × 40</td>
<td><em>Azolla</em> grown twice only after transplanting</td>
<td>3.88 (132) b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard error (among sites) 0.077

0.052

30 kg N/ha gave the same rice yield as that obtained from 60 kg N/ha as urea. Incorporating *Azolla* before and after transplanting gave lower yield than 2.0 kg *Azolla* fresh wt/m² in addition to 30 kg N/ha or 60 kg N/ha as urea. Applying *Azolla* only after transplanting gave lower yield.

In 1979 and 1980, no significant yield differences were found between 60 kg N/ha as inorganic N and two *Azolla* incorporations, one before and one after transplanting. In the second set of trials, there were significant differences, probably because the 1981-82 trials included new sites. Because treatments 3 and 9 in the first set corresponded to treatments 2 and 6 at the second set, results in both trials at the same sites were compared. Trials in 1981-82 gave lower yield for treatment 6 than for treatment 2, whereas in 1979-80, no difference was found. Therefore, it is likely that incorporating one crop of *Azolla* before and another after transplanting gave lower rice yield than applying inorganic N at 60 kg N/ha. Incorporating 2.0 kg *Azolla* fresh wt/m² before transplanting and 30 kg N/ha as urea (3 splits) gave the same yield as 60 kg N/ha as urea. Differences in plant spacing did not affect yield.

*Azolla* biomass and its effect on rice grain yield. In 19 trials, *Azolla* biomass before incorporation was determined. Biomass production was slightly higher before transplanting than after transplanting. Of 14 trials that recorded biomass of the *Azolla* crop before and after transplanting, 7 recorded higher *Azolla* biomass before transplanting. Average fresh weight of one crop of *Azolla* (14 trials) in treatments 6 and 7 was 1.5 kg/m² before transplanting and 1.1 kg/m² after transplanting. No differences were found between plant spacing (treatments 6 and 7).

*Azolla* biomass before and after transplanting (treatments 6 and 7) and after transplanting (treatment 8) and rice yield increase due to *Azolla* are shown in Table 5. *Azolla* biomass production was lower in treatment 8, where *Azolla* was grown only after transplanting. Rice yield increase was also low in
Table 5. *Azolla* biomass and rice yield increase, 1981-82.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean of <em>Azolla</em> fresh wt (t/ha)</th>
<th>Mean of rice yield increase (t/ha)</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient between A and B</th>
<th>Mean of rice yield increase per unit weight of <em>Azolla</em> (kg/t)</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient of C with N fertilizer effect</th>
<th>Mean of N fertilizer effect (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 (17)</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.47&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (16)</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.49*</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (16)</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.45*</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Figures in parentheses are number of sites.  <sup>b</sup> Increase over the yield of treatment 1.  <sup>c</sup> N fertilizer effect for treatments 7 and 8 was the difference between the yields of treatment 3 and was taken for treatment 6, between 2 and 1.  * = significantly different at the 5% level.
treatment 8. No correlation was found between Azolla biomass and rice yield increase. Average rice yield increase per unit weight of Azolla did not differ among treatments. The yield-increasing effect of Azolla was positively correlated with that of N fertilizer.

The relation of yield increase per unit fresh weight of Azolla was less clear with the effect of Azolla (2.0 kg/m²) in addition to inorganic N (treatments 4 and 5) than with the effect of N fertilizer (60 kg N/ha). At sites where Azolla biomass was determined, there was no difference between treatments 2 and 6 or 3 and 7, indicating that the effect of 2 crops of Azolla was equivalent to 60 kg N/ha. At the sites where Azolla biomass was determined, Azolla growth was probably better than at the sites where it was not. The average weight of two crops of Azolla was 30 t/ha in treatments 6 and 7. That 30 t Azolla fresh weight/ha incorporated gave yields equivalent to 30 kg N/ha as urea + 20 t Azolla /ha is surprising. The effect of Azolla may have been overestimated, and further study is needed.

1983-84 trials
During 1981-82 trials, most collaborators found it difficult to incorporate 2.0 kg Azolla biomass/m² without bringing Azolla from outside the experimental plots. Average fresh weight of Azolla before transplanting was 1.5 kg/m² in 1981-82 trials and 1.6 in 1979-80 trials. Collaborators agreed on decreasing the weight of Azolla incorporated from 2.0 to 1.5 kg/m².

Because no difference in rice yield and Azolla growth was observed between 20 × 20 cm and 10 × 40 cm spacings, treatments with 10 × 40 cm plant spacing were dropped. A new design was agreed upon for 1984 (Table 6). In 1983, ten or more trials were conducted. At some sites, collaborators found it difficult to grow Azolla because of insect damage or deep flooding. At others, Azolla was not grown in plots but was brought from outside, or some treatments were deleted because of inadequate Azolla growth. Data were satisfactory from only 4 of 10 sites in 1983.

GENERAL DISCUSSION
To analyze the yield data, data on Azolla growth are essential. Of 74 reported trials, 43 trials reported biomass of Azolla grown or incorporated into the experimental plots. Figure 1 shows the distribution of fresh wt of one crop of Azolla at full cover or before incorporation. Although determination of Azolla is subject to errors (particularly from moisture fluctuation and soil contamination and from green algae), average values seem reasonable. The approximate average of 15 t/ha is far below the A. pinnata biomass obtained under optimum conditions (3). The lower biomass in the field may be due to insect pests, low P availability, and high temperature. Few data are available on N contents of Azolla used in these experiments. Assuming 0.2% N in fresh Azolla, 15 t fresh Azolla corresponds to 30 kg N. Assuming that the rice yield increase per unit weight of Azolla was 50 kg/t Azolla (Table 3), 15 t fresh
Table 6. Trials in 1983–84.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>1. No N, no Azolla.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. 30 kg N/ha as urea, basal (broadcast and incorporated).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 60 kg N/ha as urea, 3 split applications.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 30 kg N/ha as urea (basal) and 1.5 kg Azolla fresh wt/m² incorporated before transplanting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 30 kg N/ha as urea applied as basal dressing and 1.5 kg Azolla fresh wt/m² incorporated 3 wk after transplanting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Azolla grown once before transplanting rice and incorporated, and grown again after transplanting rice. After each full cover of Azolla, it is incorporated and inoculated until 25 d before heading.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Azolla grown before transplanting rice and incorporated. After transplanting rice, Azolla is reinoculated, but not incorporated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Azolla grown twice only after transplanting rice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Azolla was incorporated, and 1 kg of absorbed N produced 50 kg grain, then the estimated absorption ratio of Azolla N to rice becomes 50%. This seems higher than the actual value (1). Again, the reported yield increase of Azolla might have been overestimated. Trials in 1981-82 showed that rice yield increase by two crops of Azolla (3 kg fresh wt/m²), one incorporated before and the second one after transplanting, was slightly less than that obtained from 60 kg inorganic N/ha. The yield-increasing effect of one crop of Azolla may be a little lower than that obtained from 30 kg N/ha.

More exact experiments in limited areas may give a clearer picture of the effect of Azolla on the growth of rice and its N nutrition. It would be more meaningful if site characterization could explain success or failure of Azolla growth and predict fertilizer responses. Site characterization, however, is not always satisfactory. Other INSFFER activities met more or less similar difficulties. To meet the need of better site description, INSFFER organized a workshop on paddy soil classification in April 1983. The fertilizing effect of
Azolla has been demonstrated, but the problem is obtaining enough Azolla biomass (about 1.5 kg/m²) with little additional inputs (2). In almost all trials, pesticides and P fertilizers were applied. Some collaborators failed to grow Azolla. It may be that the network failed to pinpoint the feasibility of Azolla technologies in national programs.
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DISCUSSIONS

WEN QUI-XIAO: In the trials you discussed, was any phosphorus or potash fertilizer applied to the control?

WATANABE: Phosphorus was applied in all treatments to stimulate Azolla growth. The amount differed depending on the frequency of Azolla growth. Potassium was applied in most trials.

ESKEW: Did the control treatment include a simulated incorporation to evaluate the effect, of soil disturbance?

WATANABE: We will include the simulated incorporation treatment as one of control treatments in the next trials.

SHIOMI: I understand that an addition of Azolla plants increases rice yield. Will you please mention the effect of Azolla input into the ricefield on quality of rice grain (for example, some nutritive values)? Are the effects better than those of chemical fertilizers only?

WATANABE: So far, no data are available.
Insect pests of *Azolla* in the Philippines

O. MOCHIDA, Y. YOSHIYASU, AND D. DIMAANO
Department of Entomology
International Rice Research Institute
P.O. Box 933, Manila, Philippines

There are 12 insect pests of *Azolla* in the Philippines: five Diptera, three Coleoptera, and four Lepidoptera. The most important among them are the Lepidoptera: spinningworm *Ephesiopsis vishnu* and caseworm complex, *Elophila enixalis*, *E. nigralbalis*, and *E. responsalis*. The spinningworm and its life cycle are described, and the diagnostic characters of three caseworms and their life cycles are shown. Light trap catches of the caseworm complex and spinningworm adults were recorded at IRRI from Jun to Dec 1984. Their larval (2d instar and older) and pupal populations on *Azolla microphylla* and *A. pinnata* from Nov 1983 to Dec 1984 are shown. The standing biomass of *A. microphylla* (IRRI 418) averaged 1.03 kg fresh wt/m² in insecticide-treated plots and 0.67 kg/m² in untreated plots. The standing biomass of *A. microphylla* (IRRI 5) averaged 0.67 kg/m² in treated plots and 0.45 kg/m² in untreated plots. Spinningworm larval and pupal populations in untreated plots averaged 146/m² on *A. microphylla* and 135/m² on *A. pinnata*. Caseworm larval and pupal populations averaged 57/m² on *A. microphylla* and 48/m² on *A. pinnata*. Yield losses from insect pests on *A. microphylla* averaged 35% (ranged 6-74%) and 31% (range 13-57%) on *A. pinnata*. Yield loss was higher on *A. microphylla*, but biomass production still exceeded that of *A. pinnata* by 0.4 kg/m² in treated plots and 0.2 kg/m² in untreated plots.

Worldwide at least 31 insect species have been reported as pests of *Azolla*: 13 Diptera (all chironomids), 4 Coleoptera (a chrysomelid and 3 curculionids), 10 Lepidoptera (all pyralids), 2 Homoptera (both aphids), and 2 Orthoptera (1 paulinid and 1 tetrigid) (2, 6, 9, 11). In India, Vietnam, and China, Cryptoblabes, *Elophila* (=*Nymphula*), and chironomids are important pests of *Azolla* (7). In Thailand, *Azolla* loss due to insect pests is estimated at 37-80% (5).

In the Philippines, 3 Diptera chironomids (*Chironomus crassiforceps*, *C. javanus*, and *C. kiiensis*) and a Coleoptera curculionid (*Nanophyes* sp.) have been reported as pests of *Azolla* (4). Kikuchi et al (6) reported that insect pests were serious constraints to *Azolla* production in South Cotabato, Mindanao, although they did not identify important species. Pyralid larvae were first identified as serious pests of *Azolla* in South Cotabato and Laguna in 1982 (4). Spinningworms and caseworms have been regarded as serious pests of *Azolla* in Luzon during summer (I. Watanabe, IRRI, pen. comm.).
Based on 4 species described in the literature (3), and 9 species we confirmed from Dec 1982 to Feb 1985, we consider there are 12 insect pests of *Azolla* in the Philippines. (One of the four pests described in the literature was the same as one of the nine we confirmed.) Their distribution in the Philippines, level of damage if known, and known predators are listed below.

A. Diptera, Chironomidae

1. *Chironomus crassiforceps* Kieffer  
   Distribution: Luzon, Leyte, Mindanao  
   Damage to Azolla: Negligible

2. *Chironomus javanus* Kieffer  
   Distribution: Luzon

3. *Chironomus kiiensis* Tokunaga  
   Distribution: Luzon, Leyte, Mindanao  
   Damage to Azolla: Negligible

4. *Polypedilum anticum* Johannsen  
   Distribution: Luzon, Leyte  
   Damage to Azolla: Negligible

5. *Polypedilum suturalis* Johannsen  
   Distribution: Luzon  
   Damage to Azolla: Negligible

B. Coleoptera, Curculionidae

6. *Apion* sp.  
   Distribution: Luzon (Ifugao)  
   Damage to Azolla: Negligible

7. *Bugeus affinis* Hustache  
   Distribution: Luzon

8. *Nanophyes insularis* Hustache  
   Distribution: Luzon

C. Lepidoptera, Pyralidae

9. *Ephestiopsis vishnu* Roesler et Küppers [spinningworm]  
   Distribution: Luzon, Mindoro, Panay, Negros, Cebu, Bohol, Palawan, Leyte, Mindanao (Fig. 1)  
   Hosts: *Azolla microphylla* and *A. pinnata*  
   Damage to Azolla: Usually most serious  
   Natural enemies: *Apanteles* sp. (Hymenoptera, Braconidae)  
   W.R.M. Mason, pers. comm., 20 Dec 1984) parasitizes the larvae/pupae of the pyralid and parasitism was 1.5% (12 wasps from 856 hosts) at IRRI in 1983 and 1984)

---

1 In the Philippines, there are five predators of larvae. *Berosus* sp. Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae), *Cybister trioptatus orientalis* Gschwerdtner (Coleoptera, Dystiscidae), *Hydrophilus affinis* Sharp (Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae), *Laccophilus nr. insularis* Gentilli (Coleoptera, Dystiscidae), and *Sternolophus* sp. (Coleoptera, Hydrophilidae). Two spiders are known to prey on adults: *Argiope catenulata* (Doloschall) (Araneae, Araneidae) and *Lycosa pseudonnnulata* (Boesenberg et Strand) (Araneae, Lycosidae) (J.A. Litsinger and A.T Barrion, pers. comm.).
1. Distribution of the spinningworm *Ephestiopsis vishnu* on *Azolla* in the Philippines.
10. *Elophila enixalis* (Swinhoe) [caseworm]
   *Isopterix enixalis*
   *Nymphula osculatorix* Meyrick
   *Nymphula enixalis*
   Distribution: Luzon, Mindoro, Leyte, Negros, Mindanao (Fig. 2)
   Hosts: Larvae feed on *A. microphylla* and *A. pinnata*. Agassiz (1) recorded larvae feeding on many aquatic plants such as *Vallisneria*, *Synnema*, *Echinodorus*, *Potamogeton*, and others.
   Damage to *Azolla*: Serious
   Natural enemies: *Amauromorpha accepta metathoracica* Ashmead (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae), a parasitoid on larvae of *El. enixalis* and *El. nigralbalis*. Parasitism was estimated at less than 1% at IRRI in 1984. *Diplonychus rusticus* (Fabricius) (Heteroptera, Belostomatidae) was recorded as a predator of caseworm complex larvae at IRRI in 1983 and 1984.

11. *Elophila nigralbalis* (Caradja) [caseworm]
   *Nymphula nigralbalis*
   Distribution: Luzon, Mindoro, Panay, Negros, Cebu, Bohol, Leyte, Mindanao (Fig. 2)
   Host: *A. microphylla, A. pinnata imbricata, and A. pinnata pinnata*. Speidel (8) recorded *Lemna paucicostata, Spirodela polyrhiza, Salvinia natans, Marsilea quadrifolia, Eichhornia crassipes, and Pistia stratiotes* as hosts.
   Damage to *Azolla*: More serious than *El. enixalis* and *El. responsalis*
   Natural enemies: *Amauromorpha accepta metathoracica* Ashmead (Hymenoptera, Ichneumonidae), and *Diplonychus rusticus* (Fabricius) (Heteroptera, Belostomatidae).

12. *Elophila responsalis* (Walker) [caseworm]
   *Nymphula responsalis*
   Distribution: Luzon, Panay, Leyte, Cebu, Mindanao (Fig. 2)
   Hosts: *A. microphylla, A. pinnata, Lemna paucicostata, and Spirodela oligorrhiza. Pistia* sp. in Thailand (10)
   Damage to *Azolla*: less serious than *E. nigralbalis*
   Natural enemy: *Diplonychus rusticus* (Fabricius) (Heteroptera, Belostomatidae)

**DESCRIPTION AND BIOLOGY OF LEPIDOPTEROUS PESTS**

Four Lepidoptera are insect pests of *Azolla*. They are the spinningworm *Ephestiopsis vishnu* and three species of *Elophila* (caseworm complex). The adults of these four pests are shown in Figure 3. *Elophila enixalis, El. nigralbalis*, and *El. responsalis* can be distinguished by differences in the heads of 5th-instar larvae (Fig. 4), and by differences in wing patterns and genitalia of adult males (Table 1, Fig. 5) *El. nigralbalis* larvae prefer to feed on *Azolla*. 
2. Distribution of three caseworms *Elophila* spp. on *Azolla* in the Philippines.
3. Adult females of pyralid pests on Azolla.

4. Fifth-instar larval heads of Elophila spp. a) El. enixalis; b) El. nigralbalis; c) El responsalis.

*El. enixalis* feed on Azolla and other aquatic plants. *El. responsalis* feed on Lemna, Spirodela, and other vegetation such as decayed tree leaves.

**Ephestiopsis vishnu** Roesler et Kuppers

*Description.* Eggs are oval, somewhat translucent, about 0.35 mm long and 0.29 mm wide.

Newly hatched larvae are whitish, 1.1-1.2 mm long and 0.2 mm wide. The 2d-instar larvae are yellowish, and the 3d-instar larvae are pale greenish dorsally. The 4th- and 5th-instar larvae are dark green with yellow-brown heads.
Table 1. Identifying characters of adult males of three *Elophila* species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>El. enixaalis</em></th>
<th><em>El. nigralbalis</em></th>
<th><em>El. responsalis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forewing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior portion of postmedial line</td>
<td>Evenly curved</td>
<td>Angulate at middle</td>
<td>Almost straight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submarginal white area</td>
<td>Interrupted at middle</td>
<td>Almost absent</td>
<td>Distinct, continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>5.2 mm</td>
<td>5.4 mm</td>
<td>5.9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hindwing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial line</td>
<td>Evenly curved</td>
<td>Almost straight</td>
<td>Almost straight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial white area</td>
<td>Completely suffused with dark brown</td>
<td>Partly suffused with dark brown</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmedial line</td>
<td>Sinuate</td>
<td>Angulate at middle</td>
<td>Weakly sinuate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genitallis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tegumen</td>
<td>Longitudinal axis shorter than width, without a dorsal ridge</td>
<td>Longitudinal axis shorter than width, without a dorsal ridge</td>
<td>Longitudinal axis the same as width, with a dorsal ridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valva</td>
<td>Ampulla with 5 (4 in some cases) well developed sickle-like processes</td>
<td>Ampulla with 3 developed sickle-like processes</td>
<td>Ampulla without sickle-like processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phallus</td>
<td>With 2 plates of cornuti, one of which is furnished with a thick thorn</td>
<td>With 2 plates of cornuti, one of which is furnished with a small thorn</td>
<td>Without cornuti</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Male genitalia of *Elophila* spp. Whole genitalis, lateral view. a) *El. enixaalis*; b) *El. nigralbalis*; c) *El. responsalis*. 

---

Table content:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forewing</th>
<th><em>El. enixaalis</em></th>
<th><em>El. nigralbalis</em></th>
<th><em>El. responsalis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Posterior portion of postmedial line</td>
<td>Evenly curved</td>
<td>Angulate at middle</td>
<td>Almost straight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submarginal white area</td>
<td>Interrupted at middle</td>
<td>Almost absent</td>
<td>Distinct, continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>5.2 mm</td>
<td>5.4 mm</td>
<td>5.9 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hindwing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hindwing</th>
<th><em>El. enixaalis</em></th>
<th><em>El. nigralbalis</em></th>
<th><em>El. responsalis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medial line</td>
<td>Evenly curved</td>
<td>Almost straight</td>
<td>Almost straight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial white area</td>
<td>Completely suffused with dark brown</td>
<td>Partly suffused with dark brown</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmedial line</td>
<td>Sinuate</td>
<td>Angulate at middle</td>
<td>Weakly sinuate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Genitallis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genitallis</th>
<th><em>El. enixaalis</em></th>
<th><em>El. nigralbalis</em></th>
<th><em>El. responsalis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tegumen</td>
<td>Longitudinal axis shorter than width, without a dorsal ridge</td>
<td>Longitudinal axis shorter than width, without a dorsal ridge</td>
<td>Longitudinal axis the same as width, with a dorsal ridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valva</td>
<td>Ampulla with 5 (4 in some cases) well developed sickle-like processes</td>
<td>Ampulla with 3 developed sickle-like processes</td>
<td>Ampulla without sickle-like processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phallus</td>
<td>With 2 plates of cornuti, one of which is furnished with a thick thorn</td>
<td>With 2 plates of cornuti, one of which is furnished with a small thorn</td>
<td>Without cornuti</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The pupae are brown, about 6-7 mm long, with slightly expanded spiracles.

Forewings of males are grayish dark-brown, those of females a little darker.

**Life cycle.** The egg stage is 3 d, larval stage 12 d, and pupal stage 5 d on *A. pinnata* at 27 ± 3°C. The period from egg deposition to emergence is about 20 d.

Females deposit an average of 311 (range 246-370) eggs. Adult male moths survive 3 or 4 d; adult females about 5 d (range 3-7 d).

**Elophila enixalis** (Swinhoe)

*Description.* Eggs are oval, somewhat flattened, and creamy white.

First-instar larvae have yellowish-white thoracic and abdominal parts with pale-brown heads. The anterior and posterior portions of the bodies of 3d-instar larvae are brownish. Their heads are pale brown, with obscure, darker spots at the bases of setae. The bodies of 4th- and 5th- (or 6th-) instars are milky-white with anterior and posterior portions somewhat darker; heads remain pale brown. The 3d-instar and older larvae develop water-resistant structures on their body surfaces but no tracheal gill. Most larvae pupate after the 4th molting, but a few pupate after the 5th molting. Pupae are uniformly pale brown.

Adult males are light brown to fulvous; females are slightly darker.

**Life cycle.** The egg stage is 4 d, larval stage 21 d, and pupal stage 6 d on *A. pinnata* at 27 ± 3°C. The period from egg deposition to emergence is about 31 d. Most larvae pupate after the fourth molting, but a few pupate after the fifth molting. Females deposit an average of 252 (range 196-346) eggs. Adult male and female moths survive 4 or 5 d (range 2-7 d).

**Elophila nigralbalis** (Caradja)

Adult females usually deposit their eggs singly on the underside of lower leaf lobes below the water surface, unlike the females of *El. enixalis* and *El. responsalis*, which deposit egg masses. The egg stage is about 5 d, larval stage 19 d, and pupal stage 7 d on *A. pinnata* at 27 ± 3°C.

The period from egg deposition to emergence is about 31 d. Most larvae pupate after the fourth molting, but a few pupate after the fifth molting. Females deposit an average of 236 eggs (range 54-414 eggs). Adult male moths survive for about 3 d (range 1-4 d); females survive for about 4 d (range 2-6 d).

**Elophila responsalis** (Walker)

The egg stage is 5 d, larval stage 22 d, and pupal stage 7 d on *A. pinnata* at 27 ± 3°C. The period from egg deposition to emergence is about 34 d. Most larvae pupate after the fourth molting, but a few pupate after the fifth molting. Females deposit an average of 344 eggs (range 253-478 eggs). Adult male moths survive for about 3 d (range 1-6 d); females survive for about 5 d (range 3-6 d).
SEASONAL ABUNDANCE OF FOUR MAJOR INSECT PESTS

Seasonal fluctuations of adult moths caught by a special light trap (10 W blue-black fluorescent lamp equipped with an electric fan) are shown in Figure 6. The number of adults of the caseworm complex caught was higher in July than in other months, whereas that of the spinningworm was higher in June.

Larval (2d- to final-instar) and pupal populations of the caseworm complex and the swimmingworm were monitored on *A. microphylla* (IRRI Acc. No. 418) and *A. pinnata* (No. 5).

*A. microphylla* was inoculated in 8 plots (2.5 × 3.5 m) at IRRI farm at a rate of 0.5 kg fresh wt/m² on 16 Oct 1983. All plots were cleaned between 30 Mar and 15 Apr 1984 and reinoculated on 16 Apr. Four plots were treated with monocrotophos 30% EC at a rate of 0.75 kg ai/ha at 2 wk intervals. Whenever *Azolla* grew well and covered more than 75% of the water surface, enough *Azolla* was removed to keep at least 25% of the water surface open.

Neither larvae nor pupae of caseworm complex or spinningworm were found in treated plots. The larval and pupal populations of both, however, varied considerably in untreated plots (Fig. 7).

*A. pinnata* was inoculated in the same manner as *A. microphylla*. The larval and pupal populations of the caseworm and the spinningworm on *A. pinnata* are shown in Figure 8.

**AZOLLA BIOMASS AND YIELD LOSS**

Standing biomass in insecticide-treated and untreated plots of *A. microphylla* are shown in Figure 9, and those of *A. pinnata* in Figure 10.

*A. microphylla* covered more than 75% of the water surface of treated plots 9 times between November 1983 and December 1984. Enough was removed each time to reduce the area covered back to 75%. Total removed covered 9 m²
and fresh wt was 3.85 kg. Standing biomass averaged 1.03 kg/m² in treated plots and 0.67 kg/m² in untreated plots.

A. pinnata was removed eight times. Total removed covered 8 m² and total weight was 1.73 kg fresh wt. Standing biomass averaged 0.47 kg/m² in treated plots and 0.45 kg/m² in untreated plots (Table 2).

Azolla grew well only in treated plots; in untreated plots it never covered more than 75% of the water surface.

Yield loss caused by insect pests was estimated by the following formula:

\[
\text{Yield loss (\%) = (1.00 - \text{the standing-biomass values in untreated plots/those in treated plots}) \times 100}
\]

The weekly yield loss averaged 35% or A. microphylla and 31% or A. pinnata (Table 2).
Nine insecticides were laboratory-tested in liquid formulations at 0.75 kg ai/ha. Against spinningworms, methomyl 18% EC showed 80% mortality after 48 h, and monocrotophos 30% EC showed 75% mortality. Against caseworms, methomyl 18% EC showed 88% mortality; triazophos 40% EC, 85%; diazinon 20% EC, 75%; carbofuran 12% F, 73%; and monocrotophos 30% EC, 70%.

Seven granular insecticides, laboratory-tested at a concentration of 50 ppm ai, showed 85% mortality or higher against spinningworms. They were: phenthoate + MIPC 3 + 3% G and propaphos 5% G, 98%; cartap 4% G and ethoprop 10% G, 95%; carbofuran 3% G and diazinon 5% G, 93%; and benfuracarb 5% G, 85%. Isazophos 10%, carbosulfan 5% G, and profenofos 3% G showed 78% or less mortality.


**EFFECTIVE INSECTICIDES AGAINST 4TH-INSTAR LARVAE OF THE SPINNINGWORM AND THE CASEWORM COMPLEX**
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Table 2. Standing biomass of two *Azolla* species, larval and pupal populations of the spinningworm and the caseworm complex, and yield loss at IRRI farm from November 1983 to December 1984.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide treatment</th>
<th>Standing biomass (kg fresh wt/m²)</th>
<th>(No. larvae + pupae)/m²</th>
<th>Estimated yield loss (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Av Range</td>
<td>Spinningworm Av Range</td>
<td>Caseworm Av Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. microphylla</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>1.03 0.62-1.53</td>
<td>0.0 0.0-522.3</td>
<td>0.0 2.5-315.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>0.67 0.43-1.19</td>
<td>146.0 0.0-522.3</td>
<td>56.8 2.5-315.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. pinnata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated</td>
<td>0.67 0.44-1.24</td>
<td>0.0 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>0.45 0.30-0.72</td>
<td>134.8 5.8-621.5</td>
<td>48.0 0.0-246.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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DISCUSSION

DIARA: Can you confirm that any of the Azolla pests are not pests of rice?

MOCHIDA: In the Philippines, insect pests of Azolla never attack rice.

KANNAIYAN: 1) Do you have any information on the resistance or tolerance of different Azolla species to insect pests or snails? 2) Which is the most serious pest of Azolla? 3) Do you think the plant protection measures for the rice crop are sufficient to control insect pests in an intercropping system with Azolla? 4) What ecological conditions favor the outbreak of insect pests?

MOCHIDA: 1) In the Philippines, insect pests eat some Azolla species more rapidly than they do others, but eventually they eat all of them. That means there is no Azolla species or main tolerant of or resistant to Ephestiopsis vishnu as far as we observed. 2) In the Philippines, Ephestiopsis vishnu is usually much more important than snails. 3) After rice is transplanted, yes. 4) I do not know.

LADHA: Do you think that if we continuously use Azolla, the number and types of Azolla pests will increase?

MOCHIDA: Yes, whenever and wherever we maintain Azolla continuously on a large scale, pest problems may increase.

LIN SHIH-RU: You mentioned many names of natural enemies of Pyralis and Nymphula. Would you write them out for me?

MOCHIDA: Pyralis is an invalid genus name. I will try to list them.

LUMPKIN: Have you ever applied benomyl, PCNB, or other fungicides to prevent insect attack on Azolla?

MOCHIDA: No.

GREENLAND: What quarantine procedures should be followed in distributing Azolla?

MOCHIDA: Against insect pests on Azolla pinnata imbricata phenthoate + MIPC, propathos, ethoprop, carbofuran, and benfuracarb granules are added to Azolla colonies at a rate of 25 ppm, Against those on A. microphylla, they are used up to a maximum rate of 50 ppm. Diazinon should be used at lower rates. The phytotoxicity of these insecticides is higher on A. pinnata imbricata than on A. microphylla.
Determination of amount of N₂ fixation and change in N₂-fixing activity of Azolla in natural environment

LI ZHUO-XIN, ZU SHOU-XIAN, MAO MEI-FEI, WANG FU-LAI, AND ZHAO BING-BO
Institute of Soil and Fertilizers
Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Hanzhou, Shejiang, China

Variations in the N₂-fixing activity of Azolla by species and weather, and the effect of light intensity and temperature on Azolla N₂-fixing activity were studied. A direct method for measuring N₂-fixing activity without changing the ecological conditions or growth of Azolla is described. An experimental formula for calculating the daily amount of N₂ fixed by Azolla (g/g dry wt) is given:

\[ W = \frac{4(b + c) + 8(a + d)}{3} \times 28 \]

where a, b, c, and d are the N₂-fixing activity (mol C₂H₄/g per h) of Azolla successively determined at 0600, 1000, 1400, and 1800 h, respectively. The formula was derived from the successive determination of N₂-fixing activity in the natural environment. Optimum light intensity and growth temperature for N₂-fixing varies by Azolla sp. and season. That is why the amount of N₂ fixed by Azolla should be calculated on the basis of successive determinations. The reaction time for the determinations was found to be 10 min and a factor to convert the amount of fixed N₂ from that of reduced C₂H₂ was found to be 3. For gas chromatographic analysis, the ratio of \( N_{C2H4} / N_{C2H2} \) (content ratio) was not proportional to \( H_{C2H4} / H_{C2H2} \) (peak height ratio). This ratio should be determined experimentally.

Many researchers have reported the methods for measuring N₂-fixing activity of Azolla by acetylene reduction techniques (1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 12). There were, however, some scientific deficiencies in those methods. For example, the value of N₂-fixing activity of Azolla obtained from destructive measurement or from a one-time measurement differed greatly from the actual value in the natural environment. To understand regular variations of N₂-fixing activity of Azolla and the actual amount of N₂ fixation in the natural environment, we suggested in 1979 a direct measurement method that does not change the growth or environment of Azolla (7). At that time, the relation between N₂-fixing
activity of various *Azolla* species under various natural light intensities, temperatures, weather, and seasons was studied. The time and frequencies of determining N$_2$-fixing activity of *Azolla* and a formula for calculating N$_2$-fixing activity are reported in this paper.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six species of *Azolla* were used for this experiment: *Azolla imbricata* (collected from China), *A. filiculoides* (GDR), *A. microphylla* (Ecuador), *A. caroliniana* (USA), *A. nilotica* (Sudan), and *A. mexicana* (USA). The composition of N$_2$-free nutrient solution and cultural methods followed were those of Li Zhuo-xin et al (6). The *Azolla* mat used for determining N$_2$-fixing activity should be kept at a uniform density, i.e., a thin layer of *Azolla* floating on solution.

The assay chamber for the acetylene-reduction determination was a 7.6-cm ID and 12-cm-tall glass serum bottle with the bottom removed so it would rest on the bottom of the pot. At the beginning of each assay, a space of 100 cm$^3$ remained above the solution (the chamber ports were closed with serum stoppers) and 0.1 atm acetylene was injected into the chamber after which 1 cm$^3$ gas samples were extracted from the chamber in 10 min. Gas samples were stored in 1-cm$^3$ injectors, the tips of which were sealed with a plastic cement. Samples of 0.1 to 0.2 cm$^3$ were analyzed with a 104 type gas chromatograph made in Shanghai, China. The *Azolla* remained in situ, within floating plastic rings in the pots, to be used in next determination.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Nitrogenase activity**

The results obtained in 1 mo indicated that the *Azolla* growing in a natural environment fixed atmospheric N all day and its nitrogenase activity varied with environment. The relation between N$_2$-fixing activity of *Azolla* and light, temperature, and weather are shown in Figure 1. In a 24-h period there was a regular variation. N$_2$ fixation rose rapidly after sunrise and fell at sunset. Nitrogenase activity was lower on rainy days than on overcast days. It was highest on sunny days (Fig. 1). The activity on cloudy day was marked by peaks. N$_2$-fixing activity was inhibited when the light intensity and the temperature were below the limit for suitable growth of *Azolla* (Fig. ab).

Nitrogenase activity was highest in autumn, and successively lower in spring, summer, and winter. Figure 2 shows that nitrogenase activity of *A. filiculoides* was highest during winter and spring, those of *A. imbricata* and *A. microphylla* were highest during summer. This was because *A. filiculoides* is cold tolerant.

The optimum period for N$_2$ fixation of *Azolla* was between 1000 and 1400 h in spring, autumn, and winter; and from 0900 to 1000 h in the summer of
clear days. The N\textsubscript{2}-fixing activity of Azolla determined at any point of a day could not be referred to as nitrogenase activity for the whole day, nor could the actual amount of N\textsubscript{2} fixation be calculated from the N\textsubscript{2}-fixing activity during those time periods.

**Effect of light intensity and temperature on nitrogenase activity**

Temperature played a decisive role in the growth and propagation of Azolla, but nitrogenase activity was influenced by light intensity. Nitrogenase activity variation of Azolla was similar to that of its photosynthesis.

All species of Azolla had an optimum natural light intensity range of 48–62 klx (Fig. 2b, c). The optimum light intensity and temperature for N2-fixing activity differed only slightly by season for all species of Azolla. The light intensity and temperature required by cold-tolerant Azolla were slightly lower in spring and autumn than in other seasons, the reverse of these required by heat-tolerant Azolla. For example, the nitrogenase activity of cold-tolerant *A. filiculoides* was higher at 1200 h in spring and at 1000 h in summer and autumn, when light intensity ranged from 48 to 50 klx and temperature ranged from 23 to 28°C. Nitrogenase activity of heat-tolerant species such as *A. imbricata* and *A. microphylla* was highest between 1200 and 1400 h in spring, at 1000 h in summer, and at 1400 h in autumn. During these seasons light intensity was in the optimum range of 60–62 klx and optimum temperature
2. Relation between light, temperature, and N$_2$-fixing activity of different *Azolla* sp. in different seasons.

ranged from 25 to 30°C. In fact the light intensity and temperature were suitable for most *Azolla* species. In the different seasons, nitrogenase activity differed greatly between the same and different *Azolla* species despite the same light intensities and temperatures.
Because the Azolla was in the environment of any season for a long time and its metabolism changed, its response to light and temperature differed. For instance, because, A. filiculoides grew well in the relatively suitable climate of spring or autumn throughout a season, its nitrogenase activity reached 33.37-40.23 nmol C$_2$H$_4$/min per mg dry wt (Fig. 2a, c at 1200 and 1000 h). But in summer, the strong sunlight and high temperature were unfavorable for growth and nitrogenase activity dropped to a value of only 0.75 nmol/min per mg dry wt, although it may have been slightly higher in more suitable light and temperature for short periods.

Nitrogenase activity among Azolla species differed although the determination was made in the seasons suitable for their growth. Figures 1 and 2 show that the N$_2$-fixing activity of A. caroliniana was not too sensitive to light and temperature, so the variation of activity is not large, but the highest N$_2$-fixing activity for the other Azolla could be twice as high as that of A. caroliniana in suitable conditions.

Attention should be paid to the light saturation point of N$_2$-fixing activity of Azolla. For example, the N$_2$-fixing activity of A. filiculoides stopped temporarily when light intensity reached 100 klx and temperature reached 39°C, which was below the lethal temperature to Azolla. Its N$_2$-fixing activity recovered, however, at 1600 h when the light intensity declined gradually to normal, but the temperature remained unchanged (Fig. 2b at 1400 h). The phenomenon of A. rubra (collected from Tokyo, Japan) was also present (6). Under artificial light, the light saturation point of photosynthesis varied with environment, such as 6-14 klx (11) and 20-40 klx (13). Our results indicate that the light saturation point for photosynthesis might be similar to that of N$_2$ fixation (48-62 klx) because the Azolla cultured under natural light grew well.

**Determining and calculating the amount of N$_2$ fixed by Azolla**

Because the N$_2$-fixing activity of Azolla varies with environment, a direct method for determining the amount of N$_2$ fixed by Azolla, which does not disturb the original environment, is necessary. First, a series of successive measurements was made by this direct method.

The amount of N$_2$ fixed by Azolla in unit weight and unit time was calculated by the following formula:

\[
N = \frac{n}{a} \cdot Y \cdot K \cdot \frac{1}{wt}
\]

where: n = mole C$_2$H$_2$, a = C$_2$H$_4$:N$_2$, (here = 3), Y = the peak height ratio of C$_2$H$_2$, K = the ratio of N$_{C2H4}$/N$_{C2H2}$ to H$_{C2H4}$/H$_{C2H2}$, w = Azolla wt, and t = reaction time.

Second, the amount of N$_2$ fixed by Azolla was calculated from the results of successive determination. Recently, some reports have indicated that the values of N$_2$ fixed by Azolla obtained from Equation 1 were not consistent with those obtained by Kjeldahl’s method or by the $^{15}$N method. Becking (3)
and Peters et al (9) reported the ratio $a$ of $C_2H_4$ to $N_2 \neq 3$. Hence, we determined the reaction time of acetylene reduction, the value $K$, the ratio of $N_{C_2H_4} \cdot N_{C_2H_2}^{-1}$ (content ratio) to $H_{C_2H_4} \cdot H_{C_2H_2}^{-1}$ (peak height ratio) and the value $a$.

The reaction time of nitrogenase reducing acetylene was reported to take 30-90 min in some references, but took only 10 min by our method (Fig. 3), with the temperature and moisture inside and outside the reaction bottle nearly the same. Nitrogenase activity decreased if reaction time was increased, especially under strong sunlight. At 1200 h on a summer day, the temperature and moisture inside the reaction bottle were much higher than outside the bottle so that objective fact could not be demonstrated.

Generally, the value $K$ is believed to be constant, but measurements by the internal standard method indicated it is a function. The curves of content ratio to peak height ratio were linear only within 30 nmol (Fig. 4). However, the contents of $C_2H_4$ exceeded 30 nmol in the actual determination, so the value $K$ must be listed beforehand, according to the ratio of peaks height of samples. A proper value for $K$ is selected to calculate exactly the $N_2$-fixing activity of *Azolla*.

The value $a$ (ratio of amount of $C_2H_4$, to $N_2$) measured by the direct method every 2 h for 3 consecutive days and nights showed that $a = 3$, in conformity with the results obtained from Kjeldahl’s method. The standard variance of $a$ was only ±0.16 (Table 1, 2). Twelve measurements during a day and night were too many. From many measurements, it was known that the $N_2$-fixing activity of *Azolla* varied regularly throughout the day regardless of season or weather. Generally, the $N_2$-fixing activity increased gradually from
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3. Effect of $C_2H_2$ reduction time on $N_2$-fixing activity of different species of *Azolla*.
daybreak to 1000 h or so and decreased sharply from 1400 to 1800 h, declining slowly throughout the night. Hence, few measurements are required during the night, and a decrease in the number of daytime measurement is also possible.

4. Peaks of C$_2$H$_4$ and C$_2$H$_2$ vs their amounts.

Table 1. Determination of a (ratio of C$_2$H$_4$ to N$_2$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$A_{zolla}$ species $^a$</th>
<th>Kjeldahl’s method $^b$</th>
<th>Acetylene reduction method $^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nmol N/mg dry wt per day</td>
<td>mg N/g dry wt per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nmol C$_2$H$_4$/mg dry wt per day</td>
<td>mg N/g dry wt per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of a</td>
<td>$^d$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. nilotica</em></td>
<td>430</td>
<td>12.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1271</td>
<td>11.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. microphylla</em></td>
<td>591</td>
<td>16.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1845</td>
<td>17.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. caroliniana</em></td>
<td>561</td>
<td>15.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>14.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. mexicana</em></td>
<td>575</td>
<td>15.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1723</td>
<td>16.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. imbricata</em></td>
<td>711</td>
<td>19.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2319</td>
<td>21.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. filiculoides</em></td>
<td>770</td>
<td>21.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>20.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{x} \pm SD$</td>
<td>606 $\pm$ 121</td>
<td>16.94 $\pm$ 3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1325 $\pm$ 388</td>
<td>17.05 $\pm$ 3.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                           | 3.01 $\pm$ 0.16         | $^d$ There were 4 replications for each species. $^b$ Assayed after 3 d cultivation. $^c$ Sum of measurements tested 36 times at 2-h intervals.
Table 2. \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation measured by different methods.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mg N/g dry wt per day</th>
<th>mg N/g dry wt per day</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measured 12 times a day(^b)</td>
<td>Measured 4 times a day(^c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.48±2.68</td>
<td>18.68±2.48</td>
<td>18.60±2.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) No. of replications = 16. \(^b\) Method as described in Table 1. \(^c\) Av of four measurements (at 0600, 1000, 1400, and 1800 h). The calculation was based on the formula

\[ w_2 = \frac{4(b+c) + 8(a+d)}{3} \times 28 \times 10^{-3} \]

Table 3. Comparison of \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation measured at different intervals under different weather conditions.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weather</th>
<th>C(_2)H(_2) reduction activity (nmol C(_2)H(_4)/mg dry Wt per day)</th>
<th>Amount of ( \text{N}_2 ) fixation (mg N(_2)/g dry wt per day)</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measured 12 times a day</td>
<td>Measured 4 times a day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>2089 ± 342</td>
<td>2160 ± 432</td>
<td>19.51 ± 3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overcast</td>
<td>1325 ± 248</td>
<td>1299 ± 261</td>
<td>12.41 ± 2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainy</td>
<td>1260 ± 310</td>
<td>1295 ± 365</td>
<td>11.76 ± 2.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) 24 replications for each treatment.

Measurement data indicated that the total \( \text{N}_2 \)-fixing activity of \textit{Azolla} during 24 h was equal to the sum of the total average value obtained from 0600 to 1000, from 1000 to 1400, from 1400 to 1800, and from 1800 to 0600, multiplied by each time interval.

The calculation formula is as follows:

\[ W_1 = \frac{(a+b)t}{2} + \frac{(b+c)t}{2} + \frac{(c+d)t}{2} + \frac{(d+a)t'}{2} \ldots (1) \]  

(Eq. 2)

where: \( a, b, c, \) and \( d \) = the \( \text{N}_2 \)-fixing activity of \textit{Azolla} determined at 0600, 1000, 1400, and 1800 h, respectively; \( t = 4 \) h, \( t' = 12 \) h, and \( W_1 \) = total \( \text{N}_2 \)-fixing activity of \textit{Azolla} during 24 h.

Equation 2 can be simplified as follows:

\[ W_1 = 4(b+c) + 8(a+d) \cdot (\text{nmol C}_2\text{H}_4/\text{mg dry wt per day}) \]  

(Eq. 3)

The formula for the total amount of \( \text{N}_2 \) fixed by \textit{Azolla} during 24 h is:

\[ W = \frac{4(b+c) + 8(a+d)}{3} \cdot 28 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg N/g dry wt per day} \]  

(Eq. 4)

Its result is in conformity with the results obtained from Kjeldahl’s method and from measurements in intervals of every 2 h.

Equation 4 can be divided into two parts, \( 2(a+b) + 4(b+c) \) and \( 6(a+d) \). The first expression is the \( \text{N}_2 \)-fixing activity of \textit{Azolla} in daytime and the second is its \( \text{N}_2 \)-fixing activity during the night. The formulas are accurate for
any weather (Table 3). In spring or autumn, *Azolla* doubling time is 3 d. If weather remains fairly constant over some time, the actual amount of N\textsubscript{2} fixed by *Azolla* equals the amount of N\textsubscript{2} fixation obtained from the formulas and multiplied by its weight increase during the period.
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Use of $^{15}$N in N$_2$ fixation and N cycling studies of *Azolla*
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The stable isotope of N, $^{15}$N, has been used for many purposes in *Azolla* research. In physiological studies $^{15}$N has been used to demonstrate excretion of fixed N by the *Anabaena azollae* endosymbiont and its utilization of the *Azolla* macrosymbiont. Reported conversion ratios of C$_2$H$_2$:N$_2$ reduction measured using $^{15}$N$_2$ vary from 1.7:1 to 7.9:1. Attempts have been made to adapt the $^{15}$N isotope dilution technique to measure N$_2$ fixation by *Azolla* in rice floodwater. Preliminary indications are that 80% or more of *Azolla* N is derived from N$_2$ fixation. Pot and field studies using $^{15}$N-labeled *Azolla* indicated that 20-30% of *Azolla* N was taken up by the first rice crop, and N recovery from *Azolla* and urea were similar in field studies. A time course study using $^{15}$N, however, revealed that the patterns of N uptake by rice from *Azolla* and urea were different. Uptake of urea-N occurred primarily within 30 d of application, whereas the major uptake of *Azolla* N occurred between 30 and 60 d. Future studies using $^{15}$N should concentrate on developing management practices that optimize the use of *Azolla* N by rice.

The stable isotope of nitrogen, $^{15}$N, has found several applications in studies of N$_2$ fixation and N cycling by the *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis. These can be divided into 4 main areas: 1) studies of the biochemistry and physiology of the symbiosis, 2) determination of acetylene reduction to N$_2$ fixation conversion ratios, 3) measurement of N$_2$ fixation by the $^{15}$N isotope dilution method, and 4) tracing the mineralization of the N contained in the *Azolla* biomass and its uptake by rice.

**PHYSIOLOGY AND BIOCHEMISTRY**

By isolating packets of the *Anabaena* symbiont after enzymatic digestion of the *A. caroliniana* Willd. leaves and exposing the isolated algae to $^{15}$N$_2$, it was possible to demonstrate that up to 50% of the $^{15}$N assimilated by the *Anabaena* endosymbiont was excreted into the medium as NH$_4^+$ (12). However, only low levels of NH$_4^+$ could be found in the intact *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis, and $^{15}$N was rapidly incorporated into ethanol insoluble fractions (14). These
results, coupled with data on the distribution of glutamine synthetase and glutamate dehydrogenase activities between the symbionts, indicate that much of the N fixed by the *Anabaena* endosymbiont is used by the *Azolla* macrosymbiont for its growth (17).

Kaplan and Peters (7) employed $^{15}$N$_2$ to study the distribution of fixation activity in relation to leaf development. Uptake of $^{15}$N$_2$ and acetylene reduction activity were very low in the apical region where no heterocysts were observed, increased rapidly with leaf maturity, and declined in senescent regions. Pulse-chase studies demonstrated that $^{15}$N$_2$ fixed in mature leaves containing endophytes with differentiated heterocysts was rapidly translocated to stem apices. Enrichment of the apex doubled within 60 min after the end of a 30-min $^{15}$N$_2$ exposure. Liu and Chen (9) used $^{15}$N$_2$ exposures to study NH$_4^+$ excretion by intact *Azolla imbricata* Roxb. They found that 4-21% of the $^{15}$N$_2$ fixed could be excreted into the media in 3 d.

**ACETYLENE REDUCTION: N$_2$ FIXATION CONVERSION RATIOS**

The reported C$_2$H$_2$:N$_2$ conversion values range from 1.7:1 to 7.9:1, and vary between species (6), with N$_2$ partial pressure (16), with the duration of the assay (2), and with age of the culture [(21), (Table 1)]. It is obvious that a single, universal factor for converting C$_2$H$_2$ reduction activity to N$_2$ fixed cannot be used under all conditions. Probably the most valid conversion factor will be obtained using a pN$_2$ approximating that of air and with all other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio of C$_2$H$_2$:N$_2$</th>
<th>Assay conditions</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration (h)</td>
<td>p N$_2$ (atm)</td>
<td>Age (d) of <em>Azolla</em> culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2:1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0:1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7:1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.77:1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.38:1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4:1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0:1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6:1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3:1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9:1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7:1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4:1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6:1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4:1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a Acetylene reduction assays were conducted under identical conditions using a p C$_2$H$_2$ of 0.1-0.15 atm. b nr = not reported. c N$_2$ fixation was measured by increase in total N per g fresh weight. In all other cases, $^{15}$N$_2$ incorporation was measured.
factors, including incubation time, as close to the same for both $^{15}$N$_2$ and C$_2$H$_2$ exposures as possible (16). Exposure period should be kept as short as possible. If a $^{15}$N$_2$ enrichment of more than 20% is used, a 1-h exposure should produce a measurable $^{15}$N enrichment.

MEASURING N$_2$ FIXATION BY $^{15}$N ISOTOPE DILUTION

Although the Azolla-Anabaena symbiosis grows rapidly in N-free nutrient solution, it has been shown that Azolla does have the ability to assimilate N from NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^-$, or urea (13). Thus, because Azolla often has been considered as a weed, it is desirable to establish what fraction of the N accumulated by Azolla in the field is derived from N$_2$ fixation, and what fraction from the soil and floodwater would represent competition with the rice crop. The $^{15}$N isotope dilution method has been used extensively to measure the percentage of N derived from the atmosphere (% N$_{dia}$) by leguminous crops (4,23). A few attempts have been made to adapt this technique for use with Azolla, but no thoroughly convincing results are available yet.

Ideally the $^{15}$N isotope dilution technique is performed by growing a non-N$_2$-fixing control plant and testing the N$_2$-fixing symbiosis in a medium that is uniformly enriched with $^{15}$N with regard to both space and time. If no N$_2$ is fixed from the atmosphere, then an additional source of N is available for the fixing plant, which would result in a dilution of its $^{15}$N enrichment. The % N$_{dfa}$ is then calculated by the equation (4):

$$\% N_{dfa} = 1 - \frac{\%^{15}N \text{ atom excess fixing plant}}{\%^{15}N \text{ atom excess nonfixing plant}} \times 10$$

Several problems with the application of this technique to measure the % N$_{dfa}$ for Azolla have not been overcome. Witty (23) developed a computer model which indicated that large errors in measuring N$_2$ fixation by legumes using the $^{15}$N isotope dilution technique could occur if the $^{15}$N enrichment of plant available N in the soil dropped rapidly. The greatest errors would occur when the time pattern of N uptake by the N$_2$-fixing legume and control plant differed significantly. It has been reported that N added to the floodwater as (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ or urea is lost from the floodwater within 3-9 d (3,18). This results in a rapid change in the $^{15}$N enrichment of the floodwater over time. Any method that results in a more uniform $^{15}$N enrichment in the floodwater over time would reduce this source of error. Potential methods are to make several sequential additions of $^{15}$N-labeled fertilizer, to mix a highly enriched fertilizer into the soil and wait for it to equilibrate with the soil N pool, or to use $^{15}$N-labeled organic matter or another slow release form of N.

Watanabe and Talukdar (pers. comm.) mixed 1.48 g N/m$^2$ as (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ at 17.8% $^{15}$N atom excess into 15 cm of soil in concrete tanks of 1 m$^2$ surface area, and allowed it to stand for 1 mo. They then grew 3 cycles of A. pinnata R.
Brown and *Lemna minor* L. in the next 143 d. Both plants were sufficiently labeled with $^{15}$N to allow calculation of % Ndfa. In the first and third cycles, *Lemna* growth was very poor and the N contained in the inoculum was a significant fraction of the total N harvested. Attempts to correct for dilution of $^{15}$N by the inoculum N gave anomalous results. Only in the second cycle, when *Azolla* and *Lemna* were grown together with rice, was N accumulation by *Lemna* adequate to overcome the effect of inoculum N. In this case % Ndfa for *Azolla* was estimated to be 85%.

Kumarasinghe (unpublished results) used both *Lemna minor* and *Salvinia auriculata* L. as control plants to estimate % Ndfa for *A. caroliniana*. Calculations based on either control gave 80% Ndfa. In this experiment, however, a single addition of $^{15}$N-labeled urea was made at the beginning of a 28-d period, and growth of both controls was poor, thus the results can only be considered preliminary.

Care must also be taken that the amount of N added does not inhibit N$_2$ fixation. Peters et al (13) reported that *A. caroliniana* grown in nutrient solutions containing 35 ppm N as NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, or urea derived 86%, 70%, or 69% of its N content from the atmosphere. Thus, N$_2$ fixation by the *Azolla-Anabaena* symbiosis is relatively resistant to repression by combined N, and this should not be a major problem in the use of the isotope dilution technique. N concentrations in the floodwater of unfertilized ricefields have been reported to be 1 ppm or less (3) and our observations have given similar results. Thus, in the field it seems likely that most of the *Azolla* N is indeed derived from N$_2$ fixation.

**MINERALIZATION AND N UPTAKE BY RICE**

Results of the INSFFER (5) trials have indicated that rice yield increases from incorporating 20 t of *Azolla* fresh wt/ha plus 30 kg N/ha as urea were equivalent to those from 60 kg N/ha as urea. Talley and Rains (19) found that 40 kg N/ha incorporated as dried *A. filiculoides* Lam. produced a rice yield increase equivalent to an equal amount of N as ammonium sulfate, but incorporating 93 kg N/ha as dry *A. filiculoides* was only 70% as effective as ammonium sulfate. These results suggest that *Azolla* is roughly equivalent to chemical N fertilizers on a per kg N basis. These experiments, however, do not reveal how much of the N added was actually taken up by the rice, and it is possible that *Azolla* incorporation has other effects on rice growth besides supplying N.

Use of $^{15}$N-labeled *Azolla* can provide this information, and allow the fate of N added to the system as *Azolla* to be traced. Watanabe et al (20), using $^{15}$N, found that 26-28% of *Azolla* N was recovered by rice when dried *Azolla* was incorporated 30 or 53 d after transplanting (DT) but only 14% was recovered when incorporated 78 DT. A lower recovery, 13-15%, was observed 30 or 53 DT when *Azolla* was placed on the surface.
Mian (11) has conducted an extensive series of pot studies using \( ^{15} \text{N} \)-labeled \( A. \text{caroliniana} \). He found that 19% of \( \text{Azolla} \) N incorporated was recovered in 60 d by rice plants as compared to 61% recovery of N from ammonium sulfate. Of the \( \text{Azolla} \) N not recovered in rice, 7% was unaccounted for and was presumed to be lost by denitrification, and 74% remained in the soil in a form that could not be extracted as \( \text{NH}_4^+ \). Without rice plants, 93-96% of the N which was mineralized was lost by denitrification in 60 d. In the studies of Mian (11) and Watanabe et al (20) \( \text{Azolla} \) was dried and ground before incorporation. Kumarasinghe et al (8) found that N availability to rice from dried \( \text{Azolla} \) was 36% less than from fresh material. Thus, although it is easier to apply a stated amount of N using dried \( \text{Azolla} \), N availability to rice may be underestimated.

In a field experiment, Kumarasinghe et al (8) found that 32% of 144 kg N/ha added as \( A. \text{caroliniana} \) was recovered in the aboveground biomass of rice, in comparison to 26% of 100 kg N/ha added as urea. The difference was not statistically significant. The \( \text{Azolla} \) used in this study had been frozen for storage. Kumarasinghe et al (8) found that freezing \( \text{Azolla} \) also reduced N availability by 30%.

Using \( ^{15} \text{N} \) it is also possible to follow the time course of N uptake (Table 2). The \( \text{Azolla} \) used in these studies had also been frozen before incorporation. Comparison of the N percentage derived from fertilizer and fertilizer N recovery at 3 harvest dates showed that the major uptake of urea N occurred within 30 d after incorporation, but the major uptake of \( \text{Azolla} \) N occurred between 30 and 60 d. This suggests that combining the two sources may be beneficial for rice production. These results, however, were obtained under temperate climatic conditions and results may differ under tropical conditions with higher soil temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harvest DAF (DAF)</th>
<th>Dry matter yield (kg/ha)</th>
<th>( \text{Azolla} ) 96 kg N/ha</th>
<th>( \text{Urea} ) 60 kg N/ha</th>
<th>N derived from fertilizer (%)</th>
<th>Fertilizer N recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>196 ± 56</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>11.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>48 ± 4</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>2835 ± 384</td>
<td>43.2 ± 4.7</td>
<td>33.6 ± 6.8</td>
<td>40 ± 2</td>
<td>18 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>8500 ± 1100</td>
<td>75.0 ± 8.4</td>
<td>60.4 ± 7.9</td>
<td>27 ± 3</td>
<td>21 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{15} \text{N} \) in nutrient solution as previously described (8). Both \( \text{Azolla} \) and urea fertilizers were placed in 5-cm-deep furrows between rice rows and covered with soil on 8 Jun 1984. Plot size was 1 m\(^2\). Intermediate harvests were at 30 and 60 d after fertilizer application and the harvest at 125 d was at grain maturity. Values are means ± SE (\( n = 4 \)).

The experiment was conducted at the Research Institute for Irrigation, Szarvas, Hungary. The soil was ameliorated Meadow Solonetz Clay, pH 7.5. \( \text{Azolla caroliniana} \) was labeled with \( ^{15} \text{N} \) in nutrient solution as previously described (8). Both \( \text{Azolla} \) and urea fertilizers were placed in 5-cm-deep furrows between rice rows and covered with soil on 8 Jun 1984. Plot size was 1 m\(^2\). Intermediate harvests were at 30 and 60 d after fertilizer application and the harvest at 125 d was at grain maturity. Values are means ± SE (\( n = 4 \)).
Based on available results it is reasonable to assume that 80% or more of the Azolla N is derived from the atmosphere. A few carefully conducted $^{15}$N dilution experiments, however, are needed to substantiate this observation.

The major benefit to be gained from $^{15}$N studies is developing practices which optimize the availability of Azolla N to rice. Experiments examining the interaction of chemical fertilizer N sources and Azolla N are needed.

Studies by Baillonville et al (1) have shown that digestibility of A. filiculoides by sheep declined from 77% during exponential growth to 49% at later stages. This was correlated with an increase in lignin from 3% to 24%. Lumpkin et al (1982) found that A. pinnata gave the greatest rice yield increases, although a selection of A. filiculoides had accumulated more N. They speculated that this may have been due to different rates of decomposition related to chemical composition. The use of $^{15}$N-labeled Azolla should be very beneficial for evaluating the effect of culture age and chemical composition on N availability to rice.
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**DISCUSSION**

LADHA: How do you rule out the possibility that N₂ fixed by Azolla is released or excreted and taken up by Lemma or Salvinia? In the experiment where yous showed 80% N derived from fixation and 24 kg N was fixed, in how many days were these attained?

ESKEW: In the first experiment, this possibility cannot be eliminated, although the evidence for excretion is limited. In the second experiment, control fixing plants were grown in separate plots, thus, any NH₄⁺ excreted by Azolla would not be available to the control Salvinia. The 24 kg N was fixed in 26 d.
Decomposition of *Azolla* in the field and availability of *Azolla* nitrogen to plants

WEN QI-XIAO, CHENG LI-LI, AND SHI SHU-LIAN
Nanjing Institute of Soil Science
Academia Sinica
Nanjing, China

A 5-yr decomposition experiment and a 2-yr microplot experiment using nonlabeled and $^{15}$N-labeled *Azolla* and milk vetch were conducted to determine the decomposition rate, the composition of the humified products, and the fate of the N of these plant materials. *Azolla* decomposed significantly slower than milk vetch. After 5 yr about 36-39% of the added *Azolla* C remained in the soil under waterlogged conditions, and 21-22% under upland conditions. The mean annual decomposition rate of *Azolla* was estimated at 0.022-0.0267/yr for the resistant pool with a half-life of 26-37 yr under waterlogged conditions, and 0.0300-0.0467/yr with a half-life of 15-23 yr under upland conditions. The mineralization pattern of N was similar to that of C. Under waterlogged conditions, considerable amounts of the C and N derived from *Azolla* and retained in the soil were found in the light fraction. Fifty-four percent of the C and 43% of the N were retained after 6 mo; after 1 yr 42% of the C and 37% of the N were retained.

Results of the microplot experiment were consistent with those of the decomposition experiment. The contribution of *Azolla* to supplying N for the current crop and in building soil N reserves is discussed.

About 450 kg of atmospheric N/ha can be fixed by *Azolla* annually (8). Efficient use of *Azolla* requires a knowledge of its pattern of decomposition and transformation as they affect the current and subsequent crops.

While the positive effects of *Azolla* on rice yield and soil physical properties are well demonstrated, the underlying reactions of *Azolla* in soil are not as well known. Some short-term experiments have been reported, but very few data exist on the fate of residual C and N. This paper describes a decomposition experiment using nonlabeled *Azolla* and milk vetch applied to two soils. The release of C and N from these organic materials over 5 yr was determined. The data were compared with a microplot experiment using $^{15}$N-labeled *Azolla* and $^{15}$N-labeled milk vetch.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials
Nonlabeled Azolla and milk vetch were used in the decomposition experiment. $^{15}$N-labeled Azolla (N 5.01%, 11.21% $^{15}$N abundance) and $^{15}$N-labeled milk vetch (N 4.40%, 19.61% $^{15}$N abundance) were used in the microplot field experiment.

Two soils were used in the decomposition experiment: a Xiashu loess containing 0.09% C and 0.032% N (including 239 ppm fixed NH$_4^+$-N), and a Quaternary red clay containing 0.12% C and 0.034% N (including 138 ppm fixed NH$_4^+$-N).

The microplot field experiment was conducted in Wuxi County, Jiangsu Province, on a bleached paddy soil. The surface soil contained 1.17% C and 0.112% N at the beginning of the experiment in May 1981.

Decomposition experiment
The experiment was conducted in the field. The procedures followed were similar to those of Lin et al (6). An 8-g sample of plant material was added to each 100 g of soil, thoroughly mixed, and transferred into a carborundum tube. The tubes were fitted with covers that were fixed with rubberized fabric. Half of the samples were buried in the surface layer of a paddy field, and the other half were buried in an upland field. At intervals of 3, 6, 12, 36, and 60 mo after the experiment began, 3 tubes of each treatment were removed, air dried and ground to pass through a 60-mesh screen for analysis and densimetric fractionation.

Microplot field experiment
The microplot experiment was conducted in a paddy field where the 2-yr rotation was early rice - late rice - barley - single rice - wheat. The microplots were made by embedding a set of 20-cm diam plastic cylinders into soil to a depth of 25 cm, so that 10 cm remained above the soil surface. The plow layer (0-15 cm) was removed from each cylinder and replaced by an equivalent quantity of thoroughly mixed soil from an adjacent area to ensure uniformity of the surface soil of all microplots. In the organic manure treatments, $^{15}$N-labeled Azolla or milk vetch containing 467 mg N/microplot was thoroughly mixed with the soil before it was transferred into the cylinder. Three 22-day-old rice seedlings were transplanted in each cylinder after flooding the soil. All treatments except the check were replicated three times; the check was replicated four times. Throughout the experiment the same crop was grown in the cylinders as in the neighboring field to provide a buffer area. Late rice, barley, single rice, and wheat were grown successively from August 1981 to May 1983 without fertilizer N.

At each harvest, the mature crops were removed and grain and straw were separated. The 0-15 cm soil layer was quantitatively removed. A small representative soil sample was taken after the roots were removed as
completely as possible by hand. Soils from different microplots of each treatment were then combined, mixed thoroughly with additional P and K fertilizers, and transferred into the cylinder for cultivating the succeeding crop. To the microplot from which the soil sample was taken, an equal volume of finely powdered clean quartz was added to keep the soil level in the cylinder the same as that of the outside.

In May 1983 the immature wheat plants, which had stopped growing due to N starvation, were harvested and the cylinders removed. After root removal, the soil was air dried and subsamples were taken in the usual manner.

Soil samples for analysis were dried and ground to pass through 60-mesh screen. Grain, straw, and roots were dried at 60°C, weighed, and ground in a mill using a 0.25-mm sieve.

**Incubation experiment**

Each 10-g soil sample was transferred into 120 x 15 mm tube. Enough water was added to the tube to maintain a water depth of about 1 cm. Samples were incubated at 30°C in the dark for 4 wk, and then the ammonium mineralized was determined.

**Densimetric fractionation**

Portions of Ture solution (HgI₂ + KI), specific gravity 1.8, were added to centrifuge tubes containing soil samples and vibrated in a mechanical shaker for 1 h. The soil sample was thus fractionated into a light fraction (specific gravity <1.8) and a heavy fraction (specific gravity >1.8) by centrifuge. Both fractions were washed with KI and alcohol, dried, and ground to pass through a 60-mesh screen for analysis.

**Chemical determination**

Organic C was determined by Turin’s method, total N of both soils and organic materials by the Kjeldahl method, and exchangeable and fixed ammonium by Bremner’s method (2, 13).

Isotope ratio was determined on a ZHT-01 mass spectrometer. Nitrogen was released by reaction with alkaline hypobromite.

Carbohydrates were first extracted by 24N H₂SO₄ at room temperature for 2 h and then by 1N H₂SO₄ at 100°C for 5 h. Hexoses were determined by the anthrone method (9) and pentoses by the acetate-aniline method (16).

Humic substances were extracted by 0.1M Na₄P₂O₇-0.1N NaOH solution. Isolation of humic acid and determination of the optical density were done by the conventional method (3).

**RESULTS**

**Decomposition rate in carborundum experiment**

_Azolla_ decomposes much more slowly than straw or leguminous green manure such as milk vetch, irrespective of the climatic conditions and soil type under
1. Decomposition of Azolla and milk vetch in Xiashu loess under waterlogged condition.

which it is decomposed (7). This held true in our experiment not only in the early stage of decomposition, but in the 3d - 5th year of the experiment. In the Xiashu loess under waterlogged conditions, about 46% of Azolla C was lost in the first year and only an additional 15% was mineralized in the last 4 yr. The corresponding values for milk vetch were 74 and 9% (Fig. 1). Under upland conditions, although the decomposition rate of Azolla was higher than that under waterlogged conditions, it was still less than that of milk vetch. About 78% of Azolla C was lost in 5 yr; the corresponding figure for milk vetch was about 88% (Fig. 2). In Quaternary red clay, the decomposition rate of Azolla was noticeably higher than that in the Xiashu loess under both waterlogged and upland conditions. It was still lower, however, than that of milk vetch (Figs. 3, 4).
Based on the assumption that the decomposition follows first-order kinetics, the rate constant of the resistant pool of *Azolla* under waterlogged conditions was 0.0267/yr with a half-life of 26 yr in Xiashu loess and 0.020/yr with a half-life of 35 yr in Quaternary red clay. The rate constant under upland conditions was 0.030/yr with a half-life of 23 yr in Xiashu loess and 0.0467/yr with a half-life of 15 yr in Quaternary red clay. Data of C loss of milk vetch at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, and 5 yr in Xiashu loess under waterlogged conditions and those in Quaternary red clay under upland conditions fit well into a straight line relation ($R^2 = 0.9690$ and 0.9287), indicating that the labile pool of milk vetch was almost completely decomposed in the first 3 mo after the experiment began. The rate constant of the resistant pool of milk vetch evaluated from the slope of regression was 0.0303/yr in Xiashu loess and 0.0444/yr in Quaternary red clay.
Azolla decomposed slowly largely because of its high lignin content. This was evidenced by the fact that under waterlogged conditions, about 18% of Azolla C and N originally applied was found in the undecomposed or partially decomposed form after 2 yr, while about 2% of milk vetch C was present in the light fraction (Tables 1, 2). The fact that the rate constant of the resistant pool of Azolla, in which less than 5% of the C could be identified in the light fraction after 2 yr, was almost equal to that of milk vetch, strongly suggests that the humified products of Azolla were as resistant to biodegradation as that of milk vetch.

**Mineralization of organic nitrogen**

The amount of Azolla N and milk vetch N retained in the soils followed the pattern of C retention. However, on a percentage basis more N than C
4. Decomposition of *Azolla* and milk vetch in Quaternary red clay under upland condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant material</th>
<th>Duration of experiment (mo)</th>
<th>Under waterlogged condition</th>
<th>Under upland condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Azolla</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>HF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>66.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Milk vetch</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>91.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a LF = light fraction, HF = heavy fraction.*
Table 2. Distribution of residual N in fractions, Xiashu loess.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant material</th>
<th>Duration of experiment (mo)</th>
<th>Under waterlogged condition</th>
<th>Under upland condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azolla</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk vetch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)LF = light fraction, HF = heavy fraction, n.d. = not determined.

Table 3. Mineralization of \textit{Azolla} N under waterlogged condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of experiment (mo)</th>
<th>Total N (ppm)</th>
<th>Fixed N(_{\text{NH}_4}) (ppm)</th>
<th>Exch. N(_{\text{NH}_4}) (ppm)</th>
<th>N mineralized (%)</th>
<th>Loss(^a) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xiashu loess</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2160</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1730</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary red clay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1720</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>64.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Amount of N lost (N\(_l\)) = N\(_m\) - (E\(_t\) - F\(_t\)). (For N\(_m\), E\(_t\), and F\(_t\), see text).

remained in the soils. This was attributed to: 1) the presence of exchangeable and fixed ammonium in the soil, and 2) the difference in the nature of the plant materials and their humified products.

The N in plant materials mineralized during any given period during the 5-yr experiment was estimated as follows:

\[
N_m = N_o - (N_t - E_t - F_t)
\]

where \(n_m\) is the amount of plant material N mineralized from the beginning of the experiment to time \(t\), and \(N_o\) is the amount of plant material N originally applied. \(N_t\) is the amount of N derived from plant material in the soil at time \(t\), \(E_t\) is the increment of exchangeable ammonium in soil at time \(t\), and \(F_t\) is the increment of fixed ammonium in soil at time \(t\). The results obtained, expressed in percentage of N originally applied, are given in Tables 3 and 4. Much less \textit{Azolla} N was mineralized in the Xiashu loess and in Quaternary red clay than milk vetch N, and the percentage of \textit{Azolla} N mineralized in Xiashu loess was
Table 4. Mineralization of milk vetch-N under waterlogged condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of experiment (mo)</th>
<th>Total N (ppm)</th>
<th>Fixed NH$_4$ (ppm)</th>
<th>Exch. NH$_4$ (ppm)</th>
<th>N mineralized (%)</th>
<th>Loss$^a$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xiashu loess</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>56.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>62.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>63.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary red clay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>56.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>65.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>72.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Amount of N lost ($N_l$) = $N_m$ - ($E_t$ - $F_t$) (For $N_m$, $E_t$, and $F_t$, see text).

Table 5. C and sugar content of the humified products of *Azolla* and milk vetch.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of experiment (mo)</th>
<th>Azolla</th>
<th>Milk vetch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hexoses (%)</td>
<td>Sugar-C (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organic N</td>
<td>Total C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

lower than that in Quaternary red clay during the entire experiment, especially in the early stage of decomposition. No difference in the percentage of milk vetch N mineralized between the two soils could be observed.

**Chemical characteristics of the humified products**

The C:N ratio of the humified products was lower than that generally found in soils and in milk vetch. This was in accordance with its relatively low content of neutral sugars (Table 5). The hexoses:pentoses ratio of the humified product of *Azolla* was higher than those of milk vetch and rice straw. It is not clear whether this was induced by the difference in sugar composition between these plant materials.

The optical density ($E_4$) of the newly formed humic acid derived from *Azolla* was significantly higher than that derived from milk vetch, indicating that the aromaticity of the humic acid derived from *Azolla* was higher than that from milk vetch (Table 6). Presumably, this was because not only the products of deep destruction but also some larger fragments of lignin in the *Azolla* had taken part in the formation of humic acid.
Table 6. Fractional composition of humus derived from *Azolla* and milk vetch.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of experiment (mo)</th>
<th><em>Azolla</em></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th><em>Milk vetch</em></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extractability</td>
<td>HA/FA</td>
<td>E₄</td>
<td>Extractability</td>
<td>HA/FA</td>
<td>E₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More humic acid was found in the humified product of *Azolla* that was decomposed under upland conditions than that under waterlogged conditions, and, judging from the E₄, the aromaticity of the humic acid formed under upland conditions was slightly lower than that formed under waterlogged conditions.

**Nitrogen availability**

Consistent with decomposition experiment results, the microplot experiment revealed that the availability of *Azolla* N was significantly lower than that of milk vetch N. Only about 20% of *Azolla* N was recovered by early rice and 74% remained in the soil, while the corresponding figures for milk vetch were about 42% and 46% (Table 7).

Although a relatively large amount of *Azolla* N remained in the soil at the end of the first cropping season, an insignificant amount of it could be taken up by succeeding crops. Table 8 shows that only about 4.8% of ¹⁵N-labeled *Azolla* N was recovered by the second crop, and an additional 2.5% was recovered by the third and fourth crops. In the ¹⁵N-labeled milk vetch experiment, about 7.7% N was taken up by the second crop and 3.6% was taken up by the third and fourth crops (Table 8).

The N availability ratio, the ratio of percentage of residual N mineralized to percentage of soil N mineralized, is generally used to characterize the availability of residual N of fertilizers. Figure 5 shows that the availability of residual N of milk vetch was about 3 times that of soil N at the end of the first cropping season and, as expected, decreased gradually over time, being 1.8 times that of soil N at the end of the fourth cropping season. The availability of residual *Azolla* N was equal to that of soil N at the end of the first cropping season, and decreased to about 0.5 that of soil N at the end of the fourth cropping season (Fig. 5).

The plant recovery of residual N by the fourth crop was somewhat higher than that by the third crop (Table 8), which seems to contradict the results given in Figure 5, which show that the availability of residual N decreased regularly with time. The fact is that the mineralization rate of organic N was greater under flooded conditions than under upland conditions, and the accumulated temperature in the single rice-growing season was much higher than that in the barley growing season.
Table 7. Balance sheet of N from field experiment using $^{15}$N-labeled materials, % of $^{15}$N applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant material</th>
<th>Grain+straw</th>
<th>Roots</th>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azolla</td>
<td>19.23 ± 1.03</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.03</td>
<td>73.72 ± 3.70</td>
<td>6.32 ± 4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk vetch</td>
<td>39.74 ± 1.45</td>
<td>1.85 ± 1.20</td>
<td>46.16 ± 2.03</td>
<td>12.26 ± 2.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$a$ 466.8 mg N/microplot as labeled organic material.

Table 8. Recovery of residual N of $^{15}$N-labeled organic materials by successive crops, % of N originally applied (microplot experiment). $^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant material $^b$</th>
<th>2d crop (Late rice)</th>
<th>3d crop (Barley)</th>
<th>4th crop (Single rice)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azolla</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(6.56)</td>
<td>(1.27)</td>
<td>(3.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(16.64)</td>
<td>(3.54)</td>
<td>(6.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk vetch</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$a$ Figures in parentheses denote the plant recovery of organic manure-N expressed as the percentage of residual N in soil at the beginning of each cropping season. $^b$ 466.8 mg N/microplot as labeled organic material.

5. Change of availability of residual N.

CONCLUSION

The use of carborundum tubes in decomposition studies has many advantages. It eliminates the interference of plant roots. The mechanical removal of materials from the container or by soil fauna is prevented. The wall of the tube allows the microorganisms as well as water and air to pass freely, i.e., well-
controlled conditions are provided while the definition of a field experiment is not violated.

The possible drawback is that the decomposition rate may tend to slow as the participation of soil fauna in the decomposition process is excluded. Mineralization of organic N may also be affected by the absence of plant root activity (1).

The results of the decomposition experiment showed that lignin content profoundly affected the decomposition rate of plant materials, especially when decomposition proceeded under waterlogged conditions in which the activity of fungi and actinomycetes was restricted. Kononova and Alexandlova (4) found that the water-conducting xylem vessel walls of the cortex of timothy remained partly intact after the plant material had been allowed to decompose under aerobic conditions for 27 yr.

As a result of Azolla's relatively high lignin content, mineralization of organic N was restricted. Under waterlogged conditions, about 33% of the Azolla N originally applied was mineralized in 3 mo in the Xiashu loess, a figure about 50% of that of milk vetch N. Results from the microplot experiment also indicated that about 28% of Azolla K was mineralized in the first cropping season, while 58% of milk vetch N was mineralized. We agree with Shi et al (11, 12) who found that the availability of Azolla N to the rice plant was about 30-60% of that of milk vetch N.

Our results contradict incubation and greenhouse experiments conducted in the tropical region, which demonstrated that the availability of Azolla N was almost equal to that of ammonium sulfate; about 62-72% of the total N added was released in an incubation of 4-6 wk (10, 14, 15). Reasons for this discrepancy are not now known. It is unlikely that it can be accounted for by varietal differences of Azolla in chemical decomposition. Available data indicated that, although the chemical composition of Azolla varies widely by variety and by the season in which it is grown, lignin content never falls below 20% (5). Soil conditions affect decomposition greatly. However, it is not known whether this discrepancy was induced by the differences in soil conditions under which these experiments were conducted.

That the availability of residual N of organic materials was low and decreased over time is demonstrated. This has been well demonstrated in a greenhouse experiment (12), which also showed that even if the light fraction was considered, the N availability of newly formed humus derived from Azolla differed somewhat from that derived from milk vetch.

In our microplot experiment, the fifth crop in all the treatments suffered severely from N starvation. This does not imply that the residual N could not mineralize further. Rather it indicates that the amount of N released was too small to meet the needs of plant. Data presented in Table 5 indicated that even under waterlogged conditions, 63-66% of Azolla K was mineralized in 5 yr. It is evident that Azolla, although inferior to milk vetch as a N source for the current crop, may serve as a good resource for the buildup of soil N reserve. This is especially true when Azolla N is compared with chemical N fertilizer.
Taking priming effect as the result of biological interchanges, as much as 60% of the applied Azolla N was gained by the soil after the first cropping season, while the corresponding figure for ammonium sulfate was 8%.
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DISCUSSION

VAN HOVE: The Azolla species you used for your experiments is very rich in lignin, probably due to the species itself and population age. I would like to suggest comparison between that species and one poor in lignin such as A. microphylla, and comparison of the decomposition process at different population ages.

WEN QI-XIAO: The lignin content of all Azolla sp. in China is higher than 20%. I hope to obtain species with lignin content less than 20% for my work.

KANNAIYAN: Do you have any information on the micronutrient status of rice soil due to Azolla application?

WEN QI-XIAO: So, I have not.

ESKEW: Did you measure changes in cation exchange capacity, and could this explain long-term effects of Azolla better than N effects?

WEN QI-Z-XIAO: No. I do not think the cation exchange capacity of the soil will change greatly under our experimental conditions.
Studies on the promotion of nitrogen fixation and hydrogen evolution in *Azolla imbricata* by cast iron

LIANG ZHONG-JIN, CHENG SHUANG-GI, AND MO HSI-MU
Department of Biology
South China Normal University
Guangzhou, China

*Azolla imbricata* cultivated in Ca superphosphate solution containing cast iron can endure high and low temperatures. Azolla grown in cast iron pots containing 0.03% (w/v) crude Ca superphosphate had higher yield and chlorophyll content, more grana lamellae in chloroplasts of fern, more photosynthetic lamellae in the symbiont *Anabaena azollae*, and higher C₂H₄ reduction and H₂ evolution rates than that cultivated in enamelware containing 0.03% Ca superphosphate and 5 mg/liter or 30 mg/liter ferric citrate. It is much easier to culture green algae such as *Oedogonium*, *Chaetonema*, and *Ulothrix* in the solution with ferric citrate as the Fe source than in the one with cast iron as Fe source. There is significantly more Fe and Mn in the leaves of *Azolla* cultivated in solution containing cast iron pieces. Cast iron, probably in the form of a chelate, provides *Azolla* with Fe and Mn constantly.

Under normal conditions in Guangzhou, *A. imbricata* cannot endure high or low temperature, which makes it difficult to utilize. During an investigation in the suburb of Guangzhou in December 1975, we found that most of the *Azolla* in the fields died at a temperature of 0°C. However, *A. imbricata* grew normally outdoors in a cast iron pot containing Ca superphosphate solution. Since then, we have cultivated and propagated *A. imbricata* in cast iron pots containing 0.03% crude superphosphate solution. The plants have grown well and exhibit dark green leaves and flourishing roots, regardless of temperature.

From 1981 to 1983, *A. imbricata* was cultivated in cast iron pots and other containers under natural conditions. The chemical composition of the culture media, and ultrastructure and physiological characteristics of Azolla grown in cast iron pots and other containers were compared to determine the causes of enhanced N₂ fixation and H₂ evolution in *Azolla*, and to provide a reference for the practical utilization of *A. imbricata*. 
METHODS AND RESULTS

\( \text{N}_2 \) fixation and \( \text{H}_2 \) evolution of *A. imbricata* cultivated in cast iron pots in winter and summer

The experiments were conducted in January when it was overcast, rainy, and temperature ranged from 4 to 10 \( ^\circ \text{C} \), and again in June-July when temperature was 30-41 \( ^\circ \text{C} \). After 15 d culture in a cast iron pot containing tap water and crude Ca superphosphate (0.03\% w/v), *Azolla* was incubated for 4 h outdoors under natural conditions. Temperature was 8-10\( ^\circ \text{C} \) and light intensity was 4.5-5 klx in January; temperature was 30-41 \( ^\circ \text{C} \) and light intensity was 60 klx in June-July. Then \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \) reduction and \( \text{H}_2 \) evolution rates in *Azolla* were measured. Meanwhile, *Azolla* was cultivated indoors in glass pots containing modified IRRI N-free culture medium at temperatures of 23-25 \( ^\circ \text{C} \), and light intensity of 10 klx for 15 d. After 15 d culture it was incubated for 4 h and \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \) formation and \( \text{H}_2 \) evolution rates were measured. The \( \text{N}_2 \)-fixing ability of *Azolla* cultivated outdoors in cast iron pots was 47\% of that cultivated indoors in glass pots in January and 38\% of that in June-July while \( \text{H}_2 \) evolution rates were 65\% and 39\% (Table 1).

It is clear that *Azolla* cultivated in cast iron pots containing Ca superphosphate can maintain a level of \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation and \( \text{H}_2 \) evolution under low light and low temperature in late winter or under high light and high temperature in summer in Guangzhou.

*A. imbricata* cultivated in cast iron pots or enamelware

*A. imbricata* was cultivated in 1) cast iron pots containing 0.03\% (w/v) crude Ca superphosphate; 2) enamelware containing 0.03\% crude Ca superphosphate, 5 mg/liter ferric citrate and 0.0025 mg/liter molybdic acid; and 3) enamelware containing 0.03\% crude Ca superphosphate and 5 mg/liter ferric citrate.

*Azolla* cultivated in cast iron pots had much richer photosynthetic lamellae and denser grana lamellae in the chloroplasts of the fern and richer lamellae in the vegetative cells of *Anabaena azollae* in the leaf cavity than that cultivated in enamelware (Figs. 1-4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivation group</th>
<th>Incubation conditions</th>
<th>( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 ) reduction</th>
<th>( \text{H}_2 ) evolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light intensity (klx)</td>
<td>Temperature (( ^\circ \text{C} ))</td>
<td>Time (h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>4.5-5</td>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Indoors in glass pots containing modified IRRI N-free medium, 16 h light and 8 h darkness each day. \textsuperscript{b}Cast iron pots, natural conditions in winter. \textsuperscript{c}Cast iron pots, natural conditions in summer.
1. Thylakoid of chloroplast in leaf of *A. imbricata* cultivated in cast iron pot containing 0.03% calcium superphosphate. (X18000)

2. Thylakoid of chloroplast in leaf of *A. imbricata* cultivated in enamelware containing 0.3% calcium superphosphate + ferric citrate (5 mg/liter) (X20000).

3. Photosynthetic lamellae of *Anabaena azollae* in the leaf cavity of *A. imbricata* cultivated in cast iron pot containing 0.03% calcium superphosphate (X39000).

4. Photosynthetic lamellae of *Anabaena azollae* in leaf cavity of *A. imbricata* cultivated in enamelware containing 0.03% calcium superphosphate + ferric citrate (5 mg/liter) (X39000).
Table 2. Comparison of the yield of *A. imbricata* after cultivation outdoors in a cast iron pot and enamelware containing different culture solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Inoculum (g fresh wt)</th>
<th>Total <em>Azolla</em> after 15 d (g fresh wt)</th>
<th>Increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Av temperature 9 °C, range 3-15 °C; light intensity 4-5 klx. *b* Cast iron pot containing P. *c* Enamelware containing P, Mo, and ferric citrate. *d* Enamelware containing P and ferric citrate.

Some algae such as *Oedogonium*, *Chaetonema*, and *Ulothrix* appeared in the culture solution in enamelware, but not in cast iron pots.

The biomass is shown in Table 2; C₂H₂ reduction rates, H₂ evolution, and chlorophyll content of *A. imbricata* cultivated under different conditions are shown in Table 3 and in different media (Fig. 5).

*A. imbricata* cultivated in glass pots containing cast iron

To avoid the physical effects on results caused by factors such as container shape, color, and light absorption, glass pots were used in the following experiments. *Azolla* was cultivated in solutions containing 1) Ca superphosphate and 30 mg/liter ferric citrate; or 2) Ca superphosphate and cast iron pot pieces. The dry weight, chlorophyll content, C₂H₄ formation rate, and H₂ evolution rate of *A. imbricata* in the group were 47, 64, 65, and 71%, respectively, of those in the second group. These results indicate cast iron pieces promote N₂ fixation and H₂ evolution in *Azolla*.

Some metal elements in the culture media and *Azolla*

Contents of Fe, Mn, and Mo in culture solutions of Ca superphosphate in cast iron pots and enamelware, and in the leaves of *A. imbricata* grown outdoors in the solutions for 15 d were measured. Table 4 indicates that there was more Fe and Mn both in the solution contained in the cast iron pots and leaf dry matter of *A. imbricata* cultivated in that solution.

DISCUSSION

Recently, some authors (3,4) reported that Fe deficits reduced the quantities of thylakoids in chloroplasts and contents of chlorophyll a, b, P700, and Cyt. f in flowering plants. Olsen (1970), after observing *Azolla* in the field, suggested that Fe and Mn play an important role in the growth of *Azolla*. Liu et al (1) considered that ferrous sulfate enhances the growth and N₂-fixing activity of *Azolla*. It is inferred that the promotion of growth of *A. imbricata* by cast iron results from the constant supply to *Azolla* of Fe, Mn, probably in chelate form, enhancing N₂ fixation and H₂ evolution. Its mechanism remains to be investigated.
Table 3. Comparison of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ reduction, $\text{H}_2$ evolution, and chlorophyll content in *A. imbricata* cultured outdoors\(^a\) in cast pot or enamelware for 15 d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>$\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ nmoles/g fresh wt min</th>
<th>Percentage of Group I</th>
<th>$\text{H}_2$ nmoles/g fresh wt min</th>
<th>Percentage of Group I</th>
<th>Chlorophyll (mg/g fresh wt)</th>
<th>Percentage of Group I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I(^b)</td>
<td>Cast iron pots P</td>
<td>26.5±2.7</td>
<td>5.1±0.5</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II(^c)</td>
<td>Enamelware Fe-citrate P, Mo</td>
<td>13.8±4.1</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>2.4±0.5</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III(^d)</td>
<td>Enamelware Fe-citrate P</td>
<td>10.5±1.7</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>2.0±1.0</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Av temperature 9ºC, range 3-15ºC, light intensity 4-5 klx. \(^b\) Cast iron pot containing P. \(^c\) Enamelware containing P, Mo, and ferric citrate. \(^d\) Enamelware containing P and ferric citrate.
5. *A. imbricata* cultivated in cast iron pot (tap water + calcium superphosphate) (right), in enamelware (tap water + calcium superphosphate + 30 mg/liter ferric citrate) (left).

Table 4. The Fe, Mn, and Mo content of culture solutions of Ca superphosphate in cast iron pots and enamelware and in the leaves of *A. imbricata* inoculum cultured in the solutions for 15 d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Amount in solution (mg/liter)</th>
<th>Amount in leaves (mg/kg dry wt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cast iron pots&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Enamelware&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inoculum No Inoculum</td>
<td>Inoculum No Inoculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>24.000 14.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.990   0.800</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.019   0.005</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Cast iron analysis (%): Fe, 97.0000; Mn, 0.4000; Mo, 0.0025. <sup>b</sup> Ferric citrate (5 mg/liter) added.
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Azolla research was introduced in the Philippines through the International Rice Research Institute as early as 1975. Applied research on Azolla utilization in lowland rice was initiated by the Bureau of Soils in 1979. The National Azolla Action Program and the Unified Azolla Program were created to promote Azolla culture and utilization nationwide. They include basic and applied research components and training of MAF field personnel and rice farmers. The Philippine Government fully supports the dissemination of Azolla technology in its Masagana 99 rice production program, particularly in irrigated areas.

The high cost of imported mineral N and the resulting reluctance of farmers to apply chemical fertilizers has paved the way for Azolla N to supplement or substitute for mineral N in lowland rice. A regional propagation center to propagate adapted Azolla sp., previously screened at the National Azolla Center at the University of the Philippines at Los Baños, has been established in each of the 12 MAF regions of the country. Azolla pinnata Bangkok, A. microphylla, and A. caroliniana are the common adapted varieties propagated. Each regional propagation center distributes a minimum of 500 kg of Azolla inoculum to farmers each week. Early in 1985, 54 provincial, 767 municipal, and 4,267 community (barangay) nurseries of various pond sizes were established to meet the Azolla inoculum needs of farmers at no cost to them.

Current recommendations for lowland rice are to supply about 50% of the N requirement of the crop with Azolla sources. We recommend applying green-manure Azolla before transplanting, followed by a crop of Azolla soil-incorporated 20-30 d after transplanting. If possible, a third Azolla crop may be grown but not incorporated for soil improvement and for utilization by the succeeding rice crop. Fresh Azolla, fed in regulated amounts, is now a common feed for animals and freshwater fish. Azolla provides a low-cost, feasible, and acceptable technology for most Filipino farmers and animal and fish raisers.

Basic and applied research programs under the National Azolla Action Program are now directed more toward sporulation and hybridization studies for tolerance of constraints encountered in the Philippines. Isotope studies using $^{15}$N-labeled tracers to monitor Azolla N$_2$ fixation and utilization by the
rice plant are conducted with FAO, IAEA, and SIDA support. Continuous utilization studies of *Azolla* as an organic fertilizer (in wetland and upland conditions) and as an animal feed supplement are done without sacrificing high agricultural production.

As of January 1985, more than 2,700 field technicians and about 8,000 farmers had been trained in *Azolla* technology.

**KIWANIS AZOLLA PROGRAM IN THE PHILIPPINES**

Antonio C. Leviste  
Chairman, Kiwanis National *Azolla* Program  
Ayala Kiwanis, Republic of the Philippines

Kiwanis involvement in *Azolla* technology started in 1982, through the Philippine Luzon District, Kiwanis International. *Azolla* workshops have been conducted in many provinces and cities to assist in the transfer of technology of utilizing *Azolla* as a fertilizer and an animal feed.

The 12 Outstanding *Azolla* Farmers and the 1,000 *Azolla* Maintenance Nurseries are the projects that have gained popularity among farmers through the promotion of Ayala Kiwanis in the provinces. Extensive technical support has been given by the Unified *Azolla* Program of the Ministry of Agriculture and Food.

Based on proven methods and results, farmers are taught how to use *Azolla* as green manure in lowland rice production, including its nursery culture, multiplication, management, and utilization.

Farmers have also learned to increase their income through alternative uses of *Azolla* such as supplemental feed for poultry, swine, and fish. Others have gone into vegetable farming using *Azolla* for part of their organic compost.

The seven elements of the Kiwanis *Azolla* program are given below.

1. Education through workshops, farmer-level discussions, and news media.
2. Small, manageable *Azolla* maintenance nurseries with farmer-cooperators at the barrio level, making inexpensive *Azolla* inocula readily accessible to farmer propagator-end users.
3. Dissemination of information on new developments in *Azolla* technology and utilization to further increase farmers’ income.
4. Monitoring the activities of Azolla nursery operators and farmer end users for the benefit of those engaged in agriculture as a whole.
5. Assisting farmers directly and indirectly in the marketing of their farm products, including fresh or dried Azolla.
6. Providing incentives for farmers and Kiwanis members supporting the program through continuing recognition of their participation.
7. Support of the Unified Azolla Program of the Ministry of Agriculture and Food in all technical phases.

EXPERIMENT REPORT OF DISCOVERY AND USE OF FANGSHAN COUNTY WILD AZOLLA

Wang Zai de and Wang Pu
Beijing Agricultural University
Beijing, China

In 1981 we discovered a wild species of Azolla in the streams and rice fields at Dong-gan-chi village in Fangshan County while we were engaged in extending the cultivation of Azolla in rice fields. We learned from local farmers that the wild Azolla strain had existed in the area for many years. Dong-gan-chi is located at 39° 38’ N latitude. The water temperature in springs and streams is a constant 13-14 °C.

We identified the wild strain as an ecological type of Azolla imbricata and designated it Azolla imbricata Fangshan. (Throughout the remainder of this discussion we will refer to it simply as A. imbricata.) A. imbricata is the northernmost distribution boundary of Chinese wild Azolla found to date, and its ecological adaptation to northern China is strong. It belongs to thermophilic Azolla sp. and its beginning growth temperature is about 10°C, higher than that of A. filiculoides. Its optimum growth temperature is 25-28 °C and its high temperature tolerance may exceed 40 °C. The light requirement of A. imbricata is not very strict, but the most favorable light intensity ranged from 40 to 60 klx. It grows better than A. filiculoides under both strong and weak illumination. A. imbricata is responsive to fertilization, growing faster and having higher nitrogenase activity in earthworm manure nutrient solution. Its N₂-fixing capacity increased with the addition of P, K, and microelements Fe, Zn, Cu, and B.
AZOLLA UTILIZATION

*A. imbricata* propagates primarily by vegetative reproduction. It can overwinter in streams and rice fields, but produces fewer sporocarps in the spring. *A. imbricata* recovers earlier and grows more vigorously after naturally overwintering than other *Azolla* strains, although it does not perform as well as *A. filiculoides* in early spring and late autumn. *A. imbricata* reached its peak growth rate in the field between 25 and 28 May. Under optimum conditions its propagative index exceeded 0.3, higher than that of *A. filiculoides* by 3-14%, and its doubling time was 2 d. The oversummering ability of *A. imbricata* is strong, too. By cultivating *A. imbricata* year round, yields of 300-375 t *Azolla* fresh wt/ha are possible, and in association with rice, yields of 75-150 t/ha are realized.

*A. imbricata* not only grows rapidly, it also has high N₂-fixing capacity, reaching 0.69-1.77 g/g per m² per d in late May. Its acetylene reduction capacity is 3-5 nm of C₂H₄/g fresh wt per min.

There are more algae and heterocysts in the leaf cavities of *A. imbricata* than in *A. filiculoides*.

Culturing *A. imbricata* in the field increases rice yield and improves soil fertility because of its higher N content (3.4-4.2%), lower C:N, and faster decomposition. Field experiments from 1982 to 1984 showed that rice yields could be increased 16-35% by incorporating *Azolla* basally or applying it as a topdressing. *A. imbricata* is high in N and protein, and it contains more amino acid than *A. filiculoides* or *A. imbricata* Nanjing. Total amino acid content of *A. imbricata* is 20.107 g/100 g dry wt compared to 16.631 g/100 g dry wt for *A. filiculoides*, and 15.354 g/100 g dry wt for *A. imbricata* Nanjing. It is important to develop *A. imbricata* Fangshan for multiple uses in crop production, fodder, and fish food.

THE ECONOMIC VALUE AND USE OF RED DUCKWEED AZOLLA SP. IN ANHUI PROVINCE, CHINA

Xi Qie-ming
Soil and Fertilizer Institute
Anhui Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

Since the 1950s, *Azolla* has been used in agriculture and animal husbandry in Anhui Province, China. *Azolla* (red duckweed) contains 4-5% nitrogen and is a good and inexpensive fertilizer for crops such as rice, wheat, rape, cotton, taro, bean, and tea, and a good and inexpensive feed for fish and livestock. Under
the most favorable conditions, 600,000 kg fresh Azolla can be harvested from 1 ha. Applying 150,000 kg of Azolla in a 1-ha paddy field can increase rice yield 20-30%.

There are many ways to extend Azolla production. Azolla can be raised in the field after wheat, barley, rape, broadbean, and cowpea, or after seeds of milk vetch had been harvested. In late spring and early summer, Azolla yield can be doubled within 3-5 d without injury to the rice plants.

Damp open ground and pond can also be used for Azolla cultivation. Industrial production of Azolla ensures year-round cultivation.

Red duckweed can produce large and small sporocarps under unfavorable conditions. In Anhui Province, the sporocarps can survive through winter and summer and are grown and reproduced artificially.

Strong light and high temperature, and low temperature and weak light do not favor Azolla growth. The most favorable temperature is 20-30 °C. The amount of light necessary for good Azolla growth differs according to variety. In spring and autumn, 60-80% of full daylight will be sufficient for growth; in summer, 10-20%. In winter, full light will be necessary. The optimum pH value of soil is 5.5. Phosphorus is most essential for normal growth of Azolla. Fe, Mo, Ca, K, and Co are also essential.

---

**CICHLASOMA AND TILAPIA SELECTIVE APPETENCY FOR AZOLLA**

T. Antoine, S. Carraro, J.C. Micha, and C. Van Hove
Universite Catholique de Louvain
Laboratoire de Physiologie Vegetale, Laboratoire des Eaux et Forets,
Place Croix du Sud, 4, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve
Belgium

Selective appetency of two fish, Cichlasoma sp. and Tilapia nilotica, for A. caroliniana, A. filiculoides, A. microphylla, A. pinnata var. imbricata, and A. pinnata var. pinnata, each one represented by five strains, was tested. Plant samples used for feeding experiments were collected in the linear phase of their growth curve. In all instances, A. microphylla was the preferred species for Cichlasoma, generally followed by A. caroliniana. Tilapia nilotica nearly always selected A. filiculoides first, and A. microphylla second. The two A. pinnata varieties were always practically neglected by the two fish. When the five A. microphylla strains were presented to Cichlasoma, significant strain
preferences appeared. *Azolla* selection for feed must take into consideration the appetency of the animal species concerned for *Azolla* at the species and even strain level.

**STUDY ON AZOLLA AS A FISH FODDER**

Chen De-fu and Huang Chun-yuan  
Institute of Soil Fertilizer  
Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences  
Hangzhou, China

Fresh *Azolla* is tender and is preferred to dry wheat bran by herbivorous and omnivorous fish. Six species of *Azolla*, however, vary in their edibility, and even the same species may have different edibility for different fish species. *Azolla* is rich in nutrients. The crude protein and crude fat contents of *Azolla* usually exceed those of wheat bran. The nutrient content of *Azolla* is influenced by seasonal variations and culture techniques. The feed coefficient of *Azolla* is 20-50. That means that the nutrient value of 10-20 kg fresh *Azolla* is equivalent to 1 kg dry wheat bran. Fish fed a combined ration of *Azolla* and mixed fodder yielded more than fish fed with either *Azolla* or mixed fodder alone. *Azolla* is a potential source of fish fodder due to its high yield, enriched nutrients, good edibility, and lower feed coefficient.

**THE ROTATION OF RICE AND AZOLLA FOR RATIONAL USE OF PERENNIALLY SUBMERGED LAND**

Zhang Chunlun  
Soil and Fertilizer Institute  
Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences  
Sichuan, China

Perennially submerged land accounts for a large area in the rice belt of Sichuan Province. Although the area has been reduced through reclamation over many years, there still are about 1.3 million ha. Only 1 rice crop a year is cultivated on these lands and the fallow period reaches 200-260 d annually, meaning that only 32-33% of the light and heat energy available can be fully utilized. The
rotation of rice and *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. raises the level of nutrient exchange and the economic efficiency of perennially submerged lands.

The area devoted to this rotation pattern rose from 80,000 ha in 1981 to 127,000 ha in 1983. Adding to that the area in which *A. imbricata* grows in natural association with rice, the total area in which *Azolla* is associated with rice culture exceeds 130,000 ha. Although the input-output ratio declined when *Azolla* and mineral fertilizer were applied in combination, nutrient output reached the maximum. Therefore, we conclude that *Azolla* and mineral fertilizer applied in combination is an effective way to increase crop yield. The percentage nutrient availability of NPK increased when *Azolla* was applied in combination with mineral fertilizer. N availability went to 59.1%, a 51.7% increase; P to 120.9%, up by 46.4%. K availability rose to 113.6%, a 72.3% increase over *Azolla*.

In a two-crop pattern of rice and wheat, nutrient availability for the wheat crop also increased. N availability went to 39.3%, up by 35.3%; P availability rose to 55.0%, up by 23.7%; and K availability went to 104.9%, a 52.5% increase. In the 2-crop system the decomposition of incorporated *Azolla* ranged from 37.7 to 38.8%. When *Azolla* was incorporated into the soil for the rice crop, decomposition was rapid but there was no succeeding availability of nutrients for the wheat crop. When *Azolla* was incorporated with the wheat crop, decomposition was slower but there was succeeding nutrient availability for the rice crop.

*Azolla* significantly raises the fertility of rice soils. The primary effect is to increase cation exchange capacity from about 0.94 to 1.63 meq/100 g soil and to improve the colloidal property of the soil. At the same time, soil physical properties are improved. Organic matter increases from 0.17 to 0.26%, and total N from 0.003 to 0.016%. Microaggregates (0.25 mm diam) increased 3.3%, compression strength declined by 1.5 kg/cm², and bulk density went down by 0.060.

On 1 ha of rice soil 15 t fresh wt *Azolla* could be produced to improve more rice fields in expanding agricultural production.

**STUDY OF UTILIZATION OF AZOLLA IN PADDY FIELDS IN BEIJING**

Wang Pu and Wang Zai de
Beijing Agricultural University
Beijing, China

The paddy yield and effect on soil of *Azolla imbricata* (Fangshan) and *A. filiculoides* were studied under Beijing ecological conditions in 1983-84.
The trials were carried out at 3 transplanting patterns, 1) wide-narrow row (46.7 + 13.3) × 10 cm, 2) wide row (30 × 20 cm), and 3) traditional pattern (20 × 15 cm), and at 3 levels of N application, 0, 45, and 90 kg/ha. The optimum amounts and application methods were selected. Biomass and paddy yield showed significant differences among treatments. Incorporating 45 t Azolla fresh wt/ha increased paddy yield by 35% in the first crop, equivalent to that obtained from 90 kg N/ha applied as ammonium sulfate. An additional yield increase of 5-10% was realized from the second crop. A. imbricata gave the higher yield increase. The highest yield was obtained from the wide row transplanting pattern.

The effect of Azolla on rice growth and development may be divided into three stages:
1. Transplanting to tillering (15 d): The greening and tillering of seedlings were slightly inhibited due to absence of nutrients and presence of toxic materials when only Azolla was applied basally.
2. Beginning normal seedling growth (7-10 d).
3. Beneficial effect of Azolla gradually appears: Azolla incorporated into the soil decomposed and mineralized rapidly. Seedlings developed dark green leaves and grew much more vigorously than seedlings in plots that received no Azolla.

Rice growth was promoted during stages 2 and 3. Plants in plots fertilized with mineral N were yellowish and grew slowly. Azolla promoted rice growth mainly in the mid and late stages during young panicle development. The effects of Azolla were seen in the increased number of panicles, greater panicle size, and increased number of grains. Higher yields of rice and Azolla were achieved with wide row transplanting. Azolla combined with N fertilizer gave the highest yields. The best way to utilize Azolla in rice fields is with a mixed culture of A. filiculoides and A. imbricata supplemented with 67.5 kg N/ha, and wide row spacing. Highest yields were achieved when a successive crop of Azolla was grown in the field and 45 kg N/ha was applied. The optimum organic to inorganic ratio is 2:2.5.

Azolla markedly increased soil fertility through the addition of biomass, C, and N. Soil organic matter increased by 10.3% and water-soluble N by 11.1% in the 0-20 cm soil layer. Total soil N content showed no significant increase. Azolla helps maintain soil nutrient balance and significantly improves soil structure—soil porosity increased 11.9%, compressive strength decreased 67.9%, and bulk density decreased 9.3%. Weeds in Azolla plots were reduced 84-92%.

Cultivating Azolla in rice fields is ecologically sound and economical. It mitigates insects and diseases, reduces the risk of pollution from excessive use of mineral N and pesticides, and improves the overall quality of rice products.
Azolla can be cultivated and used as green manure in most rice fields in China. Because the decomposition rate of Azolla is an important factor determining its effect on rice growth, a series of decomposition experiments, using the carborundum tube method, were conducted at four sites in different climate zones in 1980. The climate zones were southern subtropic (Guangzhou), northern subtropic (Wuxi), warm temperate (Tianjin), and temperate (Gongzhuling). The mean annual temperature in the 4 zones ranged from 21.8 to 4.9°C, and mean annual precipitation was from 1,623 to 572 mm. The soils in Tianjin and Gongzhuling are calcareous, those in Guangzhou acidic, and those in Wuxi neutral. Under waterlogged conditions, the Azolla decomposition rate was highest in Tianjin soils, lower in Guangzhou, and lowest in Gongzhuling. Under upland conditions, the decomposition rate of Azolla was highest in Guangzhou soils and lowest in Wuxi soils. These results may seem contradictory to the general belief that the decomposition rate of plant residues doubles for every incremental temperature increase of 10°C. This apparent anomaly is explained by the fact that the soils at the four sites differed greatly in acidity, texture, clay mineral, and, in the case of upland, soil water regime. It is well known that plant residues decompose more rapidly in calcareous soils than in acidic soils, and that water shortage limits microbial activity. We conclude that the decomposition rate of Azolla in soils is governed not only by climate but by soil properties as well.

Under all conditions, however, the decomposition rate of Azolla was lower than that of rice straw under the same conditions, because Azolla has a much higher lignin content (22.0 ± 6.6%). It is well demonstrated that the humification coefficient of plant residues correlates significantly with their lignin content, irrespective of climate and soil type.
THE CULTIVATION OF *AZOLLA FILICULOIDES* FOR THE RECLAMATION AND UTILIZATION OF HEAVY SALINE SOIL

Shang Deng-hui, Wu Ho, and Chen Xi-pan
Xin Yang Agricultural Experimental Station
Yan Cheg, Ziangsu, China
and
Gu Rong-sain
Institute of Soil Fertilizer
Ziangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Nanjing, China

Experiments have shown that the salt-resisting limit of *Azolla filiculoides* is 0.7% (salt water) and its alkali-resisting limit is 0.3% (Na$_2$CO$_3$ + NaHCO$_3$). Therefore, it can be cultured as a pioneer plant for reclaiming coastal heavy saline soil. Cultivated on soil containing 0.35% salt for 110 d and irrigated with water containing 0.2% salt, *Azolla* produces 90-105 t fresh wt/ha, or an average daily yield of 818-955 kg/ha. During the same period, *Sesbania* produces only 21.2 t fresh wt/ha. When *A. filiculoides* is cultured with seawater containing 0.1-0.5% salt, it has a nitrogenase activity of 0.5-0.25 µm C$_2$H$_2$/g fresh wt per ha. *A. imbricata* cultured with seawater containing 0.3% salt shows no nitrogenase activity.

By cultivating *Azolla* in spring and autumn for 2 successive years, the salt content of saline soil may be decreased from 0.35 to 0.1%. The desalination rate is 71.4%, 1.8 times higher than that of water leaching or 2.1 times that of *Sesbania*. The humification coefficient of *Azolla* is 0.42, higher than that of *Spartina anglica* (0.19-0.25), *Sesbania* (0.32), or ryegrass (0.22). Thus, after 2 yr, the organic matter in the 0-10 cm soil layer increases from 0.58 to 1.10%. The rate of increase is higher than that achieved by planting *S. anglica* or *Sesbania* during the same period. Soil fertility is improved to the level of a soil that can yield 2.3-3.0 t seed-cotton/ha. Reclamation for 2 successive years by cultivating *Azolla*, *Sesbania*, and ryegrass gives a higher net income from cotton than other reclamation methods.
STUDIES ON
AZOLLA MINERALIZATION RATE
AND NUTRIENT RELEASING DYNAMICS

Wang De-xian, Zhao Miao-zheng, and Chen De-fu
Institute of Atomic Energy Utilization
Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences
China

The mineralization of Azolla filiculoides and A. imbricata was studied under flooded conditions in pots. The samples were labeled with $^{14}$C carbon dioxide and $^{15}$N ammonium sulfate. Most mineralization takes place within 9 wk after fresh Azolla is added to the pots and then drops sharply and remains at a low level for some weeks thereafter. More than half of the mineralization takes place within the first 6 wk, although the amounts mineralized are highest in the 2d and 3d wk.

Two factors seem to influence the speed of mineralization and the quantity of N released: 1) soil type and other environmental conditions, and 2) the C:N of the Azolla. The speed of mineralization and quantity of N released are enhanced by increasing release of nitrogen compounds and decreased C:N. A. filiculoides released more N at a faster rate than did A. imbricata. Early maturing rice plants absorbed 14.8% of total N compounds released by A. filiculoides and 13.6% of the N compounds released by A. imbricata. Late maturing plants absorbed 5.4% of the N compounds released by A. filiculoides and 4.6% of the N compounds released by A. imbricata.

Azolla not only supplies nutrients to crops directly, it also promotes the mineralization of other organic compounds by activating microorganisms present in the soil. Although ammonium sulfate releases N more rapidly, N-release of Azolla is more constant and yields are higher.

To compensate for the loss due to mineralization of other organic compounds in soil and to provide adequate nutrition to the rice plant, an application of 22.5 t Azolla /ha is recommended.
ESTIMATION AND UTILIZATION OF THE CONSTANT OF THE EFFECTIVELY ACCUMULATED TEMPERATURE OF *PYRALIS* SP. AND *NYMPHULA ENIXALIS*

Zhu Zhonglin and Jian Soufa
Soil and Fertilizer Institute
Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Sichuan, China

*Pyralis* sp. and *Nymphula enixalis* Swinhoe are the main pests of *Azolla*, and are commonly found in China, Vietnam, and other countries. Estimation and utilization of the effective temperature summation constant would be an economical and effective key to their control. The feeding habits of the two insects were studied in feeding cages in the field to determine the effects of natural temperature changes on the number of generations and on the development stages of the pests during the course of a year. The insects were fed *Azolla imbricata* Nakai and *A. filiculoides* Lam. Daily average temperature was determined by standard meteorological methods.

The results of the study are as follows:

1. There was a direct relation between temperature and the development rate of the pests. Based on the rule of effective temperature summation, the heat energy required by insects to complete a given developmental stage is constant. Under natural conditions, the threshold temperatures for development stages of *Pyralis* sp. is 11.2 ± 1.3 for egg, 12.3 ± 0.7 for larva, 13.1 ± 1.1 for pupa, and 12.2 ± 0.5 °C for adult. For *N. enixalis* they are 14.8 ± 1.3 for egg, 9.8 ± 1.4 for larva, 13.1 ± 0.7 for pupa, and 10.1 ± 1.0 °C for adult. The effective temperature summation in degree days for growth stages of *Pyralis* sp. was 53.0 for egg, 131.9 for larva, 66.3 for pupa, and 263.1 for adult. For *N. enixalis* it was 41.8 for egg, 137.3 for larva, 64.3 for pupa, and 397.3 for adult.

2. The effective temperature summation required for generations of the two pests varied with climate. We found that we could estimate the annual number of generations of the two pests according to the average temperature of a decade of days in Kuanchow, Wenchow, Tzengsa, Giajiang, and Yi-quu'ese counties of Sichuan Province. The area ranges from 23° 8' to 30° 34' N latitude, from 103° 33' to 120° 40' E longitude, and from 6 to 407 m above sea level. This demonstrates that the effective temperature summation could be used to forecast the generations of the two pests in the southern part of the Yangtze River, which is the major area of *Azolla* distribution.
3. The effective temperature summation constant might be used to forecast the number of generations that would occur for the two pests, forecast the development stage of either pest, or to forecast the extent of damage the two pests could cause in the forthcoming year.

The equation for forecasting the number of generations is

\[ n = \frac{N_i \sum [t_i - (C + sC)]}{K} \]

where \( N_i = \text{day } i \) of a decade and \( t_i = \text{average daily temperature} \). The successive stages can be predicted when the additive value of the effective temperature summation approaches the effective temperature summation constant by the equation

\[ K \frac{[t - (C \pm SC)]}{[t - (C \pm SC)] - 1} \]

Forecasting damage likely to be caused by the two pests in the ensuing year is a function of the main stages of the pest to overwinter. When daily average temperature fell below 9.8 °C, we sampled the stages of the two pests most likely to overwinter. If larvae, especially younger ones, are the main stage to overwinter and their survival rate is likely to be high, then heavy damage can be expected the next year.

The characteristic damage to \textit{Azolla} by \textit{Pyralis} sp. and \textit{N. enixalis} can be forecast. The beginning point of the effective temperature summation for the larvae of \textit{Pyralis} sp. was significantly higher than that for \textit{N. enixalis}. Therefore, the stage causing serious damage to \textit{Azolla} would be later for \textit{Pyralis} sp. On the other hand, the effective temperature summation for a generation of \textit{Pyralis} sp. was lower than that of \textit{N. enixalis}. As a result, the annual number of generations of \textit{Pyralis} sp. that would occur would be more than the generations of \textit{N. enixalis}. Therefore, more damage would be expected to be caused by \textit{Pyralis} sp.

4. Neither \textit{A. imbricata} Nakai nor \textit{A. filiculoides} caused any differences in the generation duration of the two pests. In practice, then, allowing the pests to feed on \textit{A. imbricata} would control the pest on \textit{A. filiculoides} in production.
ESTIMATION OF N₂ FIXATION AND EXCRETION OF AZOLLA BY THE ¹⁵ N DILUTION TECHNIQUE

Chen Binghaun, Zhang Weiguang, Tang Jianyang, and Liu Chungzhu
Azolla Research Center
Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Fuzhou, China

Take *A. imbricata* Putian as the main material and algae-free Putian *Azolla* and *Lemna minor* as reference plants. Culture them in IRRI nutrient medium or water-soil system in the greenhouse. Design a kind of installation used to adjust the space of stable density for *Azolla* growing. Provide suitable growing conditions.

If the nutrient medium contains N within 5-100 ppm, N₂ fixation decreases as the concentration of N increases, but the N that *Azolla* contains peaks. The highest is between 20 and 40 ppm, and it will decrease in turn toward both sides.

The ¹⁵N dilution technique and acetylene reduction method are used.

The N₂ rate of *A. imbricata* Putian has been calculated by ¹⁵N dilution technique: 11.65 and 10.79 kg N/ha (growth period of 18 d).

In the nutrient medium in which *Azolla* was grown, ¹⁵N abundance is diluted by the N which *Azolla* excretes. When N concentration of IRRI nutrient medium is low it will be diluted greatly, but if the N concentration is high, it will be less diluted. But the estimations by ¹⁵N dilution technique give contrasting results. The N excreted by *Azolla* which remained in the high concentration nutrient medium is more than the low one.

The test shows that *A. imbricata* Putian, algae-free Putian *Azolla*, and *Lemna* can recover the N excreted by *Azolla*. The absorptivity of *A. imbricata* Putian is closer to that of algae-free Putian *Azolla*. 
RESPONSE OF *AZOLLA* TO PHOSPHORUS, POTASSIUM, AND ZINC IN DIFFERENT PADDY SOILS

Sikander Ali
Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology (NIAB)
Faisalabad, Pakistan
and
I. Watanabe
International Rice Research Institute
P.O. Box 933,
Manila, Philippines

Eleven Philippine soils with various capacities to support *Azolla* growth in the field were examined for their capacities to support *Azolla* growth with the addition of P, K, and Zn. Soil samples were placed in 10-cm-diam brown bottles and flooded for 1 wk. *Azolla*, previously depleted of nutrients, was inoculated with 5 treatments (0 additional nutrients; complete P, K, and Zn; and -P, -K, and -Zn) and grown for 3 wk. P, K, and Zn contents in floodwater and the harvested *Azolla* were determined. *Azolla* dry wt ranged from 10 to 50 mg/10 cm² and N content ranged from 1.9 to 5.1\% dry wt. Because P content in floodwater decreased sharply after P application, there was no additional increase of *Azolla* P content by P application, and *Azolla* growth was determined largely by the indigenous level of P in floodwater. *Azolla* biomass correlated highly with its N\% (\(r = 0.954\)), and N\% correlated highly with P content in floodwater (\(r = 0.797\)). P-deficiency threshold values appear to be 0.1 mg/liter in floodwater and 0.1\% in *Azolla* dry matter. Available P (Olsen P) correlated lower with P content in *Azolla* (\(r = 0.861\)) than in floodwater (\(r = 0.903\)).

In some soils high in floodwater P, elimination of K (in two soils) and Zn (in one soil) reduced *Azolla* growth, but it was difficult to draw any conclusion on the threshold values for K and Zn deficiency. We could conclude, however, that P availability was the most limiting growth factor for *Azolla* in Philippine soils.
MICRONUTRIENTS AND THE ACTIVITY OF NITROGENASE IN AZOLLA

Wei You-zhong, Yang Yu-ai, and Sun Xi
Department of Soil Science
Agricultural University of Zhejiang
China

The materials used in this experiment were *Azolla imbricata*, *A. japonica*, *A. filiculoides* (E. G.), and *A. filiculoides* (ph). Plant samples were incubated in a nutrient solution of demineralized water containing known amounts of nutrients. After incubation, the plants were analyzed for N, P, Si, Ca, Mo, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, Co, and B. *Azolla* had higher concentrations of these elements than the nutrient solution, demonstrating that *Azolla* has the ability to concentrate these elements from solution.

Nitrogenase activity was measured by the acetylene reduction method. The series of activities were *A. japonica*, *A. filiculoides* (E.G.), *A. filiculoides* (ph), *A. mexicana*, and *A. imbricata*.

The correlation between nitrogenase activity of (X) and Mo (Y₁) of all the *Azolla* samples tested is at the 1% level of significance, while Cu (Y₂) is at the 5% level of significance. Under experimental conditions the regression equations are:

\[ Y_1 = -2.71 + 2.43 \times X; \quad Y_2 = 0.529 + 0.294 \times X. \]

The rate of growth and N₂ fixation capacity of *Azolla* have been calculated. The series of the rate of growth is *A. filiculoides* (ph) > *A. filiculoides* (E.G.) > *A. japonica* > *A. imbricata*. Nitrogen-fixing capacity in order is *A. japonica* > *A. filiculoides* (E.G.) > *A. filiculoides* (ph) > *A. imbricata*. In nutrient concentrations, nitrogenase activity, rate of growth, and N₂-fixing capacity, *A. japonica* and *A. filiculoides* (E.G.) are superior.

*A. filiculoides* (E.G.) was taken as an example for calculating the correlations among the essential nutrients. N and Mo, N and Fe, and Ca and Co correlated positively. The correlations between P and Cu and between P and S were negative. Cu and Mo, Fe and Mo, P and Zn, Ca and B, and N and Cu had higher correlations. The results indicate that nutrient solution and fertilization affect the performance of *Azolla*.
DIURNAL AND SEASONAL VARIATION IN THE NITROGENASE ACTIVITY OF AZOLLA

Zheng Wei-wen and Lu Pei-ji
Soil and Fertilizer Institute
Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences (FAAS)
Fuzhou, China

The experiment was conducted in a field at FAAS. We measured the nitrogenase activity of *A. filiculoides* Lam., *A. caroliniana* Willd, and *A. imbricata* (Roxb) Nakai by the C2H2-C2H4 assay in spring, summer, autumn, and winter in 1982-83.

The results demonstrated that the nitrogen fixation of Azolla is a dynamic process in which changes in sunlight intensity and water temperature can cause day-to-day variation in the nitrogenase activity of *Azolla*. Generally, the nitrogenase activity of *Azolla* peaks at about 1400 hand minimum activity is at zero hour. The amount of N2 fixed by *Azolla* during the dark period (at night) is roughly one-half of that during daylight.

The activity of these kinds of *Azolla* is higher in spring and autumn than in winter and summer. Except for *A. caroliniana*, the rather low activity of *A. filiculoides* and *A. imbricata* is observed in summer. The sensitivity of *A. caroliniana* to light and temperature is lower than those of *A. filiculoides* and *A. imbricata*. This result is consistent with those of other experiments which show *A. caroliniana* is relatively both intensive light resistant and shade tolerant. The optimum light intensity of N2 fixation for *Azolla* is 40-60 klx and temperature is 20-30 °C.
INFLUENCE OF NITROGEN NUTRITION ON THE PHYSIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF AZOLLA: EFFECT OF UREA

Ren Yun and You Chongbiao
Institute for Application of Atomic Energy
Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China
and
Wei Wen-xiong
Azolla Research Center
Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Fuzhou, China

It has been previously reported that the nitrogenase activity of Azolla is inhibited by nitrogen sources, i.e. urea, ammonia, ammonium nitrate, and nitrate.

Results of experiments showed that calcium level affects the physiological properties of Azolla. This is important in South China where calcium level in the paddy soil is low. The toxicity of urea on Azolla increased with increased concentration on low-calcium soil.

THE TOLERANCE AND CONCENTRATION CAPACITY OF AZOLLA TO 11 METAL IONS

Wen Yong-huang and Xiang Wei-zhen
Department of Soil Fertilization
Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Nanchang, China

The tolerance of four Azolla species to Cu, Mn, Fe, Zn, Mo, Co, Cd, As, Hg, Cr, and Pb ions under laboratory conditions was studied. The concentrating capacity of Azolla for metal ions seems to affect their growth only slightly or not at all. The ability of Azolla to concentrate metallic elements such as Pb, Mn, Fe, Mo, and Zn, without detrimental effects on its growth, may play a more important role in the practical applications of Azolla.
STUDY ON THE MULTIRANKED TECHNIQUE OF AZOLLA CULTURE

Wen Yong-huang and Xiang Wei-zhen
Department of Soil Fertilization
Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Nanchang, China

The short utilization time and long seed storage time of Azolla are the main constraints to its application to rice production. To find ways to overcome these constraints, multiranked greenhouse experiments on the techniques of Azolla culture were conducted for 1 yr. The results indicate that the multiranked technique of Azolla culture is characterized by high biomass yield. The highest yield was recorded in the 6th-ranked frame with a yield of 572 t Azolla fresh wt/ha per year, or 54 t Azolla dry wt/ha per year. The multiranked technique of Azolla culture is characterized by fewer disease and insect pests, simple and convenient management, year-round culture, and full utilization of space, light, and heat. The main factors influencing biomass yield by multiranked culture techniques were also investigated.

TOLERANCE OF AZOLLA CAROLINIANA AND ITS APPLICATION

Wei Wen-xiong, Ye Guo-tian, Zheng Guo-zhang,
Cheng Feng-yue, Jin Gui-ying, Liu Pei-ji,
and Zheng Wei-wen
Azolla Research Center
Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Fuzhou, China

Results of laboratory single-item tests and field integrated experiments showed that Azolla caroliniana has a broad-spectrum stress tolerance — snail tolerance, mildew resistance, insect resistance, water algae tolerance, low (below 0°C) and high (41°C) temperature tolerance, and shade tolerance (normal growth under weak light intensity, average 3,000 lx/30°C d). Thus, Azolla can naturally overwinter and oversummer in Fujian climatic conditions and can be cultured under rice plants, with the ability to supply Azolla
fertilizer (decayed in rice field) of about 15 t/ha. It can still grow on soil surface after drainage, supplying fresh *Azolla* at 11.2 t/ha. When applied after harvest as base fertilizer for the next crop, *Azolla* can increase yield 13.2%. *A. caroliniana* can also be used as fish feed in rice fields and ponds especially from June to August. Results of experiments where rice and *A. caroliniana* were grown together in the same field for 4 yr have shown increased rice yield potential.

**EFFECT OF THE BIOPESTICIDE B.T.I. ON THE CONTROL OF CHIRONOMIDS (POLYPEDILUM IUINOENSE HAUBER)**

Lu Pei-ji and Lin Chang  
Soil and Fertilizer Institute  
Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences  
Fuzhou, China

Greenhouse and field experiments were conducted to determine the effect of the biopesticide *B. thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* (BTI) on the control of the main pests of *Azolla*, the chironomids. Results show that BTI is excellent for controlling chironomids and can significantly reduce damage by chironomids.

The half-death dose to old larvae is 12.4 ppm. The 95% confidence limit is between 11.1 and 13.9 ppm. After 24 h of field spraying, average death rate of the pest is about 63%, and death rate could reach more than 90% after 3-4 d. BTI is superior to carbofuran in chironomid control and is cheaper.

BTI sprayed in paddy fields is not toxic to fish.
STUDY OF THE BIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES AND CONTROL OF AZOLLA MIDGE

Chen Jia Ju
Soil and Fertilizer Institute
Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Fuzhou, China

In South China, Azolla would die in summer. For a long time, people thought that Azolla death was due to high temperature in summer. The growth regularity of Azolla was studied in 1976. We found that Azolla death was not due to high temperature but to an injurious insect, Azolla midge, which is aquatic at larval instar stage. This injurious insect is light red and has a body length of 2-3 mm. It makes its nest on the underside of Azolla and eats the root and young leaf during summer. Several chemical insecticides had been applied but were ineffective. During summer, the insect population could increase to 90,000/m². Azolla is completely damaged in 3-5 d.

Investigations on Azolla midges were done in Southeast Fujian. The midges in the fields included Polypedilum iuinoense Hauber, Tendipes attenuatus Walker, Tendipes riparius Meigen, and Cricotopus trifasciatus Panzer. Polypedilum iuinoense Hauber brought the most damage to Azolla.

There could be as many as 16 generations of Polypedilum iuinoense Hauber per year in Southeast Fujian. A life cycle is completed in 12 d in summer and 57 d in winter. The larvae survive in winter.

There are many ways to prevent damage by Azolla midge. One is by protecting Dytiscidae, one of the natural enemies of Azolla midge. UV light lamps also provide efficient control of the Azolla midge. Some chemical insecticides such as deltamethrin, carbofuran, carbaryl, and temephos can be used without causing injury to Azolla. Maceration extract of cake of tea oil is ideal for control of Azolla midge.
EFFECT OF INSECTICIDES ON THE GROWTH AND NITROGEN FIXATION IN AZOLLA

S. Kannaiyan and K. Nandabalan
Department of Agricultural Microbiology
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University
Coimbatore 641003, Tamil Nadu, India

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of different insecticides on the growth and nitrogen fixation in Azolla. Plot size was 10 × 2 m and Pas single superphosphate was applied basally at 15 kg P/ha. The insecticides tested were furadan, monocrotophos, phorate, thiodan, quinalphos, carbosulfan, and chlorpyrifos. Furadan (3% G) and phorate (10% G) were broadcast at the rate of 0.5 kg ai/ha. Monocrotophos (36% EC) at 0.072%, thiodan (35% EC) 0.05%, carbosulfan (24% EC) 0.048%, and chlorpyrifos (20% EC) 0.04% were sprayed. Azolla was inoculated at 200 g/m² and Azolla biomass yield was recorded on the 14th day. Azolla samples were drawn from each treatment on the 14th day and nitrogenase activity was estimated. All Azolla biomass yield was higher in all treatments than in the control. Monocrotophos treatment resulted in the highest Azolla biomass. Insecticides significantly increased the nitrogenase activity over the control.

Quinalphos showed the highest nitrogenase activity. The results show that the application of insecticides significantly stimulated nitrogenase activity over that of the control.

In another study the effect of treating Azolla fronds with furadan on the growth of Azolla and activity of ammonia-assimilating enzymes was investigated. Plot size was 5 × 2 m and P as single superphosphate was applied basally at 15 kg/ha. Azolla was treated with furadan at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12% by weight. The treated fronds were kept in shade 8 h before being inoculated in the field at the rate of 200 g/m². Fresh weights of Azolla biomass were recorded on the 14th day. The activities of ammonia-assimilating enzymes GA, GOGAT, and GDH were estimated. Furadan at all concentrations reduced Azolla growth compared to control. The reduction in Azolla growth was considerable at 6-12% concentrations. An increase in the GS activity and a decrease in the GDH and GOGAT activities in Azolla were seen. GDH and GOGAT activity decreased considerably as furadan concentration increased. GS activity was stimulated up to 8% level.
INFLUENCE OF NEEM CAKE ON BLACK ROT DISEASE INCIDENCE IN *AZOLLA*

S. Kannaiyan and K. Nandabalan  
Department of Agricultural Microbiology  
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University  
Coimbatore 641003, Tamil Nadu, India

Black rot disease in *Azolla* caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* is common and reduces biomass. Disease severity is higher when the fronds are attacked by snails. The occurrence of black rot disease in different species of *Azolla* was investigated in pot culture. *Azolla caroliniana* had the lowest disease incidence followed by *A. pinnata* (Bangkok strain). The maximum incidence of the disease was found in *A. nilotica*. Another pot culture experiment was conducted to study the effect of neem cake on black rot disease incidence and its influence on N$_2$ fixation in *Azolla*. Cement pots 1 × 0.5 m were used. Two kg of soil was added to each pot and 10 cm water level was maintained. Neem cake was applied at levels equivalent to 100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 kg/ha. *Azolla* was added at the rate of 200 g/m$^2$. *Azolla* fronds were inoculated with *Rhizoctonia solani* and added to the pots. Black rot disease incidence was calculated as the percentage of affected fronds to the number of fronds per 10 cm$^2$. Fresh weights of *Azolla* biomass were measured on the 14th day and nitrogenase activity was estimated. A decrease in black rot incidence was recorded in the neem cake-treated *Azolla*. With increased dosage of neem cake biomass, yield increased and pest and black rot incidences decreased proportionately. Increased levels of neem cake stimulated nitrogenase activity. Neem cake at 500 kg/ha produced maximum biomass and nitrogenase activity, and the least insect and disease incidence.
THE DEVELOPMENT OF MICROSPORANGIA AND MICROSPORES IN *AZOLLA FILICULOIDES*

He Guo-fan and Lin Yue-chan  
Department of Biology, Zhongshan University,  
Guangzhou, China

This paper presents a detailed report on the developmental processes of the microsporangium and its microspores in *Azolla filiculoides* Lam. Scanning electron photographs show the morphological structures of the respective developmental stages. The entire developmental process may be divided into six stages:

1. Microspore mother cell initiating stage: The microsporangium initial on the placenta of the sporocarp gives rise to a sporogenous cell, and then divides 4 times to form 16 microspore mother cells.
2. Meiotic stage: Meiosis takes place inside the callose walls of the microspore mother cells.
3. Microspore shrinking I: After the callose walls of tetrads are dissolved, microspores released from the callose walls shrink intensely and then become spherical.
4. Microspore shrinking II: The microspores give rise to the second contraction.
5. Massulae forming stage: The sporoplasmodium successively dissolves and the microsporangium divides into several large vesicles, each of which will form a massulae.
6. Microspore germinating stage: Each matured microspore inside the massulae gives rise to an androgonal initial, which divides twice to form four antherozoid mother cells.

The relationships between the various morphological structures and their functions in the microsporangium developmental process are briefly discussed. In addition, our studies are compared with those of other investigators.
THE FLUORESCENT ANTIBODY STAINING REACTION OF ANABAENA AZOLLAE AND ITS CULTURES IN VITRO

Wei Wen-xiong, Jin Gui-ying, Zheng Wei-wen, and Liu Chung-chu
Azolla Research Center
Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Fuzhou, China

Since 1982, the fluorescent antibody staining reaction has been used to test the degree of homology cell antigens of the three kinds of BGA: fresh A. azollae from A. filiculoides (F), and cultures in vitro from A. filiculoides (FC) and A. imbricata (IC). Three antisera were produced in rabbits. Various BGA cross-reacted identically with the three antisera.

The experiments suggested that drastic changes in the environment during isolation and culturing induce changes in the morphology of A. azollae. FC cells are smaller than F cells, for example, and the sheath (slime) outside FC cells is thicker than that of F cells. But similar immune fluorescent reaction appears to exist between fresh A. azollae and its cultures in vitro for a long time. When the antisera prepared against F were diluted more than 1000-fold, yellow green fluorescence on the surface of both F and FC cells was observed, although F cells had brighter fluorescence.

We also used these antisera to react with various species of free-living BGA. In about 12 samples, we observed the obvious difference on immune fluorescent reaction between the endogenous A. azollae cultures in vitro and free-living BGA.

Our preliminary results show that our pure cultures of A. azollae are the symbiont of Azolla.
A highly specific and sensitive fluorescent antibody assay suitable for detecting antibodies to surface antigen of *Anabaena azollae* has been developed. Results showed that the symbionts from Euazolla or Rhizosperma differ in their surface antigens. The symbiont from *A. caroliniana* (antigen) which belongs to Euazolla had a strong fluorescent reaction with the antiserum, whereas the symbiont from *A. imbricata* (Rhizosperma) had a weak reaction with the same serum.

Observations\(^1\) of the shape of the nutrition cells of symbionts of *Anabaena azollae* showed that nutrition cells of the symbiont from Euazolla are like long cylinders whereas those of the symbiont from Rhizosperma are like short barrels.

\(^1\) Part of the observations were made by Zhang Ning.
PRELIMINARY STUDIES ON ISOLATION AND FUSION OF AZOLLA PROTOPLASTS

Chen Wan-hua, Xie Ying-xian, and Chen Ting-wei
Soils and Fertilizer Institute
Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences
Beijing, China

The aim of this research was to develop efficient methods for the isolation, culture, and fusion of *Azolla* protoplasts for use in somatic hybridization experiments between different species of *Azolla*. Isolated protoplasts were obtained from *Azolla* using an enzyme solution composed of 0.6 M mannitol, 2% cellulase, and 1.5% macerase. Optimal conditions of isolation were tested. The greatest number of protoplasts was released at 30 °C after 12-15 h enzymatic digestion. The size of isolated protoplasts was mostly 20-30 μm diameter. Protoplast fusion was accomplished using polyethylene glycol. In most cases, protoplasts fused in pairs; occasionally three or four fused together. Further study along this line is continuing.
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